



A Study
On
Voters' Behaviour in Haryana: Awareness and Participation
(With special emphasis on Youths)



Sponsored by

Chief Electoral Officer, Haryana



Centre for Research in Rural and Industrial Development (CRRID)
Sector 19-A, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh

CONTENTS

Chapters	Contents	Pages
	Project Team	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	List of Tables	iii-viii
I	Introduction	1-14
II	Rationale, Objectives and Methodology of Study	15-19
III	Registered Voters' Awareness and Participation	20-73
IV	Non-Registered Voter's Awareness and Participation	74-124
V	Registered Youths Voters' Awareness and Participation	125-174
VI	Non-Registered Youths Voter's Awareness and Participation	175- 232
VII	Summary of Findings	233-250
VIII	Suggestions/ Recommendations	251- 255
	Annexure	
	Abbreviations	

CRRID TEAM

Prof. Sucha Singh Gill

Director General

Study Team

Shri. Manoj Kumar Teotia
Sh. Hans Lal
Sh. Kuldeep Singh
Ms. Rajneesh

Project Coordinator
Asst. Project Coordinator
Field Coordinator
Field Assistant

Author

Shri. Manoj Kumar Teotia

Administration Section

Ms. Priti Real
Mr. Rajesh Sharma
Shri. Sandipan Sharma
Mrs. Gursharan Kaur

Member Secretary
PAO
Account Officer
UDC

Computer work

Ms. Chhemet Lamo
Ms. Jasbir Kaur

Research Assistant
Research Assistant

Photostat

Shri. Braham Singh

Photostat

Field Study Team

Supervisors

Mr. Rajender kumar
Mr. Rajinder Saini
Mr. Jai Ram
Mr. Sunil Kumar
Mr. Jagjeet Singh

Mr. Ashutosh Sharma
Mr. Bhupinder Singh

Field Investigators

Mr. Abhishek
Mr. Jagdeep
Mr. Sonu
Ms. Neetu
Mr. Rajbir Singh
Mr. Vijay Kumar
Ms. Manisha
Ms. Usha
Ms. Kiran
Ms. Kamaljeet
Mr. Bharat Kumar
Ms. Jasdeep
Ms. Tripta

Mr. Devraj
Mr. Jeet Singh
Mr. Jagroop Singh
Mr. Nariender Kumar
Mr. Amit Kumar
Ms. Devinder Kaur
Mr. Raj Kumar

Acknowledgements

It is our proud privilege to convey our sincere gratitude to Smt. Sumita Misra, IAS, Chief Electoral Officer, Government of Haryana for assigning this study to our institute. She has been a constant source of inspiration to the entire project team of CRRID. It is because of her suggestions we were able to highlight youth voters' behavior in a separate section. It had enhanced the quality of the present research and survey.

We are very grateful to Shri S. K Setia, HCS, Additional Chief Electoral Officer for regularly interacting with us and facilitating data collection from all the constituencies in the state and finalization of report. He gave very valuable suggestions to before, during and after the submission of the report.

We also express our gratitude to Shri Gulshan Gandhi, Deputy Chief Electoral Officer, Shri Prakash Lal, Shri Mahender Kumar, Shri Ashish and Shri Vijay Kumar from head office in Chandigarh for discussions on various aspects of this study and making clarifications and suggestions at various stages of this study. The Officers at the District level were very cooperative during the data collection. We are thankful to them for providing required information and facilitating our interaction with various stakeholders. We express our gratitude to all the respondents for having fruitful interaction on various important issues.

We are grateful to Dr. Sucha Singh Gill, Director-General, CRRID for taking keen interest in the study and facilitating its completion. We are thankful to Dr. P. P. Balan, Professor cum Director, CRRID (recently went on deputation as Director, Kerala Institute of Local Administration) for constantly inspiring the research team and facilitating the study. We thank all the research team and investigators for collecting data/information.

We acknowledge with thanks the contribution of the team members, supervisors and field investigator and especially Ms Chhemet Lamo and Ms Jasbir Kaur who managed massive data pertaining to the study. We are grateful to them for data processing and helping in analyzing the data in desirable formats. We are thankful to our colleagues in account department for helping the research team in maintaining smooth flow of funds throughout the study and facilitating timely release of funds for the salary of field staff.

We are also thankful to Shri Braham Singh for Xeroxing of questionnaires and the report.

**Manoj Kumar Teotia
Hanslal
Kuldeep Singh
Rajnish Kumari**

List of Tables

Chapter I

Tab. Nos	Title	Page No
1.1	Haryana at a Glance (01.12.2011)	3
1.2	Electors in Lok Sabha Elections in Haryana	4
1.3	Electors in Vidhan Sabha Elections in Haryana	5-6
1.4	Voters turn out in Lok Sabha Elections in Haryana	7
1.5	Voters Turn out in Vidhan Sabha Elections in Haryana	8
1.6	District-wise Percentage of Electors Registered to the Total Eligible Voters	9
1.7	Gender Ratio of Electors in Haryana	10

Chapter II

Tab. No	Title	Page No
2.1	District –wise number of constituencies and sample covered	17
2.2	Sample of registered and eligible but non registered voters	17
2.3	Sample covered under different categories	18-19

Chapter III

Tab. No.	Title	Page No
3.1	Age profile of Respondents	20-21
3.2	Sex composition of Respondents	22-23
3.3	Education profile of Respondents in Rural areas	24-25
3.4	Education profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	26-27
3.5	Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas	28-29
3.6	Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	30-31
3.7	Economic Status of Respondent	32-33
3.8	Social Background of Respondents	34-35
3.9	Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election	36-37
3.10	Opinion of the respondents on the polling of their votes	38-39
3.11	Respondent's opinion on benefit in casting the vote	40-41
3.12	Respondent's Opinion on casting of Vote is their Fundamental Right	42-43
3.13	Training of Respondents for using EVMs	44-45
3.14	Opinion of the Respondents for the renewal of Voter	46-47

	List	
3.15	Respondent's Opinion about Legislative Assembly Voter List	48-49
3.16	Knowledge about Polling Booth	50
3.17	Respondent's Awareness about Serial Number of their Names in Voter List	51-52
3.18	Whether the respondents received the EPICs	53
3.19	Problem faced by the voters in making EPICs	54-55
3.20	Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs	56-57
3.21	Respondent's awareness about B.L.O.	58-59
3.22	Received help from B.L.O during the preparation of EPICs	60-61
3.23	Respondent's Knowledge about Appointment of B.L.A. in their area	62-63
3.24	Respondent's opinion about the change in election environment	64-65
3.25	Mode used to cast their vote during last elections	66
3.26	Respondent's participation in the last Lok Sabha (parliament) Election	67
3.27	Respondent's participation in last Vidhan Sabha (Legislative Assembly) Election (2009)	68
3.28	Willingness of the voters to participate in next coming Election	69
3.29	Election boycotted by the Respondents	70
3.30	Opinion of the respondents on the social boycott of election	71
3.31	Difficulty faced by the voters during casting their votes	72-73

Chapter IV

Tab. No	Title	Page No
4.1	Age profile of non registered Respondents	74-75
4.2	Sex composition of Respondents	76-77
4.3	Educational profile of Respondents in Rural areas	78-79
4.4	Educational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	80-81
4.5	Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas	82-83
4.6	Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	84-85
4.7	Economic Status of Respondents	86-87

4.8	Social Composition of Respondents	88-89
4.9	Enrollment of Respondents in voter list	90-91
4.10	Awareness of respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election	92-93
4.11	Opinion of the respondents about the benefit to enroll in voter list	94-95
4.12	Respondent's opinion on the necessary of casting of vote	96-97
4.13	Respondent's opinion on the benefit in casting the vote	98
4.14	Respondent's Opinion if casting of Vote is their Fundamental Right	99
4.15	Training of Respondents for using EVMs	100-101
4.16	Awareness about the renewal of voter list	102
4.17	Respondent's opinion about the Legislative Assembly Voter List	103
4.18	Awareness of the respondents about EPICs	104
4.19	Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs	105
4. 20	Respondent's opinion on change in the election environment	106-107
4.21	Reason for not being registered in the voter's list in the rural areas	108-109
4.22	Reasons for not being registered in the voter's list in the urban areas	110-111
4.23	Respondent's awareness about the registration of the voter list	112
4.24	Respondent's knowledge about the renewal of voter list	113
4.25	Respondent's awareness about the polling booth	114
4.26	Respondents' awareness about B.L.O.	115
4.27	Respondent's awareness about B.L.A.	116
4.28	Respondents' meeting with B.L.O.	117
4.29	Respondent's knowledge about the voter list for Vidha Shabha Election	118-119
4.30	Knowledge about the last Amendment in the Voter List	120-121
4.31	Awareness of the respondents on the voter list of Legislative Assembly is different from Panchayats/ Muncipal Voter List	122

4.32	Respondent's opinion on the need to change for easy enrollment in the voter list	123
4.33	Respondent's interest/willingness to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election	124

Chapter V

Tab. No.	Title	Page No
5.1	Gender Composition of Registered Voters	125-126
5.2	Educational Profile of Registered Voters in Rural areas	127-128
5.3	Educational Profile of Registered Voters in Urban Areas	129-130
5.4	Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas	131-132
5.5	Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	133-134
5.6	Economic Status of the Respondent	135-136
5.7	Social Composition of the Respondents	137-138
5.8	Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election	139-140
5.9	Opinion of the respondents on the polling of their votes	141-142
5.10	Respondent's opinion about benefits of casting the vote	143
5.11	Respondent's Opinion on if casting of Vote is their Fundamental Right	144
5.12	Training of Respondents for using EVMs	145-146
5.13	Opinion of the Respondents for the renewal of Voter List	147
5.14	Respondents' Opinion about Legislative Assembly Voter List	148
5.15	Knowledge about Polling Booth	149-150
5.16	Respondents' Awareness about Serial Number of their Names in Voter List	151-152
5.17	Whether the respondents received the EPICs	153
5.18	Problem face by the voters in making EPICs	154
5.19	Respondents' opinion on the benefits of EPICs	155-156
5.20	Respondents' knowledge about B.L.O.	157-158
5.21	Received help from B.L.O during the preparation of EPICs	159

5.22	Respondents' Knowledge about Appointment of B.L.A. in their area	160
5.23	Respondents' opinion about the change in election environment	161
5.24	Mode used to cast their vote during last elections	163
5.25	Respondent's participation in the last Lok Sabha (Parliament) Election	164
5.26	Respondent's participation in last Vidhan Sabha (Legislative Assembly) Election (2009)	165
5.27	Willingness of the voters to participate in next coming Election	166
5.28	Election boycotted by the Respondents	167
5.29	Opinion of the respondents on the social boycott of election	168
5.30	Difficulty faced by the voters during casting their votes	169-170
5.31	Various problem faced by the rural respondents	171-172
5.32	Various problem faced by the urban respondents	173-174

Chapter VI

Tab. No	Title	Page No
6.1	Sex composition of Respondents	175-176
6.2	Educational profile of Respondents in Rural areas	177-178
6.3	Educational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	179-180
6.4	Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas	181-182
6.5	Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas	183-184
6.6	Economic Status of Respondents	185-186
6.7	Social Composition of Respondents	187-188
6.8	Enrollment of respondents in voter list	189-190
6.9	Awareness of respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election	191-192
6.10	Opinion of the respondents about the benefit to enroll in voter list	193-194
6.11	Respondents' opinion on the necessary of casting of vote	195-196
6.12	Respondents' opinion on the benefit in casting the	197-198

	vote	
6.13	Respondents' Opinion if casting of Vote is their Fundamental Right	199-200
6.14	Training of Respondents for using EVMs	201-202
6.15	Awareness about the renewal of voter list	203-204
6.16	Respondents' opinion about the Legislative Assembly Voter List	205-206
6.17	Awareness of the respondents about EPICs	207
6.18	Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs	208
6.19	Respondent's opinion on change in the election environment	209-210
6.20	Reason for not being registered in the voter's list in the rural areas	211-212
6.21	Reasons for not being registered in the voter's list in the urban areas	213-214
6.22	Respondents' awareness about the registration in the voter list	215-216
6.23	Respondents' knowledge about the renewal of voter list	217
6.24	Respondents' awareness about the polling booth	218
6.25	Respondents' awareness about B.L.O.	219-220
6.26	Respondents' awareness about B.L.A.	221-222
6.27	Respondents' meeting with B.L.O.	223
6.28	Respondent's knowledge about the voter list for Vidha Shabha Election	224
6.29	Knowledge about the last Amendment in the Voter List	225-226
6.30	Awareness of the respondents on the voter list of Legislative Assembly is different from Panchayats/ Municipal Voter List	227-228
6.31	Respondent's opinion on the need to change for easy enrollment in the voter list	229-230
6.32	Respondent's interest/willingness to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election	231-232

Chapter-I

Introduction

“Our constitution has adopted adult suffrage. It is the most powerful instrument devised by man for breaking down social and economic injustice and destroying the walls that imprison men’ minds. This can be achieved only if our people are aware of their rights and responsibilities and this is the task of dedicated leaders to teach the people.”

(Dr. S. Radhakrishnan)

The above mentioned quotation of **Dr. S. Radhakrishnan** reproduced here from the book on ‘Electoral System in India: Need for Reform’ published about two decades back speaks volumes on need of awareness of people in India about their rights and responsibilities to use adult suffrage and improve electoral system. According to **S. Y. Quraishi**, Chief Election Commissioner of India ‘India enjoys the distinction of being the largest and most vibrant democracy. Our electoral systems and methods have continuously strengthened and added religions to the democratic credentials of India.’ According to **Urvashi Gulati**, Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana ‘Elections in India are events involving massive political mobilization and the organizational complicity is on an amazing scale. Elections have been very aptly described as festivals of democracy. They reflect the mandate, sentiments and aspiration of the people in a democracy.’

The election process in India, one of the largest democratic in the world, is a gigantic task. The lack of efforts by eligible voters to get registered for voting and non involvement and apathy of electorates from various sections of society has been a matter of serious concern in India. The election commission of India has found that new voters (18+) are missing from the electoral roll in a big way year after year. In certain cases the level of their enrollment is as low as 20 to 25%. In addition there are other typical

apathetic behavioral issues relating to getting registered for voting and even not to vote despite having electoral photo, identity card. Haryana is not exception to the general apathetic voting behavior pattern.

The democratic election process largely depends on electoral registration process. The trends in the electorate, women participation in polling and candidature, participation of reserved candidates belonging to under privileged sections and participation of youths in democratic process are determined by percentage of registered and non- registered voters of the same categories.

The study of voter's behavior (with focus on the youths) acquires immense significance in Haryana in the context of the recent campaigns by the office of the Chief Electoral Officer to register eligible unregistered voters including youths and sensitize the electors about the importance of voter's Identity card and casting the vote through Electronic Voting Machines (EVMs). The issues relating to these and socio economic and political factors behind choice and preferences of potential voters for getting registered on electoral roll and later vote are also recognized important realms for study of voting behavior. The social category of electors is an important feature of our society. This makes the study of voter's behavior even unique as voters belonging to different castes tend to behave differently as far as electoral registration and then voting is concerned.

In Haryana there are 10 Parliamentary Constituencies and each comprises 9 assembly segments. Out of these 10, 2 parliamentary constituencies are reserved for candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes, and out of 90 assembly constituencies 17 are reserved for Scheduled Caste candidates. In addition the State has 5 Rajya Sabha seats. In India elections of both Lok Sabha and Vidhan Sabha were conducted simultaneously till 1967 a year

after the formation of Haryana state in 1966. In Haryana 1st Vidhan Sabha and 4th Lok Sabha Elections were held in 1967. But after that both the elections were held in same year in 1991, 1996 and 2009 only. 1991 onwards the total electorate in Vidhan Sabha elections have been more than the Lok Sabha electorates in Haryana. Similarly women electors in Vidhan Sabha elections have been more than the Lok Sabha elections in the same periods. Haryana at a glance is given in Table 1.1.

**Table 1.1
Haryana at a Glance (01.10.2011)**

1	Geographical Area	44.212 Sq.Kms.		
2	Population (census 2001)	Census 2001	Census 2011(Prov)	
	Male	113.28 Lacs	135.05 Lacs	
	Female	97.55 Lacs	118.48 Lacs	
	Total	210.3 Lacs	253.53 Lacs	
3	Divisions	4		
4	Districts	21		
5	Sub- Divisions	54		
6	Tehsils	74		
7	Blocks	119		
8	Villages	6764		
9	Towns	106		
	Constituencies	General	Reserved for Sc	Total
10	Parliamentary	8	2	10
11	Assembly	73	17	90
12	Average PC size	1344960 Electors		
13	Average AC Size	149440 Electors		
14	Polling stations (PSs)			
	Total PS	15623	Average Size of PS	855
	Urban PS	4046	Rural PS	11577
	Polling Stations locations (PSLs)			
	Urban PSLs	1820	Rural PSLs	7643
15	Final Electoral roll 2011 (as on 05.01.2011)			
	Electors	Male	Female	Total
	General	7211154	6038267	13249421
	service	58162	31092	89254
	Grand total	7269316	6069359	13338675
16	Draft Electoral roll 2012 (as on 01.10.2011)			
	Electors	Male	Female	Total
	General	7270033	6090309	13360342
	Service	58162	31092	89254
	Grand total	7328195	6121401	13449596
17	EPIC coverage	About 100%	Photo coverage	About 100%

Source: Office of CEO, Haryana



Electors in Lok Sabha and Vidhan Sabha Elections in Haryana


The trend in number of electors in Lok Sabha Elections in Haryana since 1967 has been given in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2
Electors in Lok Sabha Elections in Haryana

Year of Elections	Lok Sabha	Electors					(%)
		Male	(%)	Female	(%)	Total	
1967	4 th	2329529	(53.1)	2057182	(46.9)	4386711	(100%)
1971	5 th	2529211	(53.04)	2239529	(46.96)	4768740	(100%)
1977	6 th	3047804	(52.85)	2718850	(47.15)	5766654	(100%)
1980	7 th	3675810	(53.17)	3237155	(46.83)	6912965	(100%)
1984	8 th	4142298	(53.62)	3583648	(46.38)	7725946	(100%)
1989	9 th	5197963	(53.94)	4438725	(46.06)	9636688	(100%)
1991	10 th	5242284	(53.9)	4483613	(46.10)	9725897	(100%)
1996	11 th	6005913	(53.85)	5146943	(46.15)	11152856	(100%)
1998	12 th	5978903	(53.93)	5107992	(46.07)	11086895	(100%)
1999	13 th	6015380	(54.49)	5023575	(46.51)	11038955	(100%)
2004	14 th	6660631	(54.06)	5659926	(45.94)	12320557	(100%)
2009	15 th	6589293	(54.51)	5498450	(45.49)	12087743	(100%)

Source: Compendium of General Elections to Lok Sabha (1967 to 2009) in Haryana (Book)








The number of Lok Sabha electors shows good growth trends in the state. Since 1967 the number of electors seems to have almost tripled. But at the same time the number of women electors has also grown considerably which is a healthy sign for a democratic country with patriarchal social patterns. The trend in number of electors in Vidhan Sabha Elections is given in Table 1.3.

Table 1.3
Electors in Vidhan Sabha Elections in Haryana

Year of Elections	Vidhan Sabha	Electors				Total	(%)
		Male	(%)	Female	(%)		
1967	1 st	2330439	(53.11)	2057541	(46.89)	4387980	(100%)
1968	2 nd	2422419	(53.12)	2130120	(46.79)	4551539	(100%)
1972	3 rd	2691546	(52.87)	2399536	(47.13)	5091082	(100%)
1977	4 th	3151697	(53.07)	2787124	(46.93)	5938821	(100%)
1982	5 th	3813249	(53.32)	3339032	(46.68)	7152281	(100%)
1987	6 th	4640677	(53.34)	4059951	(46.66)	8700628	(100%)
1991	7 th	5247486	(53.92)	4484426	(46.04)	9731912	(100%)
1996	8 th	6008407	(53.86)	5146835	(46.14)	11155242	(100%)
2000	9 th	6080339	(54.58)	5072844	(45.48)	11153183	(100%)
2005	10 th	6903732	(54.21)	5832156	(45.79)	12735888	(100%)
2009	11 th	7149715	(54.51)	5967250	(45.44)	13116965	(100%)

Source: Compendium of General Elections to Lok Sabha (1967 to 2009) in Haryana (Book)



The number of Vidhan Sabha electors also shows good growth trends in the state. Since 1967 the number of electors has tripled and at the same time the number of women electors has also grown in almost same fashion. Although the number of women electors have grown considerably in Lok Sabha as well as Vidhan Sabha elections, but their share in total electors has almost stagnated near 46%. In addition to other socio cultural factors this could be due to the lower sex ratio in the state. In Draft Electoral Roll

published on 01.10.2011, there were 13449596 electors comprising 7328195 males and females 6121401.


Voters turn out in Lok Sabha Elections

Voters turn out during Lok Sabha elections in Haryana held have varied from 63.68% in 13th Lok Sabha Elections in 1999 to 73.26% in 6th Lok Sabha Elections 1977. Table 1.4 shows voters turn out in Haryana since 4th Lok Sabha Elections in 1967.

Table 1.4
Voters turn out in Lok Sabha Elections in Haryana

Lok Sabha Elections	Years	Total electors	Number of Persons Voted	Turn out %age
4 th	1967	4386711	3185295	72.61%
5 th	1971	4768740	3063699	64.35%
6 th	1977	5766654	4224405	73.26%
7 th	1980	6912965	4476526	64.76%
8 th	1984	7725946	5163799	66.84%
9 th	1989	9636688	6207111	64.41%
10 th	1991	9725897	6403796	65.84%
11 th	1996	11152856	7860863	70.48%
12 th	1998	11086895	7649088	68.99%
13 th	1999	11038955	7029964	63.68%
14 th	2004	12320557	8097064	65.72%
15 th	2009	12087743	8156553	67.48%

Source: Compendium of General Elections to Lok Sabha (1967 to 2009) in Haryana (Book)



The total electors in 15th Lok Sabha elections held in 2009 decreased after 14th Lok Sabha elections held in 2004. But at the same time the number of voters and turnout percentage grew in the 15th LS election. The total number of electors also declined in 12th and slightly 13th Lok Sabha elections held in

1998 and 1999 which could largely be due to the apathy about elections held very frequently. The 13th Lok Sabha election has lowest voters turn out percentage.


Voters turn out in Vidhan Sabha Elections

Voters turn out in the elections of legislative Assembly of Haryana varied from 57.26% (lowest) in 2nd Vidhan Sabha election of Haryana in 1968 to 72.65% in 1st Vidhan Sabha election held in 1967. This land mark was almost touched in the last Vidhan Sabha Elections in 2009 which had voters turn out at 72.35%. The Table 1.5 shows voters turn out in Vidhan Sabha elections in Haryana since 1967.

Table 1.5
Voters Turn out in Vidhan Sabha Elections in Haryana

Vidhan Sabha election	Years	Total electors	Number of persons	Turn out %age
1 st	1967	4387980	3187946	72.65%
2 nd	1968	4552539	2606667	57.26%
3 rd	1972	5091082	3587048	70.46%
4 th	1977	5938821	3828060	64.46%
5 th	1982	7152281	4997435	69.87%
6 th	1987	8700628	6198644	71.24%
7 th	1991	9731912	6409673	65.86%
8 th	1996	11155242	7868951	70.54%
9 th	2000	11153183	7696396	69.01%
10 th	2005	12735888	9164625	71.96%
11 th	2009	13116965	9490092	72.35%

Source: Compendium of General Elections to Lok Sabha (1967 to 2009) in Haryana (Book)



Eligible electors (18 + age group)

Haryana Government has been trying to register all the eligible electors. The electoral roll process conducted in 2011 counted 13449596 electors comprising 7328195 males & 6121401 females' electors in Haryana. The registration of eligible electorate is therefore a continuous process and a major task against the office of electoral officers through field officers. The projected population of eligible persons (18 + age group) is 15016960, which is 58.51% of the total projected population for the year 2011. However the total number of electors registered in the final publication of rolls is 13360342 which is 52.10 % of the total projected population. It means there are about 1656618 eligible electors not registered. The percentage of registrations of eligible electors differs from district to district as shown as Table 1.6.

Table 1.6
District-wise Percentage of Electors Registered to the Total Eligible Voters

Sr. no.	Name of district	Elector- population %age
	Haryana state	52.1
1.	Sirsa	57.8
2.	Rohtak	57.1
3.	Mahendragarh	56.6
4.	Fatehabad	55.5
5.	Gurgaon	55.1
6.	Jind	54.3
7.	Hisar	53.8
8.	Kaithal	53.7
9.	Bhiwani	53.3
10.	Rewari	52.8
11.	Kurukshetra	52.8
12.	Ambala	52.4
13.	karnal	51.4
14.	Jhajjar	51.2
15.	Yamunanagar	50.7
16.	Panipat	48.5
17.	Panchkula	48.1
18.	Faridabad	48.1
19.	Palwal	47.0
20.	Sonipat	46.3
21.	Mewat	45.3

Source: Office of CEO, Haryana

Table 1.7

Gender Ratio of Electors in Haryana

Name Of District	Census Gender Ratio	Electoral Roll Gender Ratio	Difference
Haryana	877	838	-39
1. Gurgaon	853	890	37
2. Mahendragarh	894	889	-5
3. Rewari	898	888	-10
4. Panchkula	870	843	-27
5. Bhiwani	884	855	-29
6. Sirsa	896	867	-29
7. Kurukshetra	889	859	-30
8. Jhajjar	861	830	-31
9. Fatehabad	903	869	-34
10. Rohtak	868	833	-35
11. Mewat	906	869	-37
12. Ambala	882	840	-42
13. Hisar	871	829	-42
14. Kaithal	880	832	-48
15. Sonipat	853	804	-49
16. Jind	870	818	-52
17. Palwal	879	826	-53
18. Karnal	886	832	-54
19. Panipat	861	801	-60
20. Yamunanagar	877	812	-65
21 Faridabad	871	766	-105

Source: Office of CEO, Haryana

Sirsa district has the highest Elector- population %age ratio in the state at 57.8%. It is followed by Rohtak at 57.1%, Mahendragarh at 56.6%, Fatehabad at 55.5% and Gurgaon at 55.1%. Among the districts having lowest Elector- population %age ratio, Mewat district has lowest ratio at 45.3%, Mewat and Sonapat have bit high percentage ratio at 46.3% and 45.3% respectively.

During the revision of electoral rolls the assistance and cooperation of the Residential Welfare Associations [RWAs] Municipal Corporation/Council/Committee Gram Panchayats, and Committees is sought to ensure the correctness of the voters' lists.

Institutional Mechanism

The institutional mechanism for operation and maintenance of electoral process is crucial for strengthening democratic process. In Haryana, the Chief Electoral Officer, is the head of the election machinery who is assisted by an Additional/Joint Chief Electoral Officer, a Deputy Chief Electoral Officer, two Assistant Chief Electoral Officers, besides the Superintendent/Deputy Superintendents and other ministerial staff. The District staff, viz., the District Election Officer, the Deputy District Election Officer, the Returning Officers, the Assistant Returning Officers, Electoral Registration Officers, Assistant Electoral Registration Officers, Election Tehsildar, Election Naib Tehsildar and other staff also assist the Chief Electoral Officer in holding General Elections/Bye-elections to Parliament and the State Legislative Assembly, Presidential elections, Biennial elections to Rajya Sabha, Preparation of Electoral Roll and Electors Photo Identity Cards.

The organizational structure of the department of elections:

The Chart given on next page shows the functions and duties of Department of Election, Haryana.

**Department of Elections, Haryana
Administrative Setup**

[Head Office]

Chief Electoral Officer



Joint Chief Electoral Officer



Deputy Chief Electoral Officer



Assistant Chief Electoral Officers



Superintendent



**Deputy
Superintendents**



Assistants



Steno/Clerks



Class IV

Technical Staff

AGM



System Analyst



Programmer




Jr. Programmer




Data Entry Operator

**Administrative Setup
[Field Office]**



Technical Staff



Some Landmark Developments for Strengthening Democratic Process in Haryana

- After Delimitation of the Constituencies held in 1974, the number of Parliamentary constituencies rose to 10 including 2 parliamentary constituencies reserved for SC in Haryana.
- Elector's Photo Identity card (EPIC) was introduced for the first time in elections for the identification purpose of the electors in 1996 in 11th Lok Sabha.
- Electronic Voting Machine (EVMs) was introduced for the first time in two Parliamentary Constituencies of Haryana State namely KARNAL and ROHTAK in 1999 in 13th Lok Sabha Elections.
- In 2008, the delimitation of the constituencies held again, after delimitation total number of Parliamentary Constituencies remained same as 10 including 2 reserved for SC in Haryana State.
- Photo Electoral Roll was used for the first time in 2 Assembly segments of the Haryana State -one of Ambala (SC) PC and one of Sonipat PC in 2004 in 14th Lok Sabha Elections.
- Photo Electoral Roll was used for the first time in all the 10 Parliamentary constituencies of the Haryana State in 2009 in 15th Lok Sabha Elections.

The chapter plan of the present study is as bellow:

Chapter 1: Introduction

Chapter 2: Rationale, Objectives and Methodology of Study

Chapter 3: Registered Voters' Awareness and Participation in Election as per Stratified Representative Random Sample

Chapter 4: Eligible but Non-registered Registered Voters' Awareness and Participation in Election as per Stratified Representative Random Sample

Chapter 5: Registered Youth Voters' Awareness and Participation in Election as per Stratified Representative Random Sample

Chapter 6: Eligible but Non-Registered Youth Voters' Awareness and Participation in Election as per Stratified Representative Random Sample

Chapter 7: Summary of Findings

Chapter 8: Suggestions

Chapter-II

RATIONALE, OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY OF STUDY

Rationale

The study of the voters' behavior in Haryana was required to highlight any grey areas in the electoral enrollment/ registration process to initiate corrective measures for further improving delivery of electoral services to promote registration and participation of eligible voters in the election process in Haryana. The assessment of the performance of the electoral machinery involved at village and town levels in registering the voters; evaluation of the process involved in addressing the gaps in information on electoral registration services, capacity building and advocacy/ awareness etc; are some of the crucial aspects of the study. An effort has also been made to understand the process of community participation in electoral process. Last but not least factors which improve or obstruct the process of registration of electorates will be highlighted to identifying the measures for improvement in covering 100% voters' registration. The study aims to assess the behavior (awareness and participation) of registered voters and eligible but non- registered voters (who have completed 18 years of age/ are eligible to vote) in the state Haryana. An important effort has been made to discuss the same issues in the context of youths electors (registered as well as eligible but not registered).

Objectives

The main objectives of the study are:-

- ❖ To assess the electoral enrollment process to suggest corrective measures for further improving delivery of electoral services;
- ❖ To describe the behavioral patterns of the electorate relating to the democratic process
- ❖ To explore emerging behavioral patterns among the voters of various age groups with special emphasis on youths, different social groups and gender groups etc;

- ❖ To study the performance of the electoral machinery involved at village and town levels in registration of electors;
- ❖ To evaluate the process involved in addressing the gaps in information on electoral enrollment services, capacity building and advocacy/awareness etc;
- ❖ To assess factors which improve or obstruct the process of registration of voters and identifying the measures to improvement 100% voters registration;
- ❖ To assess election awareness, knowledge and participation of electors in election process among the voters and non registered voters including youths;

Methodology

“A study of voters’ behaviour in Haryana: awareness and participation (With special emphasis on youths)” is based on primary and secondary data collected from field and headquarters of chief electoral officer. The primary data has been collected from all the 90 Assembly constituencies in Haryana. Out of the total respondents, 51.55 percent respondents were registered voters and 48.45 percent were eligible but non-registered voters who were interviewed. Two municipal wards and two gram panchayats have been selected in from each Legislative Assembly constituency.

Sample

- ↗ Stratified representative random sample
- ↗ All 90 Legislative Assembly constituencies of Haryana ;
- ↗ Two municipal wards and two gram panchayats from each constituency;
- ↗ 44 respondents were interviewed from each constituency. Out of the total respondents, 50 percent were interviewed from urban areas and 50 percent from rural areas.
- ↗ The selection of gram panchayats: first gram panchayat close to the constituency headquarter and second for away from the same.

➤ Socio economic parameters considered

The sample size of the study has been described in the Table 2.1:

Table – 2.1
District –wise number of constituencies and sample covered

District	No. of Constituency	Sample covered		
		Rural	Urban	Total
Panchkula	2	44(2.46)	44(2.19)	88(2.31)
Ambala	4	88(4.92)	85(4.23)	173(4.55)
Yamuna Nagar	4	88(4.92)	88(4.37)	176(4.63)
Kurukshetra	4	88(4.92)	88(4.37)	176(4.63)
Kaithal	4	88(4.92)	88(4.37)	176(4.63)
Karnal	5	109(6.09)	101(5.02)	210(5.52)
Panipat	4	87(4.86)	83(4.13)	170(4.47)
Sonepat	6	142(7.93)	116(5.77)	258(6.78)
Jind	5	116(6.48)	109(5.42)	225(5.92)
Fatehabad	3	21(1.17)	24(1.19)	45 (1.18)
Sirsa	5	112(6.26)	89 (4.42)	201(5.29)
Hisar	7	134(7.49)	194(9.65)	328(8.63)
Bhiwani	6	130(7.27)	132(6.56)	262(6.89)
Rohtak	4	61(3.40)	109(5.42)	170(4.47)
Jhajjar	4	83(4.64)	89(4.42)	172(4.53)
Mahendragarh	4	98(5.48)	80(3.98)	178(4.68)
Rewari	3	52(2.90)	68(3.38)	120(3.16)
Gurgaon	4	25(1.40)	154(7.65)	179(4.71)
Mewat	3	66(3.69)	66(3.28)	132(3.47)
Palwal	3	67(3.74)	66(3.28)	133(3.50)
Faridabad	6	90(5.03)	168(8.35)	258(6.79)
Total	90	1789(100.00)	2011(100.00)	3800(100.00)

Table 2.2 shows that out of total 3800 respondents were interviewed, 328 respondents (8.63 percent) in Hissar district, 262 respondents (6.89 percent) in Bhiwani district and 258 respondents (6.79 percent) each in Panchkula and Faridabad districts. Out of the total respondents were interviewed, 1789 (47.07 percent) in rural area and 2011 (52.93 percent) in urban area in all districts of Haryana state.

Table-2.2
Sample of registered and eligible but non registered voters

Sr. No.	Particulars	Universe	Sample covered
1	Registered voters	1,27,00,000	1959(51.55)
2	Eligible non registered voters	22,00,000	1841(48.45)
Total			3800(100.00)

Haryana has 127.00 lakhs registered voters and 22.00 lakhs eligible non registered voters. The data has been collected from each of 90 Assembly Constituencies spread over 21 Districts in 4 major divisions. The factors such as age (particularly age group of 18 to 29), gender (male and female),

education (illiterate, middle level and highly educated groups), socio-economic profile such as SCs, OBCs and General categories were considered for data collection.

Table-2.3 shows that out of the total 3800 sample covered, 1959 (51.55 percent) respondents were interviewed from registered voters and 1841 (48.45 percent) respondents were interviewed from eligible non registered voters in all districts of Haryana state.

Table- 2.3
Sample covered under different categories

Category	Particulars	Sample covered (Total)		Sample (Youths out of the Total Sample)	
		Registered Voters	Non registered Non-voters	Registered Voters	Non registered Non-voters
Urban / Rural	Urban	998(50.94)	1013(55.02)	342 (54.46)	882 (54.78)
	Rural	961(49.06)	828(44.98)	286 (45.54)	728 (45.22)
Gender wise	Male	1102(56.25)	968(52.58)	342 (54.46)	836 (51.93)
	Female	857(43.75)	873(47.42)	286 (54.54)	774 (48.07)
Age category	Age group (18-29 years)	521(26.59)	1554(84.41)	628 (100.00)	1610 (100.00)
	Age group (30-60 years)	1218(62.17)	260(14.12)	NA	NA
	Age group (Above 60 years)	220(11.23)	27(1.47)	NA	NA
Socio – economic profile	SCs	520(26.54)	355(19.28)	182 (28.98)	310 (19.25)
	BCs	624(31.85)	486(26.40)	187 (29.78)	415 (25.78)
	General	815(41.60)	1000(54.32)	259 (41.24)	885 (54.97)
Physical disabled	Handicapped	96(4.90)	95(5.16)	29 (4.62)	82 (5.09)
Education wise	Illiterate	542(27.67)	186(10.10)	76 (12.10)	126 (7.83)
	Literate	1417(72.33)	1655(89.90)	552 (87.90)	1484 (92.17)
	Total Respondents	1959(100.00)	1841(100.00)	628 (100.00)	1610 (100.00)

Source: - CRRID, Field Survey

The efforts have been made to dedicate separate section to the youths so that department can take desired corrective measures. In urban areas out of the sample of registered voters (998), 342 belonged to the age category of 18-29 years (youths) and out of sample of non registered but eligible

voters (1013), 882 belonged to the age category of 18-29 years (youths). In rural areas out of the sample of registered voters (961), 286 belonged to the age category of 18-29 years (youths) and out of sample of non registered but eligible voters (828), 728 belonged to the age category of 18-29 years (youths). It shows the predominance of youths in the category of non registered voters in rural as well urban areas.

Data Collection

The data collection has been accomplished by experienced supervisors, field editors and field investigators. Earlier one-day training programmes were conducted at the district headquarters in Ambala and Sirsa districts. The concurrent monitoring of the data collection was done by the team of Centre for Research in Rural and Industrial Development (CRRID), Chandigarh. The curriculum of one-day training programme is as following:

- I. Organize one-day training programme for field investigators.
- II. Pre-testing of questionnaires.
- III. Group discussion after pre- testing of questionnaires.

Tools for Data Collection

Following methods were used for collection of the data: -

- Questionnaire for registered voters;
- Questionnaire for eligible but non-registered voters;
- Secondary data collected from the state headquarter.

Data Analysis

The collected data from the field, through various questionnaires and schedules, were entered in SPSS package in computer. Analysis of data was also done by using of SPSS package.

Chapter – III

Registered Voter's Awareness and Participation

Chapter 3 deals with the registered voters in Haryana. An effort has been made to describe age profile, sex composition, education and occupational profile, economic status, social background of respondents etc besides the voter's awareness and their general perception about the election, use of ballot papers and electronic voting machine, various difficulties faced by the voters during casting their votes and their participation in the election, subsequent changes in the election environment in all the districts of Haryana State.

The socio- economic profile of respondents is as bellow: -

Table: 3.1
Age profile of Respondents

District	Rural				Total	Urban				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-60	>60		18-29	30-44	45-60	>60	
Panchkula	2	11	8	2	23	6	10	4	1	21
	8.7%	47.8%	34.8%	8.7%	100.0%	28.6%	47.6%	19.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Ambala	8	18	12	6	44	7	13	15	9	44
	18.2%	40.9%	27.3%	13.6%	100.0%	15.9%	29.5%	34.1%	20.5%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	9	16	10	9	44	12	10	12	10	44
	20.5%	36.4%	22.7%	20.5%	100.0%	27.3%	22.7%	27.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	11	14	12	7	44	10	19	10	4	43
	25.0%	31.8%	27.3%	15.9%	100.0%	23.3%	44.2%	23.3%	9.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	10	20	8	6	44	32	7	3	2	44
	22.7%	45.5%	18.2%	13.6%	100.0%	72.7%	15.9%	6.8%	4.5%	100.0%
Karnal	14	31	25	17	87	4	8	6	4	22
	16.1%	35.6%	28.7%	19.5%	100.0%	18.2%	36.4%	27.3%	18.2%	100.0%
Panipat	10	17	7	3	37	7	14	21	6	48
	27.0%	45.9%	18.9%	8.1%	100.0%	14.6%	29.2%	43.8%	12.5%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	26	24	13	76	31	18	5	5	59
	17.1%	34.2%	31.6%	17.1%	100.0%	52.5%	30.5%	8.5%	8.5%	100.0%
Jind	18	19	22	3	62	9	28	13	8	58
	29.0%	30.6%	35.5%	4.8%	100.0%	15.5%	48.3%	22.4%	13.8%	100.0%
Fatehabad	3	4	2	2	11	2	3	13	1	19
	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	100.0%	10.5%	15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	100.0%
Sirsa	9	35	12	6	62	6	13	16	3	38
	14.5%	56.5%	19.4%	9.7%	100.0%	15.8%	34.2%	42.1%	7.9%	100.0%
Hisar	24	35	17	6	82	37	28	17	5	87
	29.3%	42.7%	20.7%	7.3%	100.0%	42.5%	32.2%	19.5%	5.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	22	24	3	63	13	20	23	14	70
	22.2%	34.9%	38.1%	4.8%	100.0%	18.6%	28.6%	32.9%	20.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	7	16	6	3	32	11	17	16	10	54
	21.9%	50.0%	18.8%	9.4%	100.0%	20.4%	31.5%	29.6%	18.5%	100.0%
Jhajjar	11	13	12	5	41	20	17	10	1	48
	26.8%	31.7%	29.3%	12.2%	100.0%	41.7%	35.4%	20.8%	2.1%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	15	24	18	14	71	11	7	3	0	21
	21.1%	33.8%	25.4%	19.7%	100.0%	52.4%	33.3%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	6	5	1	20	16	18	12	1	47
	40.0%	30.0%	25.0%	5.0%	100.0%	34.0%	38.3%	25.5%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	5	4	0	11	23	29	16	9	77
	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%	29.9%	37.7%	20.8%	11.7%	100.0%
Mewat	14	9	6	2	31	9	16	6	2	33

	45.2%	29.0%	19.4%	6.5%	100.0%	27.3%	48.5%	18.2%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	7	12	13	1	33	12	7	12	2	33
	21.2%	36.4%	39.4%	3.0%	100.0%	36.4%	21.2%	36.4%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	11	20	9	3	43	23	26	28	11	88
	25.6%	46.5%	20.9%	7.0%	100.0%	26.1%	29.5%	31.8%	12.5%	100.0%
Total	220	373	256	112	961	301	328	261	108	998
	22.9%	38.8%	26.6%	11.7%	100.0%	30.2%	32.9%	26.2%	10.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.1 shows that out total respondents interviewed from the rural areas of Haryana State 22.9 percent of the total are of the age group of 18-29 years, 38.8 percent are of the age group of 30-44 years, 26.6 percent respondents are from the age group of 45-49 percent and only 112 respondents constituting the 11.7 percent of the total respondents are above 60 years and above. On the other hand, out of 998 respondents who are interviewed in urban areas of Haryana, 30.2 percent are from the age group of 18-29 years, 32.9 percent belongs to 30-44 years and 26.2 percent and 10.8 Percent are from the age group of 45-60 and 60 or above of age group respectively. The percentage of registered voters in the age group of 18-29 is high in urban areas; although percentage category of youths is third highest among four age categories in rural areas and second highest in urban areas.

Table: 3.2
Sex composition of Respondents

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Male	Female		Male	Female	
Panchkula	10	13	23	10	11	21
	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
Ambala	24	20	44	18	26	44
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	15	29	44	23	21	44
	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%	52.3%	47.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	17	27	44	16	27	43
	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%	37.2%	62.8%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	28	44	20	24	44
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
Karnal	46	41	87	13	9	22
	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%
Panipat	21	16	37	20	28	48
	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	40	36	76	46	13	59
	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%	78.0%	22.0%	100.0%
Jind	34	28	62	29	29	58
	54.8%	45.2%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	8	3	11	9	10	19
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
Sirsa	36	26	62	21	17	38
	58.1%	41.9%	100.0%	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%
Hisar	45	37	82	35	52	87
	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%	40.2%	59.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	41	22	63	37	33	70
	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	22	10	32	33	21	54
	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	29	12	41	31	17	48
	70.7%	29.3%	100.0%	64.6%	35.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	38	33	71	17	4	21
	53.5%	46.5%	100.0%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	12	20	33	14	47
	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%	70.2%	29.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	6	5	11	44	33	77
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Mewat	25	6	31	27	6	33
	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
Palwal	22	11	33	20	13	33
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Faridabad	29	14	43	68	20	88
	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Total	532	429	961	570	428	998
	55.4%	44.6%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.2 shows that out of total respondents 55.4 percent of the total respondents are male voters and remaining 44.6 percent of the respondents are female voters in the rural areas of Haryana. On the other hand the number of male respondents is higher in urban area than the rural area. Out of the total the male respondents constitute 57.1 percent and the female respondents 42.9 percent.

Table: 3.3
Education profile of Respondents in Rural areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	10	5	4	3	0	0	0	1	23
	43.5%	21.7%	17.4%	13.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	100.0%
Ambala	10	6	12	7	8	1	0	0	44
	22.7%	13.6%	27.3%	15.9%	18.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	19	7	6	3	6	2	0	1	44
	43.2%	15.9%	13.6%	6.8%	13.6%	4.5%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	19	5	7	6	5	1	1	0	44
	43.2%	11.4%	15.9%	13.6%	11.4%	2.3%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	21	4	5	6	4	4	0	0	44
	47.7%	9.1%	11.4%	13.6%	9.1%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	40	3	14	17	2	8	3	0	87
	46.0%	3.4%	16.1%	19.5%	2.3%	9.2%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	23	1	4	3	5	0	0	1	37
	62.2%	2.7%	10.8%	8.1%	13.5%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	100.0%
Sonepat	25	10	7	24	6	2	2	0	76
	32.9%	13.2%	9.2%	31.6%	7.9%	2.6%	2.6%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	14	11	14	11	5	7	0	0	62
	22.6%	17.7%	22.6%	17.7%	8.1%	11.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	3	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	11
	27.3%	18.2%	27.3%	.0%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	19	13	14	9	5	1	0	1	62
	30.6%	21.0%	22.6%	14.5%	8.1%	1.6%	.0%	1.6%	100.0%
Hisar	22	12	11	17	14	3	2	1	82
	26.8%	14.6%	13.4%	20.7%	17.1%	3.7%	2.4%	1.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	8	2	15	16	7	13	2	0	63
	12.7%	3.2%	23.8%	25.4%	11.1%	20.6%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	14	4	1	5	3	5	0	0	32
	43.8%	12.5%	3.1%	15.6%	9.4%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	1	2	15	7	3	0	0	41
	31.7%	2.4%	4.9%	36.6%	17.1%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	19	1	13	18	9	6	3	2	71
	26.8%	1.4%	18.3%	25.4%	12.7%	8.5%	4.2%	2.8%	100.0%
Rewari	5	0	2	8	3	1	1	0	20
	25.0%	.0%	10.0%	40.0%	15.0%	5.0%	5.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	0	4	2	2	1	0	0	11
	18.2%	.0%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	14	4	5	3	3	2	0	0	31
	45.2%	12.9%	16.1%	9.7%	9.7%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	11	0	8	9	2	3	0	0	33
	33.3%	.0%	24.2%	27.3%	6.1%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	12	2	9	11	5	3	1	0	43
	27.9%	4.7%	20.9%	25.6%	11.6%	7.0%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Total	323	93	160	193	104	66	15	7	961
	33.6%	9.7%	16.6%	20.1%	10.8%	6.9%	1.6%	0.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.3 shows the education profile of the respondents in the rural areas. Out of the total respondents 33.6 percent of the totals are illiterate, 9.7 percent are educated up to primary level and 16.6 percent are educated up to middle class, 20.1 percent are educated up to high and 10.8 percent are higher secondary, 6.9 percent and 1.6 percent respondents are graduates and post graduates respectively and only 0.7 percent are others like diploma holders.

Table: 3.4
Education profile of Respondents in Urban Areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	2	3	2	10	3	1	0	0	21
	9.5%	14.3%	9.5%	47.6%	14.3%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	5	2	7	12	8	8	2	0	44
	11.4%	4.5%	15.9%	27.3%	18.2%	18.2%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	8	0	7	14	6	8	1	0	44
	18.2%	.0%	15.9%	31.8%	13.6%	18.2%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	11	5	2	16	5	3	1	0	43
	25.6%	11.6%	4.7%	37.2%	11.6%	7.0%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	5	2	1	3	1	23	9	0	44
	11.4%	4.5%	2.3%	6.8%	2.3%	52.3%	20.5%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	9	0	2	7	1	2	0	1	22
	40.9%	.0%	9.1%	31.8%	4.5%	9.1%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%
Panipat	27	5	8	5	2	0	1	0	48
	56.2%	10.4%	16.7%	10.4%	4.2%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	11	3	6	12	13	11	3	0	59
	18.6%	5.1%	10.2%	20.3%	22.0%	18.6%	5.1%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	10	7	5	9	9	11	7	0	58
	17.2%	12.1%	8.6%	15.5%	15.5%	19.0%	12.1%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	7	2	6	0	0	0	0	19
	21.1%	36.8%	10.5%	31.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	4	2	5	11	9	2	5	0	38
	10.5%	5.3%	13.2%	28.9%	23.7%	5.3%	13.2%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	20	9	11	20	8	6	2	11	87
	23.0%	10.3%	12.6%	23.0%	9.2%	6.9%	2.3%	12.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	19	4	4	17	12	10	4	0	70
	27.1%	5.7%	5.7%	24.3%	17.1%	14.3%	5.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	20	6	6	5	5	7	4	1	54
	37.0%	11.1%	11.1%	9.3%	9.3%	13.0%	7.4%	1.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	2	4	13	16	5	2	0	48
	12.5%	4.2%	8.3%	27.1%	33.3%	10.4%	4.2%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	0	0	7	3	8	1	0	21
	9.5%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	14.3%	38.1%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	6	0	3	13	7	15	3	0	47
	12.8%	.0%	6.4%	27.7%	14.9%	31.9%	6.4%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	23	3	13	14	14	9	1	0	77
	29.9%	3.9%	16.9%	18.2%	18.2%	11.7%	1.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	8	3	8	9	2	3	0	0	33
	24.2%	9.1%	24.2%	27.3%	6.1%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	6	4	7	8	7	1	0	0	33
	18.2%	12.1%	21.2%	24.2%	21.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	10	12	20	18	13	2	0	88
	14.8%	11.4%	13.6%	22.7%	20.5%	14.8%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Total	219	77	115	231	149	146	48	13	998
	21.9%	7.7%	11.5%	23.1%	14.9%	14.6%	4.8%	1.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.4 shows that as compared to the illiteracy rate in urban area i.e. 21.9 percent is lower than the rural area where the illiteracy rate is 33.6 percent. Out of total respondents 7.7 percent are educated up to primary level and 11.5 are educated up to middle class, 23.1 percent and 14.9 percent are educated up to high and higher secondary respectively. The percentage of the respondents opted for higher education in the urban areas are higher than the rural areas, constituting 14.6 percent and 4.8 percents educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively and a marginal of 1.3 percent of the respondents had opted for other source of education like diplomas and certificates courses.

Table: 3.5
Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Retired	Self work	Unemp-loyed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	1	3	2	0	12	1	1	3	0	0	23
	.0%	4.3%	13.0%	8.7%	.0%	52.2%	4.3%	4.3%	13.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	4	4	5	3	16	1	3	4	3	0	44
	2.3%	9.1%	9.1%	11.4%	6.8%	36.4%	2.3%	6.8%	9.1%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	1	3	1	1	23	4	3	5	1	0	44
	4.5%	2.3%	6.8%	2.3%	2.3%	52.3%	9.1%	6.8%	11.4%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	4	1	6	3	2	22	1	1	2	1	1	44
	9.1%	2.3%	13.6%	6.8%	4.5%	50.0%	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	1	9	1	2	22	1	3	0	3	2	44
	.0%	2.3%	20.5%	2.3%	4.5%	50.0%	2.3%	6.8%	.0%	6.8%	4.5%	100.0%
Karnal	0	4	1	9	1	38	1	28	2	3	0	87
	.0%	4.6%	1.1%	10.3%	1.1%	43.7%	1.1%	32.2%	2.3%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	0	0	10	7	13	0	3	0	3	0	37
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	27.0%	18.9%	35.1%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	9	2	1	6	2	41	0	4	3	6	2	76
	11.8%	2.6%	1.3%	7.9%	2.6%	53.9%	.0%	5.3%	3.9%	7.9%	2.6%	100.0%
Jind	4	5	6	11	5	26	0	3	1	1	0	62
	6.5%	8.1%	9.7%	17.7%	8.1%	41.9%	.0%	4.8%	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	5	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	11
	45.5%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	9.1%	27.3%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	20	2	4	4	0	23	0	8	0	0	1	62
	32.3%	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	.0%	37.1%	.0%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	100.0%
Hisar	28	3	11	2	1	23	3	9	0	2	0	82
	34.1%	3.7%	13.4%	2.4%	1.2%	28.0%	3.7%	11.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	20	8	7	2	2	13	2	7	1	1	0	63
	31.7%	12.7%	11.1%	3.2%	3.2%	20.6%	3.2%	11.1%	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	2	1	12	3	10	0	0	1	1	0	32
	6.2%	6.2%	3.1%	37.5%	9.4%	31.2%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	6	12	3	1	10	1	3	0	1	0	41
	9.8%	14.6%	29.3%	7.3%	2.4%	24.4%	2.4%	7.3%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	6	3	4	8	4	32	5	7	1	1	0	71
	8.5%	4.2%	5.6%	11.3%	5.6%	45.1%	7.0%	9.9%	1.4%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	1	2	0	1	12	0	1	1	0	2	20
	.0%	5.0%	10.0%	.0%	5.0%	60.0%	.0%	5.0%	5.0%	.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	1	2	1	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	11
	.0%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	.0%	45.5%	.0%	18.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Mewat	7	2	0	9	2	6	0	2	0	3	0	31
	22.6%	6.5%	.0%	29.0%	6.5%	19.4%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	9.7%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	5	0	5	1	2	11	0	3	1	4	1	33
	15.2%	.0%	15.2%	3.0%	6.1%	33.3%	.0%	9.1%	3.0%	12.1%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	4	4	7	0	1	14	0	9	1	3	0	43
	9.3%	9.3%	16.3%	.0%	2.3%	32.6%	.0%	20.9%	2.3%	7.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	122	51	89	90	41	375	20	101	26	37	9	961
	12.7%	5.3%	9.3%	9.4%	4.3%	39.0%	2.1%	10.5%	2.7%	3.9%	.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.5 in the rural areas of Haryana the people is mainly dependent on the farming and other agricultural activities for their livelihood. Out of the total respondents 12.7 percent are involved in farming, 9.3 percent are agricultural labors which are directly dependent on the agricultural activities, 5.3 percent of the respondents are working in government services and 9.4 percent are non agricultural labors. 2.1 percent respondents are retired personnel and 3.9 percent are working in private organizations, 4.3 percent of the respondents constitute students, 39.0 percent are involve with their domestic works and 2.7 percent of the respondents are unemployed in the rural area. Out of the total 10.5 percent of the total respondents own their own business/work which is highest among other occupations in the region and marginal of .9 percent is involve in others work.

Table: 3.6
Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Retired	Self work	Unem-ployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	2	0	1	10	0	4	2	2	0	21
	.0%	.0%	9.5%	.0%	4.8%	47.6%	.0%	19.0%	9.5%	9.5%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	7	1	0	1	23	6	1	0	4	1	44
	.0%	15.9%	2.3%	.0%	2.3%	52.3%	13.6%	2.3%	.0%	9.1%	2.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	9	0	1	2	15	5	4	1	5	0	44
	4.5%	20.5%	.0%	2.3%	4.5%	34.1%	11.4%	9.1%	2.3%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	4	3	0	2	1	20	2	5	0	5	1	43
	9.3%	7.0%	.0%	4.7%	2.3%	46.5%	4.7%	11.6%	.0%	11.6%	2.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	3	1	2	0	30	4	0	3	0	1	0	44
	6.8%	2.3%	4.5%	.0%	68.2%	9.1%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	2	2	0	2	0	8	1	6	0	1	0	22
	9.1%	9.1%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	36.4%	4.5%	27.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	1	0	11	2	25	0	3	1	4	0	48
	2.1%	2.1%	.0%	22.9%	4.2%	52.1%	.0%	6.2%	2.1%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	5	0	5	18	14	2	10	0	4	0	59
	1.7%	8.5%	.0%	8.5%	30.5%	23.7%	3.4%	16.9%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	2	8	3	3	4	24	4	6	1	3	0	58
	3.4%	13.8%	5.2%	5.2%	6.9%	41.4%	6.9%	10.3%	1.7%	5.2%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	1	5	0	0	5	0	4	0	0	0	19
	21.1%	5.3%	26.3%	.0%	.0%	26.3%	.0%	21.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	3	1	0	0	14	0	16	0	1	3	38
	.0%	7.9%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	36.8%	.0%	42.1%	.0%	2.6%	7.9%	100.0%
Hisar	12	3	1	0	12	35	2	17	0	5	0	87
	13.8%	3.4%	1.1%	.0%	13.8%	40.2%	2.3%	19.5%	.0%	5.7%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	24	6	0	1	5	18	2	8	4	1	1	70
	34.3%	8.6%	.0%	1.4%	7.1%	25.7%	2.9%	11.4%	5.7%	1.4%	1.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	5	6	0	8	3	20	1	5	0	3	3	54
	9.3%	11.1%	.0%	14.8%	5.6%	37.0%	1.9%	9.3%	.0%	5.6%	5.6%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	2	4	6	5	14	1	10	1	4	0	48
	2.1%	4.2%	8.3%	12.5%	10.4%	29.2%	2.1%	20.8%	2.1%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	4	2	0	1	7	2	0	5	0	0	0	21
	19.0%	9.5%	.0%	4.8%	33.3%	9.5%	.0%	23.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	4	3	1	5	4	17	2	10	0	0	1	47
	8.5%	6.4%	2.1%	10.6%	8.5%	36.2%	4.3%	21.3%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	5	4	0	7	5	26	1	15	6	8	0	77
	6.5%	5.2%	.0%	9.1%	6.5%	33.8%	1.3%	19.5%	7.8%	10.4%	.0%	100.0%

Mewat	0	1	2	14	2	5	0	7	1	1	0	33
	.0%	3.0%	6.1%	42.4%	6.1%	15.2%	.0%	21.2%	3.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	3	3	2	1	13	2	3	2	3	0	33
	3.0%	9.1%	9.1%	6.1%	3.0%	39.4%	6.1%	9.1%	6.1%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	1	1	13	7	16	4	31	5	3	0	88
	8.0%	1.1%	1.1%	14.8%	8.0%	18.2%	4.5%	35.2%	5.7%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Total	82	71	26	81	110	328	35	173	24	58	10	998
	8.2%	7.1%	2.6%	8.1%	11.0%	32.9%	3.5%	17.3%	2.4%	5.8%	1.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.6 shows the occupational profile of respondents in urban areas, out of the total respondents, 8.2 percent respondents are agriculture/farmers, 7.1 percent respondents are in government services, 2.6 percent respondents are agriculture labor, 11.0 percent are students while 32.9 percent respondents are involve in the domestic works, 3.5 percent are retired personnel, 17.3 percent respondents are doing their own business, 5.8 percent are working in a private organizations and remaining 2.4 percent are unemployed and 1.0 percent of the respondents are involve with other occupations.

**Table: 3.7
Economic Status of Respondent**

District	Rural						Urban					
	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total
Panchkula	10	7	6	0	0	23	12	5	4	0	0	21
	43.5%	30.4%	26.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	57.1%	23.8%	19.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	20	14	8	0	2	44	10	10	19	5	0	44
	45.5%	31.8%	18.2%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%	22.7%	22.7%	43.2%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	30	5	7	1	1	44	13	5	23	3	0	44
	68.2%	11.4%	15.9%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%	29.5%	11.4%	52.3%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%
Kuruk shetra	29	4	7	4	0	44	23	14	5	1	0	43
	65.9%	9.1%	15.9%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%	53.5%	32.6%	11.6%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	8	3	7	0	44	8	13	19	4	0	44
	59.1%	18.2%	6.8%	15.9%	.0%	100.0%	18.2%	29.5%	43.2%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	15	40	25	7	0	87	6	6	9	1	0	22
	17.2%	46.0%	28.7%	8.0%	.0%	100.0%	27.3%	27.3%	40.9%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	27	6	1	3	0	37	22	7	10	8	1	48
	73.0%	16.2%	2.7%	8.1%	.0%	100.0%	45.8%	14.6%	20.8%	16.7%	2.1%	100.0%
Sonepat	46	6	12	11	1	76	16	3	6	25	9	59
	60.5%	7.9%	15.8%	14.5%	1.3%	100.0%	27.1%	5.1%	10.2%	42.4%	15.3%	100.0%
Jind	10	14	24	10	4	62	2	9	26	10	11	58
	16.1%	22.6%	38.7%	16.1%	6.5%	100.0%	3.4%	15.5%	44.8%	17.2%	19.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	3	8	0	0	11	0	0	15	4	0	19
	.0%	27.3%	72.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	78.9%	21.1%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	11	37	12	0	62	0	4	14	17	3	38
	3.2%	17.7%	59.7%	19.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	10.5%	36.8%	44.7%	7.9%	100.0%
Hisar	2	11	45	22	2	82	0	18	45	24	0	87
	2.4%	13.4%	54.9%	26.8%	2.4%	100.0%	.0%	20.7%	51.7%	27.6%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	6	22	20	14	63	0	9	35	16	10	70
	1.6%	9.5%	34.9%	31.7%	22.2%	100.0%	.0%	12.9%	50.0%	22.9%	14.3%	100.0%
Rohtak	28	0	0	3	1	32	21	9	9	8	7	54
	87.5%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	3.1%	100.0%	38.9%	16.7%	16.7%	14.8%	13.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	10	10	8	7	41	6	13	21	2	6	48
	14.6%	24.4%	24.4%	19.5%	17.1%	100.0%	12.5%	27.1%	43.8%	4.2%	12.5%	100.0%
Mahend ragarh	4	9	48	9	1	71	0	1	12	4	4	21
	5.6%	12.7%	67.6%	12.7%	1.4%	100.0%	.0%	4.8%	57.1%	19.0%	19.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	17	1	2	20	1	1	18	9	18	47
	.0%	.0%	85.0%	5.0%	10.0%	100.0%	2.1%	2.1%	38.3%	19.1%	38.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	1	3	4	2	11	7	16	34	18	2	77
	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	100.0%	9.1%	20.8%	44.2%	23.4%	2.6%	100.0%
Mewat	3	11	13	4	0	31	5	12	12	3	1	33
	9.7%	35.5%	41.9%	12.9%	.0%	100.0%	15.2%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	4	9	15	3	2	33	7	6	15	3	2	33
	12.1%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	6.1%	100.0%	21.2%	18.2%	45.5%	9.1%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	10	18	12	2	43	3	19	40	23	3	88
	2.3%	23.3%	41.9%	27.9%	4.7%	100.0%	3.4%	21.6%	45.5%	26.1%	3.4%	100.0%
Total	265	185	329	141	41	961	162	180	391	188	77	998
	27.6%	19.3%	34.2%	14.7%	4.3%	100.0%	16.2%	18.0%	39.2%	18.8%	7.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey





Table 3.7 shows the economic status of the respondents in rural areas of Haryana. In rural areas, the percentage of the average category is higher than the other group i.e. 34.2 percent of the total respondents followed by very poor and poor which is 27.6 percent and 19.3 percent respectively. The middle constitutes 14.7 percent and only 4.3 percent of the total respondents are rich. On the other hand the economically poor people are less in urban areas than the rural areas of the Haryana. Out of total respondents 16.2 percent and 18.0 percent of the respondents are from the economic category of very poor and poor respectively. Where as the economically high income group is quite larger in urban areas constituting 7.7 percent rich and 18.8 percent belongs to the middle and a larger section of the respondents i.e. 39.2 percent belong to the average category.

Table: 3.8
Social Background of Respondents

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	General	SCs	OBCs		General	SCs	OBCs	
Panchkula	10	5	8	23	15	1	5	21
	43.5%	21.7%	34.8%	100.0%	71.4%	4.8%	23.8%	100.0%
Ambala	16	18	10	44	26	8	10	44
	36.4%	40.9%	22.7%	100.0%	59.1%	18.2%	22.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	22	15	7	44	24	5	15	44
	50.0%	34.1%	15.9%	100.0%	54.5%	11.4%	34.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	29	10	5	44	25	9	9	43
	65.9%	22.7%	11.4%	100.0%	58.1%	20.9%	20.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	25	13	6	44	25	4	15	44
	56.8%	29.5%	13.6%	100.0%	56.8%	9.1%	34.1%	100.0%
Karnal	25	29	33	87	13	7	2	22
	28.7%	33.3%	37.9%	100.0%	59.1%	31.8%	9.1%	100.0%
Panipat	2	19	16	37	4	14	30	48
	5.4%	51.4%	43.2%	100.0%	8.3%	29.2%	62.5%	100.0%
Sonepat	17	34	25	76	36	12	11	59
	22.4%	44.7%	32.9%	100.0%	61.0%	20.3%	18.6%	100.0%
Jind	30	14	18	62	33	15	10	58
	48.4%	22.6%	29.0%	100.0%	56.9%	25.9%	17.2%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	6	3	11	8	5	6	19
	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	100.0%	42.1%	26.3%	31.6%	100.0%
Sirsa	11	24	27	62	22	4	12	38
	17.7%	38.7%	43.5%	100.0%	57.9%	10.5%	31.6%	100.0%
Hisar	18	31	33	82	19	38	30	87
	22.0%	37.8%	40.2%	100.0%	21.8%	43.7%	34.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	21	27	15	63	34	23	13	70
	33.3%	42.9%	23.8%	100.0%	48.6%	32.9%	18.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	3	21	8	32	12	17	25	54
	9.4%	65.6%	25.0%	100.0%	22.2%	31.5%	46.3%	100.0%
Jhajjar	25	6	10	41	21	10	17	48
	61.0%	14.6%	24.4%	100.0%	43.8%	20.8%	35.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	44	15	12	71	16	4	1	21
	62.0%	21.1%	16.9%	100.0%	76.2%	19.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Rewari	13	3	4	20	26	11	10	47
	65.0%	15.0%	20.0%	100.0%	55.3%	23.4%	21.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	11	11	16	22	39	77
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	20.8%	28.6%	50.6%	100.0%
Mewat	1	7	23	31	3	3	27	33
	3.2%	22.6%	74.2%	100.0%	9.1%	9.1%	81.8%	100.0%
Palwal	19	1	13	33	15	4	14	33
	57.6%	3.0%	39.4%	100.0%	45.5%	12.1%	42.4%	100.0%
Faridabad	24	4	15	43	65	2	21	88
	55.8%	9.3%	34.9%	100.0%	73.9%	2.3%	23.9%	100.0%
Total	357	302	302	961	458	218	322	998
	37.1%	31.4%	31.4%	100.0%	45.9%	21.8%	32.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.8 shows that in the rural areas of Haryana out of total respondents 37.1 percent belong to general category and 31.4 percent belong to scheduled castes and other backward classes respectively. In the urban areas larger number of respondents belongs to the general category i.e. 45.9 percent, 21.8 percent are from scheduled castes and remaining 32.3 percent belong to other backward classes.

Table: 3.9
Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	23	0	23	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	43	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	57	30	87	21	1	22
	65.5%	34.5%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Panipat	1	36	37	0	48	48
	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	11	65	76	3	56	59
	14.5%	85.5%	100.0%	5.1%	94.9%	100.0%
Jind	28	34	62	41	17	58
	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%	70.7%	29.3%	100.0%
Fatehabad	7	4	11	16	3	19
	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%
Sirsa	43	19	62	35	3	38
	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%
Hisar	43	39	82	52	35	87
	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%	59.8%	40.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	43	20	63	43	27	70
	68.3%	31.7%	100.0%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	32	32	1	53	54
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	36	5	41	42	6	48
	87.8%	12.2%	100.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	44	27	71	21	0	21
	62.0%	38.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	20	46	1	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	77	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	30	1	31	32	1	33
	96.8%	3.2%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	33	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	88	0	88
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	648	313	961	747	251	998
	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%	74.8%	25.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.9 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election. Respondents in the urban areas are more aware than the respondents in rural areas. In rural areas only 67.4 percent of the respondents are aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to 74.8 percent in the urban areas. On the other hand 32.6 percent of the respondents in rural areas and 25.2 percent in urban areas are not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Table: 3.10
Opinion of the respondents on the polling of their votes

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Necessary	Not necessary		Necessary	Not Necessary	
Panchkula	22	1	23	19	2	21
	95.7%	4.3%	100.0%	90.5%	9.5%	100.0%
Ambala	40	4	44	43	1	44
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	41	3	44	43	0	43
	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	2	44	44	0	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	87	0	87	22	0	22
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	36	1	37	47	1	48
	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%
Sonepat	60	16	76	50	9	59
	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%
Jind	61	1	62	58	0	58
	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	61	1	62	38	0	38
	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	82	0	82	86	1	87
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.9%	1.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	58	5	63	70	0	70
	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	31	1	32	54	0	54
	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	38	3	41	48	0	48
	92.7%	7.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	71	0	71	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	19	1	20	43	4	47
	95.0%	5.0%	100.0%	91.5%	8.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	77	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	31	33	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	33	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	38	5	43	88	0	88
	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	917	44	961	980	18	998
	95.4%	4.6%	100.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.10 shows the opinion of the respondents regarding the polling of their votes. In both the sample category i.e. rural as well as in urban, the respondents feel that the casting of their vote is necessary which constitute 95.4 percent and 98.2 percent in rural and urban areas respectively. Where as only a marginal of respondents in the rural and the urban areas which constitute 4.6 percent in rural and 1.8 percent in the urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is not necessary.

Table: 3.11
Respondent's opinion on benefit in casting the vote

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	16	7	23	12	9	21
	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Ambala	37	7	44	42	2	44
	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	31	13	44	36	8	44
	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	35	9	44	36	7	43
	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%	83.7%	16.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	2	44	38	6	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%
Karnal	60	27	87	15	7	22
	69.0%	31.0%	100.0%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	37	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	73	3	76	59	0	59
	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	60	2	62	58	0	58
	96.8%	3.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	60	2	62	37	1	38
	96.8%	3.2%	100.0%	97.4%	2.6%	100.0%
Hisar	79	3	82	87	0	87
	96.3%	3.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	57	6	63	61	9	70
	90.5%	9.5%	100.0%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	32	54	0	54
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	40	1	41	43	5	48
	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%	89.6%	10.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	71	0	71	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	19	1	20	45	2	47
	95.0%	5.0%	100.0%	95.7%	4.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	6	5	11	60	17	77
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	77.9%	22.1%	100.0%
Mewat	30	1	31	31	2	33
	96.8%	3.2%	100.0%	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	32	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	88	0	88
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	872	89	961	922	76	998
	90.7%	9.3%	100.0%	92.4%	7.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.11 shows that the larger section of the respondents in the rural areas and the urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is beneficial which constitute the percentage of 90.7 percent in rural and 92.4 percent in urban areas. On the other hand 9.3 percent and the 7.6 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively feel that casting of their votes is not beneficial.

Table: 3.12
Respondent's Opinion if casting of Vote is Fundamental Right

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	22	0	1	23	20	1	0	21
	95.7%	.0%	4.3%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	43	1	0	44	44	0	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	42	2	0	44	42	1	1	44
	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%	95.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	43	0	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	87	0	0	87	22	0	0	22
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	0	37	48	0	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	64	0	12	76	55	0	4	59
	84.2%	.0%	15.8%	100.0%	93.2%	.0%	6.8%	100.0%
Jind	58	1	3	62	55	1	2	58
	93.5%	1.6%	4.8%	100.0%	94.8%	1.7%	3.4%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	0	11	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	60	2	0	62	38	0	0	38
	96.8%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	77	3	2	82	80	2	5	87
	93.9%	3.7%	2.4%	100.0%	92.0%	2.3%	5.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	60	1	2	63	66	0	4	70
	95.2%	1.6%	3.2%	100.0%	94.3%	.0%	5.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	0	32	53	0	1	54
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.1%	.0%	1.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	35	0	6	41	40	1	7	48
	85.4%	.0%	14.6%	100.0%	83.3%	2.1%	14.6%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	70	1	0	71	21	0	0	21
	98.6%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	0	20	47	0	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	1	0	11	76	1	0	77
	90.9%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%	98.7%	1.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	0	31	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	32	0	1	33	32	1	0	33
	97.0%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	41	1	1	43	87	0	1	88
	95.3%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%	98.9%	.0%	1.1%	100.0%
Total	920	13	28	961	965	8	25	998
	95.7%	1.4%	2.9%	100.0%	94.7	4.9%	1.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.12 shows that out of the total respondents from rural areas, 95.7 percent respondents feel that to cast their vote in election is their fundamental right. On the other hand, out of the total respondents in the urban areas, 94.7 percent respondents feel that casting their vote in election is their fundamental right. Only a marginal of 1.4 percent and 1.2 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively are not aware of their fundamental right to cast the vote.

Table: 3.13
Training of Respondents for using EVMs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	18	5	0	23	20	1	0	21
	78.3%	21.7%	.0%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	38	6	0	44	39	5	0	44
	86.4%	13.6%	.0%	100.0%	88.6%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	29	15	0	44	35	9	0	44
	65.9%	34.1%	.0%	100.0%	79.5%	20.5%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	40	4	0	44	37	6	0	43
	90.9%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%	86.0%	14.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	41	3	0	44	43	1	0	44
	93.2%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	26	60	1	87	11	11	0	22
	29.9%	69.0%	1.1%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	0	37	48	0	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	59	6	11	76	52	4	3	59
	77.6%	7.9%	14.5%	100.0%	88.1%	6.8%	5.1%	100.0%
Jind	49	12	1	62	50	8	0	58
	79.0%	19.4%	1.6%	100.0%	86.2%	13.8%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	0	11	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	62	0	0	62	31	7	0	38
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	81.6%	18.4%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	72	5	5	82	75	6	6	87
	87.8%	6.1%	6.1%	100.0%	86.2%	6.9%	6.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	62	1	0	63	63	7	0	70
	98.4%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	2	1	32	54	0	0	54
	90.6%	6.2%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	17	21	3	41	15	31	2	48
	41.5%	51.2%	7.3%	100.0%	31.2%	64.6%	4.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	68	3	0	71	21	0	0	21
	95.8%	4.2%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	0	20	45	2	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.7%	4.3%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	0	11	41	34	2	77
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	53.2%	44.2%	2.6%	100.0%
Mewat	26	5	0	31	21	10	2	33
	83.9%	16.1%	.0%	100.0%	63.6%	30.3%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	14	18	1	33	17	16	0	33
	42.4%	54.5%	3.0%	100.0%	51.5%	48.5%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	25	18	0	43	73	15	0	88
	58.1%	41.9%	.0%	100.0%	83.0%	17.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	743	195	23	961	810	173	15	998
	77.3%	20.3%	2.4%	100.0%	81.2%	17.3%	1.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.13 shows the percentage of respondents who received training for using EVM before using EVM to cast their votes. In rural areas 77.3 percent of the respondents have gone through the training session before the election while 20.3 percent of the respondents have never received any training and in urban areas 81.2 percent of the respondents got training and 17.3 percent have not received any training to use the EVM before the election. Where as 2.4 percent in the rural and the 1.5 percent in the urban areas are not aware about the use of EMV machines in election.

Table: 3.14
Opinion of the Respondents for the renewal of Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	21	2	0	23	21	0	0	21
	91.3%	8.7%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	39	4	1	44	30	5	9	44
	88.6%	9.1%	2.3%	100.0%	68.2%	11.4%	20.5%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	42	1	1	44	42	1	1	44
	95.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%	95.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	43	0	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	1	0	44	44	0	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	59	25	3	87	17	5	0	22
	67.8%	28.7%	3.4%	100.0%	77.3%	22.7%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	0	36	37	1	0	47	48
	2.7%	.0%	97.3%	100.0%	2.1%	.0%	97.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	13	63	76	3	0	56	59
	.0%	17.1%	82.9%	100.0%	5.1%	.0%	94.9%	100.0%
Jind	42	5	15	62	47	2	9	58
	67.7%	8.1%	24.2%	100.0%	81.0%	3.4%	15.5%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	10	0	11	0	19	0	19
	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	6	43	13	62	8	12	18	38
	9.7%	69.4%	21.0%	100.0%	21.1%	31.6%	47.4%	100.0%
Hisar	11	52	19	82	19	54	14	87
	13.4%	63.4%	23.2%	100.0%	21.8%	62.1%	16.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	50	13	0	63	39	23	8	70
	79.4%	20.6%	.0%	100.0%	55.7%	32.9%	11.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	3	29	32	0	0	54	54
	.0%	9.4%	90.6%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	18	16	7	41	16	24	8	48
	43.9%	39.0%	17.1%	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	61	1	9	71	21	0	0	21
	85.9%	1.4%	12.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	0	20	46	1	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.9%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	10	0	11	40	20	17	77
	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%	51.9%	26.0%	22.1%	100.0%
Mewat	25	5	1	31	21	7	5	33
	80.6%	16.1%	3.2%	100.0%	63.6%	21.2%	15.2%	100.0%
Palwal	13	12	8	33	17	6	10	33
	39.4%	36.4%	24.2%	100.0%	51.5%	18.2%	30.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	23	18	2	43	70	17	1	88
	53.5%	41.9%	4.7%	100.0%	79.5%	19.3%	1.1%	100.0%
Total	520	234	207	961	545	196	257	998
	54.1%	24.3%	21.5%	100.0%	54.6%	19.6%	25.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.14 shows the opinion of the respondents for the renewal of the voter's list. Out of the total respondents from the rural and the urban areas 54.1 percent and 54.6 percent respectively are of the opinion that the voter's list should be renewed whereas 24.3 percent of the rural and the 19.6 percent of the urban respondents think that the renewal of the voter's list is not necessary and remaining 21.5 percent and the 25.8 percent from the rural and the urban areas respectively are least concerned about the renewal of voter's list.

Table: 3.15
Respondent's Opinion about Legislative Assembly Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Correct	Incorrect	Not seen		Correct	Incorrect	Not seen	
Panchkula	7 30.4%	10 43.5%	6 26.1%	23 100.0%	3 14.3%	14 66.7%	4 19.0%	21 100.0%
Ambala	11 25.0%	15 34.1%	18 40.9%	44 100.0%	19 43.2%	7 15.9%	18 40.9%	44 100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	15 34.1%	18 40.9%	11 25.0%	44 100.0%	17 38.6%	22 50.0%	5 11.4%	44 100.0%
Kurukshetra	18 40.9%	12 27.3%	14 31.8%	44 100.0%	10 23.3%	17 39.5%	16 37.2%	43 100.0%
Kaithal	3 6.8%	15 34.1%	26 59.1%	44 100.0%	12 27.3%	15 34.1%	17 38.6%	44 100.0%
Karnal	75 86.2%	1 1.1%	11 12.6%	87 100.0%	14 63.6%	3 13.6%	5 22.7%	22 100.0%
Panipat	1 2.7%	0 .0%	36 97.3%	37 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	48 100.0%	48 100.0%
Sonepat	13 17.1%	6 7.9%	57 75.0%	76 100.0%	4 6.8%	22 37.3%	33 55.9%	59 100.0%
Jind	48 77.4%	1 1.6%	13 21.0%	62 100.0%	53 91.4%	1 1.7%	4 6.9%	58 100.0%
Fatehabad	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 100.0%	11 100.0%	1 5.3%	1 5.3%	17 89.5%	19 100.0%
Sirsa	1 1.6%	0 .0%	61 98.4%	62 100.0%	15 39.5%	1 2.6%	22 57.9%	38 100.0%
Hisar	23 28.0%	4 4.9%	55 67.1%	82 100.0%	19 21.8%	0 .0%	68 78.2%	87 100.0%
Bhiwani	48 76.2%	7 11.1%	8 12.7%	63 100.0%	37 52.9%	7 10.0%	26 37.1%	70 100.0%
Rohtak	1 3.1%	8 25.0%	23 71.9%	32 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	54 100.0%	54 100.0%
Jhajjar	17 41.5%	2 4.9%	22 53.7%	41 100.0%	26 54.2%	0 .0%	22 45.8%	48 100.0%
Mahendragarh	59 83.1%	3 4.2%	9 12.7%	71 100.0%	21 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	21 100.0%
Rewari	20 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 100.0%	47 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	47 100.0%
Gurgaon	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 100.0%	11 100.0%	23 29.9%	2 2.6%	52 67.5%	77 100.0%
Mewat	7 22.6%	2 6.5%	22 71.0%	31 100.0%	2 6.1%	4 12.1%	27 81.8%	33 100.0%
Palwal	14 42.4%	3 9.1%	16 48.5%	33 100.0%	12 36.4%	3 9.1%	18 54.5%	33 100.0%
Faridabad	12 27.9%	9 20.9%	22 51.2%	43 100.0%	40 45.5%	3 3.4%	45 51.1%	88 100.0%
Total	393 40.9%	116 12.1%	452 47.0%	961 100.0%	375 37.6%	122 12.2%	501 50.2%	998 100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.15 shows that in rural areas, 40.9 percent respondents feel that voter list is correct. 47.0 percent respondents have not seen voter list, only 12.1 percent respondents feel that voter list is incorrect. In urban areas, 37.6 percent respondents feel that voter list is correct, 50.2 percent respondents have not seen the voter list which is higher than the rural percentage (47.0) and 12.2 percent respondents feel that the voter list is incorrect.

Table: 3.16
Knowledge about Polling Booth

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	22	1	23	21	0	21
	95.7%	4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	8	44	44	0	44
	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	42	1	43
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	87	0	87	21	1	22
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	37	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	74	2	76	59	0	59
	97.4%	2.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	61	0	62	58	0	58
	98.4%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	62	0	62	38	0	38
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	82	0	82	87	0	87
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	60	3	63	66	4	70
	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%	94.3%	5.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	31	1	32	54	0	54
	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	41	0	41	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	71	0	71	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	20	47	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	77	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	31	33	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	32	1	33	33	0	33
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	88	0	88
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	943	17	961	992	6	998
	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%	99.4%	0.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.16 in rural areas, 98.1 percent of the respondents has knowledge about their polling booths, 1.9 percent respondents are not aware about their polling booths. In urban areas, 99.4 percent of the total respondents have knowledge, 0.6 percent respondents are not aware about their pooling booths.

Table: 3.17
Respondent's Awareness about Serial Number of their Names in Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	23	0	23	0	21	0	21
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	2	42	0	44	0	43	1	44
	4.5%	95.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	0	44	0	43	0	43
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	44	0	44	1	43	0	44
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	41	45	1	87	6	16	0	22
	47.1%	51.7%	1.1%	100.0%	27.3%	72.7%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	36	37	1	0	47	48
	.0%	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%	2.1%	.0%	97.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	2	73	76	1	0	58	59
	1.3%	2.6%	96.1%	100.0%	1.7%	.0%	98.3%	100.0%
Jind	5	54	3	62	16	41	1	58
	8.1%	87.1%	4.8%	100.0%	27.6%	70.7%	1.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	0	11	0	19	0	19
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	62	0	62	1	17	20	38
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	2.6%	44.7%	52.6%	100.0%
Hisar	0	74	8	82	0	78	9	87
	.0%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%	.0%	89.7%	10.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	7	56	0	63	6	64	0	70
	11.1%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%	8.6%	91.4%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	32	32	0	0	54	54
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	14	27	0	41	19	29	0	48
	34.1%	65.9%	.0%	100.0%	39.6%	60.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	9	62	0	71	14	7	0	21
	12.7%	87.3%	.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	20	0	20	4	43	0	47
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	8.5%	91.5%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	0	11	16	61	0	77
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	20.8%	79.2%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	8	23	0	31	8	25	0	33
	25.8%	74.2%	.0%	100.0%	24.2%	75.8%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	30	3	33	0	33	0	33
	.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	42	0	43	27	61	0	88
	2.3%	97.7%	.0%	100.0%	30.7%	69.3%	.0%	100.0%
Total	88	717	156	961	120	688	190	998
	9.2%	74.6%	16.2%	100.0%	12.0%	68.9%	19.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.17 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the serial number of their name in the voter list. Only a marginal of 9.2 percent and 12.0 percent of respondents in the rural and the urban areas respectively are aware of the serial number of their names in the voter list. On the other hand a large section of the respondents, i.e. 74.6 percent from rural and 68.9 percent from urban areas are not aware of the serial number of their names in the voting list.

Table: 3.18
Whether the respondents received the EPICS

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	23	0	23	20	1	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%
Ambala	42	2	44	44	0	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	43	1	44	43	0	43
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	1	44	42	2	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Karnal	85	2	87	21	1	22
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	37	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	76	0	76	59	0	59
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	62	0	62	57	1	58
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	61	1	62	36	2	38
	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
Hisar	56	26	82	63	24	87
	68.3%	31.7%	100.0%	72.4%	27.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	48	15	63	49	21	70
	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	32	54	0	54
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	35	6	41	47	1	48
	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	71	0	71	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	20	45	2	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.7%	4.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	1	11	77	0	77
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	29	2	31	33	0	33
	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	31	2	33	32	1	33
	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	87	1	88
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.9%	1.1%	100.0%
Total	902	59	961	941	57	998
	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%	94.3%	5.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.18 shows that 93.9 percent in the rural and in the urban 94.3 percent has received their EPICs while 6.1 percent and 5.7 percent from rural and urban areas respectively haven't got their EPICs.

Table 3.19
Problem faced by the voters in making EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	8	15	0	23	16	5	0	21
	34.8%	65.2%	.0%	100.0%	76.2%	23.8%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	7	37	0	44	5	39	0	44
	15.9%	84.1%	.0%	100.0%	11.4%	88.6%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	20	24	0	44	13	31	0	44
	45.5%	54.5%	.0%	100.0%	29.5%	70.5%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	11	33	0	44	12	31	0	43
	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%	27.9%	72.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	7	37	0	44	8	36	0	44
	15.9%	84.1%	.0%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	46	40	1	87	17	5	0	22
	52.9%	46.0%	1.1%	100.0%	77.3%	22.7%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	5	32	37	1	2	45	48
	.0%	13.5%	86.5%	100.0%	2.1%	4.2%	93.8%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	73	2	76	1	55	3	59
	1.3%	96.1%	2.6%	100.0%	1.7%	93.2%	5.1%	100.0%
Jind	3	55	4	62	4	52	2	58
	4.8%	88.7%	6.5%	100.0%	6.9%	89.7%	3.4%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	0	11	1	18	0	19
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	5.3%	94.7%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	57	3	62	0	37	1	38
	3.2%	91.9%	4.8%	100.0%	.0%	97.4%	2.6%	100.0%
Hisar	3	74	5	82	5	80	2	87
	3.7%	90.2%	6.1%	100.0%	5.7%	92.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	5	58	0	63	4	66	0	70
	7.9%	92.1%	.0%	100.0%	5.7%	94.3%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	32	0	32	4	50	0	54
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	7.4%	92.6%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	35	0	41	5	43	0	48
	14.6%	85.4%	.0%	100.0%	10.4%	89.6%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	6	65	0	71	2	18	1	21
	8.5%	91.5%	.0%	100.0%	9.5%	85.7%	4.8%	100.0%
Rewari	1	19	0	20	0	47	0	47
	5.0%	95.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	10	0	11	0	76	1	77
	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Mewat	4	27	0	31	4	29	0	33
	12.9%	87.1%	.0%	100.0%	12.1%	87.9%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	32	1	33	2	30	1	33
	.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	6.1%	90.9%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	42	1	43	4	84	0	88
	.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	4.5%	95.5%	.0%	100.0%
Total	131	781	49	961	108	834	56	998
	13.6%	81.3%	5.1%	100.0%	10.8%	83.6%	5.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.19 shows that in rural areas out of total, 13.6 percent of the respondents said that they faced problems in preparing EPICs and a larger section i.e. 81.3 percent of the respondents feels that they hasn't faced any problem while preparing their EPICs. And in the urban areas only 10.8 percents had faced problems while 83.6 percent haven't faced any kind of problems in preparing their EPICs.

Table: 3.20
Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Beneficial	Not Beneficial	Can't say		Beneficial	Not Beneficial	Can't say	
Panchkula	23	0	0	23	21	0	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	0	44	42	1	1	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	43	1	0	44	42	2	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	43	0	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	80	6	1	87	21	1	0	22
	92.0%	6.9%	1.1%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	0	37	46	0	2	48
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.8%	.0%	4.2%	100.0%
Sonepat	62	0	14	76	55	0	4	59
	81.6%	.0%	18.4%	100.0%	93.2%	.0%	6.8%	100.0%
Jind	58	1	3	62	57	0	1	58
	93.5%	1.6%	4.8%	100.0%	98.3%	.0%	1.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	0	11	17	0	2	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	89.5%	.0%	10.5%	100.0%
Sirsa	60	2	0	62	30	0	8	38
	96.8%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%	78.9%	.0%	21.1%	100.0%
Hisar	71	0	11	82	81	2	4	87
	86.6%	.0%	13.4%	100.0%	93.1%	2.3%	4.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	56	5	2	63	64	5	1	70
	88.9%	7.9%	3.2%	100.0%	91.4%	7.1%	1.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	0	32	54	0	0	54
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	37	1	3	41	43	5	0	48
	90.2%	2.4%	7.3%	100.0%	89.6%	10.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	70	1	0	71	19	1	1	21
	98.6%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%	90.5%	4.8%	4.8%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	0	20	44	3	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.6%	6.4%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	77	0	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	0	31	32	1	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	0	33	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Faridabad	43	0	0	43	88	0	0	88
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	910	17	34	961	953	21	24	998
	94.7%	1.8%	3.5%	100.0%	95.5%	2.1%	2.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.20 shows the opinion of the respondents on the benefits of EPICs. Out of the total 94.7 percent from the rural and the 95.5 percent from the urban areas feel that it is beneficial to have a voter photo identity card, while 1.8 percent and 2.1 percent in rural and urban respectively feels that EPICs is not beneficial and 3.5 percent in rural and 2.4 percent respondents in urban areas are not sure about it.

Table: 3.21
Respondent's awareness about B.L.O.

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Aware	Not aware	Can't say		Aware	Not aware	Can't say	
Panchkula	16	7	0	23	18	3	0	21
	69.6%	30.4%	.0%	100.0%	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	21	22	1	44	27	16	1	44
	47.7%	50.0%	2.3%	100.0%	61.4%	36.4%	2.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	32	6	6	44	39	1	4	44
	72.7%	13.6%	13.6%	100.0%	88.6%	2.3%	9.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	39	5	0	44	42	0	1	43
	88.6%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	2	0	44	43	1	0	44
	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	64	20	3	87	10	12	0	22
	73.6%	23.0%	3.4%	100.0%	45.5%	54.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	36	37	0	1	47	48
	.0%	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%	.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	10	0	66	76	21	1	37	59
	13.2%	.0%	86.8%	100.0%	35.6%	1.7%	62.7%	100.0%
Jind	36	21	5	62	40	14	4	58
	58.1%	33.9%	8.1%	100.0%	69.0%	24.1%	6.9%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	0	11	0	19	0	19
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	62	0	62	0	32	6	38
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%
Hisar	3	73	6	82	6	74	7	87
	3.7%	89.0%	7.3%	100.0%	6.9%	85.1%	8.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	28	29	6	63	8	62	0	70
	44.4%	46.0%	9.5%	100.0%	11.4%	88.6%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	22	32	0	1	53	54
	31.2%	.0%	68.8%	100.0%	.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	11	28	2	41	16	29	3	48
	26.8%	68.3%	4.9%	100.0%	33.3%	60.4%	6.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	32	38	1	71	12	9	0	21
	45.1%	53.5%	1.4%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	2	18	0	20	10	36	1	47
	10.0%	90.0%	.0%	100.0%	21.3%	76.6%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	9	2	11	18	53	6	77
	.0%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	23.4%	68.8%	7.8%	100.0%
Mewat	8	23	0	31	3	26	4	33
	25.8%	74.2%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	78.8%	12.1%	100.0%
Palwal	8	24	1	33	11	22	0	33
	24.2%	72.7%	3.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	9	33	1	43	26	62	0	88
	20.9%	76.7%	2.3%	100.0%	29.5%	70.5%	.0%	100.0%
Total	371	432	158	961	350	474	174	998
	38.6%	45.0%	16.4%	100.0%	35.1%	47.5%	17.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.21 shows that the larger sections of the respondents are not aware or not sure about the B.L.O. In rural areas 38.6 percent are aware of the B.L.O and in urban areas only 35.1 percent are aware about the B.L.O. On the other hand in rural areas 45.0 percent are not aware and 16.4 percent are not sure about the B.L.O. In urban areas 47.5 percent are not aware and 17.4 respondents are not sure about the B.L.O in their constituency.

Table: 3.22
Received help from B.L.O during the preparation of EPICs

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	1	22	23	0	21	21
	4.3%	95.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	16	28	44	12	32	44
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	8	36	44	0	44	44
	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	1	42	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	43	44	0	44	44
	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	40	47	87	8	14	22
	46.0%	54.0%	100.0%	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
Panipat	0	37	37	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	68	76	23	36	59
	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
Jind	52	10	62	42	16	58
	83.9%	16.1%	100.0%	72.4%	27.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	11	0	19	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	7	55	62	0	38	38
	11.3%	88.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	2	80	82	12	75	87
	2.4%	97.6%	100.0%	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	37	26	63	30	40	70
	58.7%	41.3%	100.0%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	9	23	32	1	53	54
	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	7	34	41	8	40	48
	17.1%	82.9%	100.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	43	28	71	12	9	21
	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Rewari	2	18	20	11	36	47
	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%	23.4%	76.6%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	11	0	77	77
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	2	29	31	2	31	33
	6.5%	93.5%	100.0%	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Palwal	10	23	33	6	27	33
	30.3%	69.7%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
Faridabad	10	33	43	0	88	88
	23.3%	76.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	255	706	961	168	830	998
	26.5%	73.5%	100.0%	16.8%	83.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.22 shows that the voters are getting less help from the B.L.O. Out of the total only 26.5 percent of the respondent in rural area and 16.8 percent in the urban areas respondents said that they got help from the B.L.O. at the time of preparation of voter identity cards where as larger section of the respondents i.e. 73.5 percent and 83.2 percent from the rural and urban respondent said that they haven't received any help from the B.L.O. while preparing their EPICs.

Table: 3.23
Respondent's Knowledge about Appointment of B.L.A. in their area

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Aware	Not aware	Can't say		Aware	Not aware	Can't say	
Panchkula	7	16	0	23	3	18	0	21
	30.4%	69.6%	.0%	100.0%	14.3%	85.7%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	9	35	0	44	14	30	0	44
	20.5%	79.5%	.0%	100.0%	31.8%	68.2%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	10	34	0	44	4	33	7	44
	22.7%	77.3%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	75.0%	15.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	38	6	44	1	39	3	43
	.0%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%	2.3%	90.7%	7.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	3	23	18	44	2	26	16	44
	6.8%	52.3%	40.9%	100.0%	4.5%	59.1%	36.4%	100.0%
Karnal	4	83	0	87	1	21	0	22
	4.6%	95.4%	.0%	100.0%	4.5%	95.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	36	37	0	1	47	48
	.0%	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%	.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	10	4	62	76	24	2	33	59
	13.2%	5.3%	81.6%	100.0%	40.7%	3.4%	55.9%	100.0%
Jind	27	28	7	62	30	20	7	58
	43.5%	45.2%	11.3%	100.0%	51.7%	34.5%	12.1%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	1	11	0	19	0	19
	.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	59	2	62	1	33	4	38
	1.6%	95.2%	3.2%	100.0%	2.6%	86.8%	10.5%	100.0%
Hisar	0	81	1	82	1	85	1	87
	.0%	98.8%	1.2%	100.0%	1.1%	97.7%	1.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	27	35	1	63	14	54	2	70
	42.9%	55.6%	1.6%	100.0%	20.0%	77.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	22	32	0	0	54	54
	31.2%	.0%	68.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	25	16	0	41	29	18	1	48
	61.0%	39.0%	.0%	100.0%	60.4%	37.5%	2.1%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	28	41	2	71	12	9	0	21
	39.4%	57.7%	2.8%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	3	16	1	20	10	36	1	47
	15.0%	80.0%	5.0%	100.0%	21.3%	76.6%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	0	11	7	70	0	77
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	7	23	1	31	7	25	1	33
	22.6%	74.2%	3.2%	100.0%	21.2%	75.8%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	12	18	3	33	8	25	0	33
	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%	100.0%	24.2%	75.8%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	8	34	1	43	26	62	0	88
	18.6%	79.1%	2.3%	100.0%	29.5%	70.5%	.0%	100.0%
Total	191	606	164	961	194	626	177	998
	19.9%	63.1%	17.1%	100.0%	19.4%	62.7%	17.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.23 shows the responder's awareness about the appointments of the B.L.A. by different political parties. Only a marginal section of responders constituting 19.9 percent in rural areas and 19.4 percent responders from urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A. On the other hand 63.1 percent and 62.7 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively are not aware about the appointment of the B.L.A by different political parties.

Table: 3.24
Respondent's opinion about the change in election environment

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	3	18	0	21	4	17	0	21
	14.3%	85.7%	.0%	100.0%	19.0%	81.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	30	0	44	13	23	8	44
	31.8%	68.2%	.0%	100.0%	29.5%	52.3%	18.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	4	33	7	44	5	30	9	44
	9.1%	75.0%	15.9%	100.0%	11.4%	68.2%	20.5%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	1	39	3	43	1	39	3	43
	2.3%	90.7%	7.0%	100.0%	2.3%	90.7%	7.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	2	26	16	44	0	27	17	44
	4.5%	59.1%	36.4%	100.0%	.0%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
Karnal	1	21	0	22	21	1	0	22
	4.5%	95.5%	.0%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	47	48	2	1	45	48
	.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%	4.2%	2.1%	93.8%	100.0%
Sonepat	24	2	33	59	54	0	5	59
	40.7%	3.4%	55.9%	100.0%	91.5%	.0%	8.5%	100.0%
Jind	30	20	7	58	42	10	6	58
	51.7%	34.5%	12.1%	100.0%	72.4%	17.2%	10.3%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	19	0	19	0	4	15	19
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	21.1%	78.9%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	33	4	38	4	19	15	38
	2.6%	86.8%	10.5%	100.0%	10.5%	50.0%	39.5%	100.0%
Hisar	1	85	1	87	23	60	4	87
	1.1%	97.7%	1.1%	100.0%	26.4%	69.0%	4.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	54	2	70	41	22	7	70
	20.0%	77.1%	2.9%	100.0%	58.6%	31.4%	10.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	54	54	54	0	0	54
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	29	18	1	48	36	4	8	48
	60.4%	37.5%	2.1%	100.0%	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	12	9	0	21	21	0	0	21
	57.1%	42.9%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	10	36	1	47	45	1	1	47
	21.3%	76.6%	2.1%	100.0%	95.7%	2.1%	2.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	7	70	0	77	42	18	17	77
	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%	54.5%	23.4%	22.1%	100.0%
Mewat	7	25	1	33	28	3	2	33
	21.2%	75.8%	3.0%	100.0%	84.8%	9.1%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	8	25	0	33	20	1	12	33
	24.2%	75.8%	.0%	100.0%	60.6%	3.0%	36.4%	100.0%
Faridabad	26	62	0	88	82	1	5	88
	29.5%	70.5%	.0%	100.0%	93.2%	1.1%	5.7%	100.0%
Total	194	626	177	998	538	281	179	998
	19.4%	62.7%	17.7%	100.0%	53.9%	28.2%	17.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.24 shows the opinion of the respondents about change in the election environment during last few years. In rural areas out of total 19.4 percent thinks that there is a change in the election environment during last few years while 62.7 percent feel that there is no as such changes in the election environment and 17.7 percent are not sure about the changes. On the other hand in urban areas 53.2 percent of the respondents feel that some changes is there in the election environment while 28.2 percents feels the election environment is same and no such changes is there in the election environment and 17.9 percent of the urban respondents are not sure about the change.

Table: 3.25
Mode used to cast their vote during last elections

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Ballet paper	EVM		Ballet paper	EVM	
Panchkula	16	7	23	11	10	21
	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%	52.4%	47.6%	100.0%
Ambala	20	24	44	17	27	44
	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	28	16	44	30	14	44
	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	33	11	44	23	20	43
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	53.5%	46.5%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	28	44	13	31	44
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	29.5%	70.5%	100.0%
Karnal	66	21	87	18	4	22
	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
Panipat	1	36	37	1	47	48
	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	68	8	76	29	30	59
	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
Jind	44	18	62	42	16	58
	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%	72.4%	27.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	9	2	11	19	0	19
	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	57	5	62	30	8	38
	91.9%	8.1%	100.0%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Hisar	74	8	82	61	26	87
	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%	70.1%	29.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	39	24	63	41	29	70
	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%	58.6%	41.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	7	25	32	1	53	54
	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	27	14	41	18	30	48
	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	53	18	71	7	14	21
	74.6%	25.4%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Rewari	13	7	20	35	12	47
	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	8	3	11	55	22	77
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Mewat	14	17	31	25	8	33
	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Palwal	22	11	33	25	8	33
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Faridabad	31	12	43	66	22	88
	72.1%	27.9%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Total	646	315	961	567	431	998
	67.2%	32.8%	100.0%	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.25 shows that the 67.2 percent of the rural respondents and the 56.8 percent of the urban respondents used Ballet paper to cast their vote during last elections while 32.8 percent and 43.2 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively used EVM to cast their votes.

Table: 3.26
Respondent's participation in the last Lok Sabha (Parliament) Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	23	0	23	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	37	7	44	27	17	44
	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	43	1	44	44	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	43	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	1	44	35	9	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%
Karnal	84	3	87	22	0	22
	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	37	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	63	13	76	53	6	59
	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%	89.8%	10.2%	100.0%
Jind	56	6	62	53	5	58
	90.3%	9.7%	100.0%	91.4%	8.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	18	1	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
Sirsa	55	7	62	34	4	38
	88.7%	11.3%	100.0%	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%
Hisar	81	1	82	85	2	87
	98.8%	1.2%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	56	7	63	65	5	70
	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	32	53	1	54
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	37	4	41	48	0	48
	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	68	3	71	21	0	21
	95.8%	4.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	20	44	3	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.6%	6.4%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	1	11	73	4	77
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	94.8%	5.2%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	31	30	3	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Palwal	29	4	33	33	0	33
	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	83	5	88
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	94.3%	5.7%	100.0%
Total	902	59	961	933	65	998
	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%

Source-CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.26 shows the participation of the respondents from rural and urban areas in the Lok Sabha Elections. The participation level of the respondents in the Lok Sabha Election is equal in the rural and urban areas where 93.9 percent from rural and 93.5 percent from urban areas participated in the last Lok Sabha Election only a marginal of respondents i.e. 6.1 percent respondents from rural and 6.5 percent from urban areas have not participated in the last Lok Sabha Election.

Table: 3.27
Respondent's participation in last Vidhan Sabha (Legislative Assembly) Election (2009)

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	23	0	23	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	43	1	44	40	4	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	43	1	44	44	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	43	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	1	44	39	5	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%
Karnal	81	6	87	22	0	22
	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	35	37	7	41	48
	5.4%	94.6%	100.0%	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%
Sonepat	72	4	76	59	0	59
	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	48	14	62	44	14	58
	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%	75.9%	24.1%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	11	18	1	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
Sirsa	51	11	62	35	3	38
	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%
Hisar	80	2	82	85	2	87
	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	54	9	63	63	7	70
	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	32	53	1	54
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	41	0	41	48	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	63	8	71	19	2	21
	88.7%	11.3%	100.0%	90.5%	9.5%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	20	37	10	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	78.7%	21.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	1	11	76	1	77
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Mewat	28	3	31	28	5	33
	90.3%	9.7%	100.0%	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%
Palwal	30	3	33	33	0	33
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	84	4	88
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
Total	861	100	961	898	100	998
	89.6%	10.4%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.27 shows the participation level of the respondents in the Vidhan Sabha Election is quite lower than the Lok Sabha Election. Where 89.6 percent from rural and 90.0 percent from urban areas participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Election only 10.4 percent respondents from rural and 10.0 percent from urban areas have not participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Election.

Table: 3.28
Willingness of the voters to participate in next coming Election

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	23	0	0	23	21	0	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	0	44	43	1	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	43	0	0	43
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	0	44	43	1	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	84	3	0	87	22	0	0	22
	96.6%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	37	0	0	37	48	0	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	76	0	0	76	59	0	0	59
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	62	0	0	62	57	1	0	58
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	11	0	0	11	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	60	1	1	62	37	1	0	38
	96.8%	1.6%	1.6%	100.0%	97.4%	2.6%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	80	2	0	82	85	0	2	87
	97.6%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	58	0	5	63	60	2	8	70
	92.1%	.0%	7.9%	100.0%	85.7%	2.9%	11.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	32	0	0	32	54	0	0	54
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	41	0	0	41	48	0	0	48
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	71	0	0	71	21	0	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	20	0	0	20	47	0	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	77	0	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	31	0	0	31	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	0	33	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	0	43	85	3	0	88
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Total	949	6	6	961	979	9	10	998
	98.8%	.6%	.6%	100.0%	98.1%	.9%	1.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.28 shows that in rural areas 98.8 percent have shown willingness to participate in the coming elections and in the urban areas the percentage is 98.1 percent. Only a .6 percent and 1.0 percent respondents from rural and urban are not willing to cast their vote in the coming elections.

**Table: 3.29
Election boycotted by the Respondents**

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	23	23	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	87	87	0	22	22
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	37	37	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	76	76	0	59	59
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	62	62	0	58	58
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	11	0	19	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	62	62	1	37	38
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.6%	97.4%	100.0%
Hisar	0	82	82	1	86	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.1%	98.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	62	63	0	70	70
	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	32	32	0	54	54
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	40	41	0	48	48
	2.4%	97.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	71	71	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	20	20	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	11	0	77	77
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	31	31	1	32	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	33	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	42	43	1	87	88
	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	1.1%	98.9%	100.0%
Total	3	958	961	4	994	998
	0.3%	99.7%	100.0%	0.4%	99.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 3.29 shows the percentage of the respondents who had boycotted the election. In the rural areas only 0.3 percent and in urban areas only 0.4 percent of the respondents had boycotted the election. 99.7 percent in rural areas and 99.6 percent respondents in urban areas have not boycotted the election.

Table: 3.30
Opinion of the respondents on the social boycott of election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	23	23	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	87	87	0	22	22
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	37	37	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	76	76	0	59	59
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	61	62	0	58	58
	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	11	11	0	19	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	62	62	0	38	38
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	82	82	0	87	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	63	63	0	70	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	32	32	0	54	54
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	41	41	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	71	71	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	20	20	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	11	0	77	77
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	31	31	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	33	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	43	43	2	86	88
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Total	1	960	961	2	996	998
	0.1%	99.9%	100.0%	0.2%	99.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Only a marginal number of the respondents i.e. 0.1 percent from the rural areas and 0.2 percent of the urban respondents believe that the election was socially boycotted by the voters while 99.9 percent from the rural and 99.8 percent from the urban areas did not feel the same.

Table: 3.31
Difficulty faced by the voters during casting their votes

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	14	9	23	13	8	21
	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%
Ambala	24	20	44	19	25	44
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	43.2%	56.8%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	20	24	44	13	31	44
	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	29.5%	70.5%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	32	44	18	25	43
	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
Kaithal	22	22	44	12	32	44
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
Karnal	0	87	87	0	22	22
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	37	37	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	76	76	0	59	59
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	61	62	0	58	58
	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	10	11	0	19	19
	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	12	50	62	6	32	38
	19.4%	80.6%	100.0%	15.8%	84.2%	100.0%
Hisar	17	65	82	17	70	87
	20.7%	79.3%	100.0%	19.5%	80.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	9	54	63	19	51	70
	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	27.1%	72.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	32	32	0	54	54
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	41	41	0	48	48
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	71	71	1	20	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Rewari	0	20	20	1	46	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	10	11	13	64	77
	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	16.9%	83.1%	100.0%
Mewat	1	30	31	2	31	33
	3.2%	96.8%	100.0%	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Palwal	6	27	33	5	28	33
	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	15.2%	84.8%	100.0%
Faridabad	5	38	43	7	81	88
	11.6%	88.4%	100.0%	8.0%	92.0%	100.0%
Total	145	816	961	146	852	998
	15.1%	84.9%	100.0%	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 3.31 shows the percentage of respondents who faced problems during casting their votes. Out of the total 15.1 percent and 14.6 percent respondents from the rural and the urban areas respectively have responded that they have faced problems, while on the other hand 84.9 percent from the rural and 85.4 percent have never faced any problem during casting their vote. Where as 1.0 each from rural and urban areas have faced non cooperative attitude of the staff stationed at the pooling booths, 0.3 percent and 0.7 percent of the respondents from rural and urban area respectively said that the EVM was not working, 0.9 percent from rural and 0.6 percent from the urban have faced problem as they are not aware to use the EVM and 0.3 percent and 0.4 percent of the rural and urban respectively have faced the non cooperation of the polling agents and 1.6 percent from rural and 2.3 percent from urban areas said that the lengthy queues was the main problem while casting their votes. 1.6 percent of the rural respondents and the 1.3 percent from the urban had said that the lack of facility of ramp was the problem while 2.3 percent and 1.9 percent from rural and urban respectively said that their was lack of facility of drinking water and 2.1 percent from rural and 2.0 from urban percents feels that it was a wastage of time. On the other hand 1.4 percent from rural and 1.2 percent from urban have faced losses in their wages while 1.2 percent and 1.3 percent from rural and urban have faced the fear due to the presence of security forces and remaining 1.2 percent of the respondents from rural and 0.5 percent from urban have faced other problems while casting their votes.

Chapter IV Non Registered Voter's Awareness and Participation

Introduction: This chapter describes voting behaviour of non registered voters in Haryana. Out of total respondents interviewed, 828 respondents were from rural areas and 1013 were from urban areas selected through stratified representative random sampling. Chapter is based on the voter's awareness, perception and their general perception about the election.

The socio- economic profile of non registred respondents:-

**Table: 4.1
Age profile of non registered Respondents**

District	Rural				Total	Urban				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-60	>60		18-29	30-44	45-60	>60	
Panchkula	19	1	1	0	21	18	3	2	0	23
	90.5%	4.8%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%	78.3%	13.0%	8.7%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	33	8	2	1	44	26	11	4	0	41
	75.0%	18.2%	4.5%	2.3%	100.0%	63.4%	26.8%	9.8%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	31	10	3	0	44	32	7	2	3	44
	70.5%	22.7%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%	72.7%	15.9%	4.5%	6.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	29	9	4	2	44	29	10	4	2	45
	65.9%	20.5%	9.1%	4.5%	100.0%	64.4%	22.2%	8.9%	4.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	9	7	2	44	35	4	3	2	44
	59.1%	20.5%	15.9%	4.5%	100.0%	79.5%	9.1%	6.8%	4.5%	100.0%
Karnal	17	2	2	1	22	67	12	0	0	79
	77.3%	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	100.0%	84.8%	15.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	40	7	3	0	50	28	4	2	1	35
	80.0%	14.0%	6.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	11.4%	5.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	59	5	1	1	66	50	6	0	1	57
	89.4%	7.6%	1.5%	1.5%	100.0%	87.7%	10.5%	.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	50	3	1	0	54	50	1	0	0	51
	92.6%	5.6%	1.9%	.0%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	9	1	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	5
	90.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	48	1	1	0	50	42	7	2	0	51
	96.0%	2.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%	82.4%	13.7%	3.9%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	42	5	4	1	52	77	8	1	1	87
	80.8%	9.6%	7.7%	1.9%	100.0%	88.5%	9.2%	1.1%	1.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	63	4	0	0	67	58	2	1	1	62
	94.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.5%	3.2%	1.6%	1.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	0	29	47	6	1	1	55
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	85.5%	10.9%	1.8%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	31	9	1	1	42	32	8	1	0	41
	73.8%	21.4%	2.4%	2.4%	100.0%	78.0%	19.5%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	1	0	0	27	57	2	0	0	59
	96.3%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	2	3	32	19	1	0	1	21
	84.4%	.0%	6.2%	9.4%	100.0%	90.5%	4.8%	.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	3	0	1	14	62	11	3	1	77
	71.4%	21.4%	.0%	7.1%	100.0%	80.5%	14.3%	3.9%	1.3%	100.0%
Mewat	33	1	1	0	35	33	0	0	0	33
	94.3%	2.9%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	32	2	0	0	34	29	4	0	0	33

	94.1%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	87.9%	12.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	41	5	1	0	47	63	6	1	0	70
	87.2%	10.6%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%	90.0%	8.6%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%
Total	695	86	34	13	828	859	113	27	14	1013
	83.9%	10.4%	4.1%	1.6%	100.0%	84.8%	11.2%	2.7%	1.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.1 shows that out of total respondents interviewed from the rural areas of Haryana State 83.9 percent of the total belong to the age group of 18-29 years, 10.4 percent are of the age group of 30-44 years, 4.1 percent respondents are from the age group of 45-49 percent and only 1.6 percent of the total respondents are 60 years or above. On the other hand, out of 1013 respondents interviewed in urban areas of Haryana, 84.8 percent are from the age group of 18-29 years, 11.2 percent belong to 30-44 years and 2.7 percent and 1.4 percent are from the age group of 45-60 years and 60 years or above of age group respectively.

Table: 4.2
Sex composition of Respondents

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Male	Female		Male	Female	
Panchkula	12	9	21	13	10	23
	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	56.5%	43.5%	100.0%
Ambala	25	19	44	23	18	41
	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%	56.1%	43.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	22	22	44	22	22	44
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	17	27	44	18	27	45
	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	18	44	14	30	44
	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%	31.8%	68.2%	100.0%
Karnal	9	13	22	42	37	79
	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	53.2%	46.8%	100.0%
Panipat	34	16	50	16	19	35
	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	20	46	66	37	20	57
	30.3%	69.7%	100.0%	64.9%	35.1%	100.0%
Jind	24	30	54	31	20	51
	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	60.8%	39.2%	100.0%
Fatehabad	5	5	10	3	2	5
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	24	26	50	22	29	51
	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	43.1%	56.9%	100.0%
Hisar	29	23	52	63	24	87
	55.8%	44.2%	100.0%	72.4%	27.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	23	44	67	29	33	62
	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	17	12	29	30	25	55
	58.6%	41.4%	100.0%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
Jhajjar	20	22	42	20	21	41
	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	12	15	27	24	35	59
	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
Rewari	12	20	32	9	12	21
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	4	14	49	28	77
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Mewat	25	10	35	24	9	33
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	27	7	34	18	15	33
	79.4%	20.6%	100.0%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	32	15	47	36	34	70
	68.1%	31.9%	100.0%	51.4%	48.6%	100.0%
Total	425	403	828	543	470	1013
	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%	53.6%	46.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.2 shows that out of total respondents, 51.3 percent of the total respondents are male and remaining 48.7 percent of the respondents are female in the rural areas of Haryana. On the other hand the percentage of male respondents is slightly higher in urban area than the rural area. Out of the total, the male respondents constitute 53.6 percent and the female respondents are 46.4 percent.

Table: 4.3
Educational profile of Respondents in Rural areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	Higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	2	0	4	7	4	4	0	0	21
	9.5%	.0%	19.0%	33.3%	19.0%	19.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	3	4	8	17	8	4	0	0	44
	6.8%	9.1%	18.2%	38.6%	18.2%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	4	5	14	7	10	1	3	0	44
	9.1%	11.4%	31.8%	15.9%	22.7%	2.3%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	10	8	7	7	9	2	1	0	44
	22.7%	18.2%	15.9%	15.9%	20.5%	4.5%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	15	6	6	8	6	3	0	0	44
	34.1%	13.6%	13.6%	18.2%	13.6%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	3	0	5	5	6	2	1	0	22
	13.6%	.0%	22.7%	22.7%	27.3%	9.1%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	3	4	7	15	10	8	3	0	50
	6.0%	8.0%	14.0%	30.0%	20.0%	16.0%	6.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	5	4	9	18	17	9	3	1	66
	7.6%	6.1%	13.6%	27.3%	25.8%	13.6%	4.5%	1.5%	100.0%
Jind	3	6	8	12	17	7	1	0	54
	5.6%	11.1%	14.8%	22.2%	31.5%	13.0%	1.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	0	4	3	2	0	0	0	10
	10.0%	.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	4	6	10	13	10	6	1	0	50
	8.0%	12.0%	20.0%	26.0%	20.0%	12.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	4	8	9	13	11	7	0	0	52
	7.7%	15.4%	17.3%	25.0%	21.2%	13.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	7	4	5	10	34	7	0	0	67
	10.4%	6.0%	7.5%	14.9%	50.7%	10.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	7	7	12	2	1	0	29
	.0%	.0%	24.1%	24.1%	41.4%	6.9%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	5	2	8	11	9	1	0	42
	14.3%	11.9%	4.8%	19.0%	26.2%	21.4%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	1	2	13	10	1	0	27
	.0%	.0%	3.7%	7.4%	48.1%	37.0%	3.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	3	0	0	5	11	13	0	0	32
	9.4%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	34.4%	40.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	2	0	5	3	3	0	0	14
	7.1%	14.3%	.0%	35.7%	21.4%	21.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	11	0	9	8	6	0	1	0	35
	31.4%	.0%	25.7%	22.9%	17.1%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	3	6	9	13	2	0	0	34
	2.9%	8.8%	17.6%	26.5%	38.2%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	5	2	14	9	13	3	0	1	47
	10.6%	4.3%	29.8%	19.1%	27.7%	6.4%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Total	91	67	135	188	226	102	17	2	828
	11.0%	8.1%	16.3%	22.7%	27.3%	12.3%	2.1%	0.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.3 shows the education profile of the respondents in the rural areas. Out of the total 828 respondents 11.0 percent are illiterate, 67 respondents i.e. 9.7 percent are educated up to primary level and 16.3 percent are educated up to middle class, 22.7 percent are educated up to high school and 27.3 percent are higher secondary, 12.3 percent and 2.1 percent respondents are graduates and post graduates respectively and remaining 0.2 percent are others like diploma holders.

Table: 4.4
Educational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	2	2	2	6	6	5	0	0	23
	8.7%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	26.1%	21.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	2	6	11	7	12	2	0	41
	2.4%	4.9%	14.6%	26.8%	17.1%	29.3%	4.9%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	4	4	9	13	8	5	0	44
	2.3%	9.1%	9.1%	20.5%	29.5%	18.2%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	3	7	9	6	6	2	0	45
	26.7%	6.7%	15.6%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	4.4%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	4	3	0	2	5	29	1	0	44
	9.1%	6.8%	.0%	4.5%	11.4%	65.9%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	10	3	7	20	27	9	3	0	79
	12.7%	3.8%	8.9%	25.3%	34.2%	11.4%	3.8%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	5	4	9	2	7	8	0	0	35
	14.3%	11.4%	25.7%	5.7%	20.0%	22.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	6	6	6	8	10	18	3	0	57
	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	14.0%	17.5%	31.6%	5.3%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	1	8	10	17	11	3	0	51
	2.0%	2.0%	15.7%	19.6%	33.3%	21.6%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	3	3	2	10	12	10	1	10	51
	5.9%	5.9%	3.9%	19.6%	23.5%	19.6%	2.0%	19.6%	100.0%
Hisar	2	7	9	21	18	11	2	17	87
	2.3%	8.0%	10.3%	24.1%	20.7%	12.6%	2.3%	19.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	4	5	5	11	23	12	2	0	62
	6.5%	8.1%	8.1%	17.7%	37.1%	19.4%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	5	4	8	2	9	20	7	0	55
	9.1%	7.3%	14.5%	3.6%	16.4%	36.4%	12.7%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	4	7	13	8	5	0	0	41
	9.8%	9.8%	17.1%	31.7%	19.5%	12.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	2	2	1	46	6	0	0	59
	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	1.7%	78.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	2	0	0	3	6	7	3	0	21
	9.5%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	28.6%	33.3%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	19	7	13	10	17	10	1	0	77
	24.7%	9.1%	16.9%	13.0%	22.1%	13.0%	1.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	5	3	2	11	11	1	0	0	33
	15.2%	9.1%	6.1%	33.3%	33.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	3	0	4	10	16	0	0	33
	.0%	9.1%	.0%	12.1%	30.3%	48.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	3	4	8	18	20	10	0	70
	10.0%	4.3%	5.7%	11.4%	25.7%	28.6%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%
Total	95	69	102	171	278	226	45	27	1013
	9.4%	6.8%	10.1%	16.9%	27.4%	22.3%	4.4%	2.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.4 shows that illiterate of respondents in the urban areas are 9.4 percent. Out of total respondents 6.8 percent are educated up to primary and 10.1 percent are educated up to middle class, 16.9 percent and 27.4 percent are educated up to high school and higher secondary respectively. The percentage of the respondents opted for higher education in the urban areas are higher than the rural areas, constituting 22.3 percent and 4.4 percents educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively and a marginal of 2.7 percent of the respondents are diploma and certificates holders.

Table: 4.5
Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Retired	Self work	Unempl-oyed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	0	0	5	9	1	1	3	2	0	21
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.8%	42.9%	4.8%	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	0	6	7	5	14	1	2	4	5	0	44
	.0%	.0%	13.6%	15.9%	11.4%	31.8%	2.3%	4.5%	9.1%	11.4%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	1	2	4	7	25	0	1	0	4	0	44
	.0%	2.3%	4.5%	9.1%	15.9%	56.8%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	1	2	3	1	6	28	0	0	0	0	3	44
	2.3%	4.5%	6.8%	2.3%	13.6%	63.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	2	7	7	16	2	0	1	0	9	44
	.0%	.0%	4.5%	15.9%	15.9%	36.4%	4.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	20.5%	100.0%
Karnal	0	1	0	1	6	10	1	2	0	1	0	22
	.0%	4.5%	.0%	4.5%	27.3%	45.5%	4.5%	9.1%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	8	16	15	0	1	0	9	1	50
	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.0%	32.0%	30.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	18.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	2	1	2	22	34	0	0	2	3	0	66
	.0%	3.0%	1.5%	3.0%	33.3%	51.5%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	3	0	3	20	24	0	1	1	1	0	54
	1.9%	5.6%	.0%	5.6%	37.0%	44.4%	.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	3	0	0	0	10
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	60.0%	.0%	30.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	3	1	2	1	16	19	0	8	0	0	0	50
	6.0%	2.0%	4.0%	2.0%	32.0%	38.0%	.0%	16.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	8	2	2	3	5	12	0	16	0	3	1	52
	15.4%	3.8%	3.8%	5.8%	9.6%	23.1%	.0%	30.8%	.0%	5.8%	1.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	1	1	2	32	21	0	7	0	1	0	67
	3.0%	1.5%	1.5%	3.0%	47.8%	31.3%	.0%	10.4%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	1	0	17	9	0	0	0	2	0	29
	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	58.6%	31.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	2	1	1	10	18	0	2	4	2	0	42
	4.8%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%	23.8%	42.9%	.0%	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	1	0	0	18	8	0	0	0	0	0	27
	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	29.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	1	15	12	0	2	0	2	0	32
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	46.9%	37.5%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%

Gurgaon	0	0	0	4	4	2	0	2	1	1	0	14
	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	.0%	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	0	0	6	9	10	0	2	6	2	0	35
	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	25.7%	28.6%	.0%	5.7%	17.1%	5.7%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	5	2	0	8	11	5	0	2	0	0	1	34
	14.7%	5.9%	.0%	23.5%	32.4%	14.7%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%
Faridabad	2	3	1	5	10	14	0	3	3	6	0	47
	4.3%	6.4%	2.1%	10.6%	21.3%	29.8%	.0%	6.4%	6.4%	12.8%	.0%	100.0%
Total	24	21	22	64	242	311	5	55	25	44	15	828
	2.9%	2.5%	2.7%	7.7%	29.2%	37.6%	.6%	6.6%	3.0%	5.3%	1.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.5 shows that out of the total respondents, 2.9 percent are involved in farming, 2.7 percent are engaged agricultural labor and 2.5 percent of the respondents are working in government services and 7.7 percent are non agricultural labors. Only 0.6 percent respondents are retired personnel and 5.3 percent are working in private organizations, 29.2 percent of the respondents constitute students, 37.6 percent are involve with their domestic works and 3.0 percent of the respondents are unemployed in the rural area. Out of the total, 6.6 percent respondents own their own business/work and marginal of 1.8 percent are involved in other occupational activities.

Table: 4.6
Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Retired	Self work	Unem- ployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	1	1	4	9	2	1	2	3	0	23
	.0%	.0%	4.3%	4.3%	17.4%	39.1%	8.7%	4.3%	8.7%	13.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	5	1	0	7	17	0	4	1	6	0	41
	.0%	12.2%	2.4%	.0%	17.1%	41.5%	.0%	9.8%	2.4%	14.6%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	4	1	2	10	16	3	3	2	1	2	44
	.0%	9.1%	2.3%	4.5%	22.7%	36.4%	6.8%	6.8%	4.5%	2.3%	4.5%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	1	0	3	9	20	3	2	1	2	4	45
	.0%	2.2%	.0%	6.7%	20.0%	44.4%	6.7%	4.4%	2.2%	4.4%	8.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	2	1	0	31	4	2	1	0	2	1	44
	.0%	4.5%	2.3%	.0%	70.5%	9.1%	4.5%	2.3%	.0%	4.5%	2.3%	100.0%
Karnal	0	0	0	10	47	16	0	4	0	2	0	79
	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.7%	59.5%	20.3%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	8	9	11	0	1	0	6	0	35
	.0%	.0%	.0%	22.9%	25.7%	31.4%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	17.1%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	0	0	6	21	18	0	1	2	8	1	57
	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.5%	36.8%	31.6%	.0%	1.8%	3.5%	14.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	1	2	0	3	25	14	0	1	2	3	0	51
	2.0%	3.9%	.0%	5.9%	49.0%	27.5%	.0%	2.0%	3.9%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	0	0	25	18	0	7	0	0	1	51
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	49.0%	35.3%	.0%	13.7%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Hisar	10	2	2	1	38	17	0	15	0	2	0	87
	11.5%	2.3%	2.3%	1.1%	43.7%	19.5%	.0%	17.2%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	0	3	0	31	12	0	9	2	0	3	62
	3.2%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	50.0%	19.4%	.0%	14.5%	3.2%	.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	0	1	2	24	16	0	0	0	9	1	55
	3.6%	.0%	1.8%	3.6%	43.6%	29.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.4%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	1	0	5	7	18	0	6	2	2	0	41
	.0%	2.4%	.0%	12.2%	17.1%	43.9%	.0%	14.6%	4.9%	4.9%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	0	1	51	6	0	0	0	0	1	59
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	86.4%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	0	12	6	0	0	0	2	1	21
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	57.1%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	4.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	2	0	14	15	19	0	7	11	7	1	77

	1.3%	2.6%	.0%	18.2%	19.5%	24.7%	.0%	9.1%	14.3%	9.1%	1.3%	100.0%
Mewat	0	0	0	3	21	7	0	0	2	0	0	33
	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	63.6%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	1	0	1	23	4	0	0	1	1	1	33
	3.0%	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	69.7%	12.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	0	0	9	43	7	1	3	5	2	0	70
	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	61.4%	10.0%	1.4%	4.3%	7.1%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%
Total	17	20	10	69	456	256	11	65	33	59	17	1013
	1.7%	2.0%	1.0%	6.8%	45.0%	25.3%	1.1%	6.4%	3.3%	5.8%	1.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.6 shows the occupational profile of respondents in urban areas, Out of the total respondents, 1.7 percent respondents are farmers, 2.0 percent respondents are in government services, 1.0 percent respondents are agriculture labor, 45.0 percent are students, while 25.3 percent respondents are involved in their domestic works, 1.1 percent are retired personnel, 6.4 percent respondents are doing their own business, 3.3 percent are working in a private organizations and remaining 5.8 percent are unemployed and 1.7 percent of the respondents are involved in other occupations besides 6.8 percent involved in non agricultural works.

**Table: 4.7
Economic Status of Respondents**

District	Rural						Urban					
	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total
Panchkula	9	6	6	0	0	21	9	9	4	1	0	23
	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	39.1%	39.1%	17.4%	4.3%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	22	11	10	0	1	44	10	11	14	5	1	41
	50.0%	25.0%	22.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	24.4%	26.8%	34.1%	12.2%	2.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	21	10	10	3	0	44	13	6	17	7	1	44
	47.7%	22.7%	22.7%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%	29.5%	13.6%	38.6%	15.9%	2.3%	100.0%
Kuruk shetra	32	4	7	0	1	44	28	10	4	1	2	45
	72.7%	9.1%	15.9%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	62.2%	22.2%	8.9%	2.2%	4.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	29	7	7	0	1	44	10	16	16	2	0	44
	65.9%	15.9%	15.9%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	22.7%	36.4%	36.4%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	1	6	10	5	0	22	22	17	27	13	0	79
	4.5%	27.3%	45.5%	22.7%	.0%	100.0%	27.8%	21.5%	34.2%	16.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	22	9	10	8	1	50	28	2	2	3	0	35
	44.0%	18.0%	20.0%	16.0%	2.0%	100.0%	80.0%	5.7%	5.7%	8.6%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	30	10	16	8	2	66	20	7	9	21	0	57
	45.5%	15.2%	24.2%	12.1%	3.0%	100.0%	35.1%	12.3%	15.8%	36.8%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	11	11	25	5	2	54	2	7	25	13	4	51
	20.4%	20.4%	46.3%	9.3%	3.7%	100.0%	3.9%	13.7%	49.0%	25.5%	7.8%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	2	8	0	0	10	0	0	4	1	0	5
	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	11	33	5	1	50	2	1	20	27	1	51
	.0%	22.0%	66.0%	10.0%	2.0%	100.0%	3.9%	2.0%	39.2%	52.9%	2.0%	100.0%
Hisar	1	7	35	9	0	52	1	17	42	25	2	87
	1.9%	13.5%	67.3%	17.3%	.0%	100.0%	1.1%	19.5%	48.3%	28.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	4	8	47	7	1	67	5	7	30	17	3	62
	6.0%	11.9%	70.1%	10.4%	1.5%	100.0%	8.1%	11.3%	48.4%	27.4%	4.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	14	2	8	4	1	29	13	6	14	18	4	55
	48.3%	6.9%	27.6%	13.8%	3.4%	100.0%	23.6%	10.9%	25.5%	32.7%	7.3%	100.0%
Jhajjar	7	10	19	3	3	42	6	10	16	5	4	41
	16.7%	23.8%	45.2%	7.1%	7.1%	100.0%	14.6%	24.4%	39.0%	12.2%	9.8%	100.0%
Mahend ragarh	2	6	13	5	1	27	6	5	41	3	4	59
	7.4%	22.2%	48.1%	18.5%	3.7%	100.0%	10.2%	8.5%	69.5%	5.1%	6.8%	100.0%
Rewari	2	0	17	3	10	32	1	1	11	3	5	21
	6.2%	.0%	53.1%	9.4%	31.2%	100.0%	4.8%	4.8%	52.4%	14.3%	23.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	6	3	2	0	14	17	25	19	9	7	77
	21.4%	42.9%	21.4%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%	22.1%	32.5%	24.7%	11.7%	9.1%	100.0%
Mewat	8	13	7	6	1	35	7	12	7	5	2	33
	22.9%	37.1%	20.0%	17.1%	2.9%	100.0%	21.2%	36.4%	21.2%	15.2%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	0	11	14	8	1	34	5	2	8	15	3	33
	.0%	32.4%	41.2%	23.5%	2.9%	100.0%	15.2%	6.1%	24.2%	45.5%	9.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	15	13	12	0	47	4	12	10	25	19	70
	14.9%	31.9%	27.7%	25.5%	.0%	100.0%	5.7%	17.1%	14.3%	35.7%	27.1%	100.0%
Total	225	165	318	93	27	828	209	183	340	219	62	1013
	27.2%	19.9%	38.4%	11.2%	3.3%	100.0%	20.6%	18.1%	33.6%	21.6%	6.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.7 shows the economic status of the respondents in rural areas of Haryana. In rural areas, the percentage of the average category is higher than the other groups which constitute 38.4 percent of the total respondents followed by very poor and poor i.e. 27.2 percent and 19.9 percent respectively. The middle constitutes 11.2 percent and only 3.3 percent of the total respondents are rich. On the other hand the economically poor people are quite less in urban areas than the rural areas of the Haryana. Out of total respondents 20.6 percent and 18.1 percent of the respondents are from the economic category of very poor and poor respectively. Whereas the economically high class is quite large in the parts of the urban areas which constitute 6.1 percent rich and 21.6 percent belongs to the middle and a larger section of the respondents i.e. 33.6 percent belong to the average category.

Table: 4.8
Social Composition of Respondents

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	General	SCs	OBCs		General	SCs	OBCs	
Panchkula	11	6	4	21	14	0	9	23
	52.4%	28.6%	19.0%	100.0%	60.9%	.0%	39.1%	100.0%
Ambala	19	14	11	44	27	6	8	41
	43.2%	31.8%	25.0%	100.0%	65.9%	14.6%	19.5%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	31	5	8	44	27	13	4	44
	70.5%	11.4%	18.2%	100.0%	61.4%	29.5%	9.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	18	19	7	44	25	5	15	45
	40.9%	43.2%	15.9%	100.0%	55.6%	11.1%	33.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	21	13	10	44	27	6	11	44
	47.7%	29.5%	22.7%	100.0%	61.4%	13.6%	25.0%	100.0%
Karnal	15	2	5	22	52	11	16	79
	68.2%	9.1%	22.7%	100.0%	65.8%	13.9%	20.3%	100.0%
Panipat	36	4	10	50	29	2	4	35
	72.0%	8.0%	20.0%	100.0%	82.9%	5.7%	11.4%	100.0%
Sonepat	38	16	12	66	42	5	10	57
	57.6%	24.2%	18.2%	100.0%	73.7%	8.8%	17.5%	100.0%
Jind	30	12	12	54	34	8	9	51
	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%	66.7%	15.7%	17.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	4	2	10	3	1	1	5
	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	100.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	14	14	22	50	33	6	12	51
	28.0%	28.0%	44.0%	100.0%	64.7%	11.8%	23.5%	100.0%
Hisar	23	13	16	52	48	19	20	87
	44.2%	25.0%	30.8%	100.0%	55.2%	21.8%	23.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	30	20	17	67	29	9	24	62
	44.8%	29.9%	25.4%	100.0%	46.8%	14.5%	38.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	27	0	2	29	53	2	0	55
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	96.4%	3.6%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	25	9	8	42	18	7	16	41
	59.5%	21.4%	19.0%	100.0%	43.9%	17.1%	39.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	13	5	9	27	23	22	14	59
	48.1%	18.5%	33.3%	100.0%	39.0%	37.3%	23.7%	100.0%
Rewari	22	9	1	32	8	6	7	21
	68.8%	28.1%	3.1%	100.0%	38.1%	28.6%	33.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	2	8	14	17	32	28	77
	28.6%	14.3%	57.1%	100.0%	22.1%	41.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Mewat	3	1	31	35	4	3	26	33
	8.6%	2.9%	88.6%	100.0%	12.1%	9.1%	78.8%	100.0%
Palwal	20	3	11	34	22	4	7	33
	58.8%	8.8%	32.4%	100.0%	66.7%	12.1%	21.2%	100.0%
Faridabad	17	11	19	47	44	6	20	70
	36.2%	23.4%	40.4%	100.0%	62.9%	8.6%	28.6%	100.0%
Total	421	182	225	828	579	173	261	1013
	50.8%	22.0%	27.2%	100.0%	57.2%	17.1%	25.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.8 shows that in rural areas out of total respondents, 50.8 percent belong to general category, 22.0 percent belongs to scheduled castes and 27.2 percent belongs to other backward classes. Whereas in urban areas larger number of the respondents are from general category i.e. 57.2 percent, 17.1 percents are from scheduled castes and remaining 25.8 percents are other backward classes.

Table: 4.9
Enrollment of Respondents in voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Earlier	No		Earlier	No	
Panchkula	21	0	21	19	4	23
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%
Ambala	24	20	44	35	6	41
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	42	2	44	37	7	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	41	3	44	43	2	45
	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	39	5	44	41	3	44
	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%
Karnal	5	17	22	3	76	79
	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%	3.8%	96.2%	100.0%
Panipat	2	48	50	2	33	35
	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%	5.7%	94.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	63	66	2	55	57
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	3.5%	96.5%	100.0%
Jind	6	48	54	0	51	51
	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	2	85	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	66	67	2	60	62
	1.5%	98.5%	100.0%	3.2%	96.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	36	42	5	36	41
	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	27	27	2	57	59
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	2	19	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.5%	90.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	14	14	4	73	77
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.2%	94.8%	100.0%
Mewat	4	31	35	2	31	33
	11.4%	88.6%	100.0%	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Palwal	0	34	34	3	30	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%
Faridabad	9	38	47	14	56	70
	19.1%	80.9%	100.0%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
Total	203	625	828	218	795	1013
	24.5%	75.5%	100.0%	21.5%	78.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.9 shows the percentage of the respondents who were enrolled in the voter list. Out of total respondents from the rural areas only 24.5 percent have enrolled in the voter list earlier where as the percentage of the same is quite low in urban area i.e 21.5 percent and 75.5 percent 78.5 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively never enrolled their names in the voter list.

Table: 4.10
Awareness of respondents about next Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	21	0	21	23	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	43	1	44	38	3	41
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	92.7%	7.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	43	1	44	41	3	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	2	44	44	0	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	5	22	57	22	79
	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%
Panipat	2	48	50	0	35	35
	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	58	66	6	51	57
	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%
Jind	28	26	54	35	16	51
	51.9%	48.1%	100.0%	68.6%	31.4%	100.0%
Fatehabad	9	1	10	4	1	5
	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	37	13	50	46	5	51
	74.0%	26.0%	100.0%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%
Hisar	23	29	52	41	46	87
	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	35	32	67	39	23	62
	52.2%	47.8%	100.0%	62.9%	37.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	28	29	0	55	55
	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	31	11	42	28	13	41
	73.8%	26.2%	100.0%	68.3%	31.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	18	9	27	35	24	59
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	59.3%	40.7%	100.0%
Rewari	30	2	32	21	0	21
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	3	14	76	1	77
	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Mewat	34	1	35	30	3	33
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Palwal	33	1	34	33	0	33
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	46	1	47	64	6	70
	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%	91.4%	8.6%	100.0%
Total	556	272	828	706	307	1013
	67.1%	32.9%	100.0%	69.7%	30.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.10 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election. The respondents in the urban areas were little more aware than the respondents in rural areas. In rural areas 67.1 percent of the respondents were aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to the 69.7 percent in the urban areas. On the other hand 32.9 percent of the respondents in the rural areas and 30.3 percent in the urban areas were not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Table: 4.11
Opinion of the respondents about the benefit to enroll in the voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Necessary	Not necessary		Necessary	Not Necessary	
Panchkula	21	0	21	23	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	44	41	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	10	22	73	6	79
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	92.4%	7.6%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	50	35	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	64	2	66	56	1	57
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	53	1	54	50	1	51
	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	49	1	50	50	1	51
	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Hisar	47	5	52	83	4	87
	90.4%	9.6%	100.0%	95.4%	4.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	66	1	67	61	1	62
	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	55	0	55
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	42	41	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	1	27	59	0	59
	96.3%	3.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	32	21	0	21

	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	14	77	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	35	32	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	1	34	27	6	33
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	47	69	1	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%
Total	806	22	828	991	22	1013
	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%	97.8%	2.2%	100.0%


Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.11 gives the perception of the respondents on the benefits to enroll in the voter list. Only a marginal of 2.7 percent and 2.2 percent in rural and urban areas feel that it is not beneficial to enroll in the voter list. On the other hand, large section of the respondents from both the areas i.e. 97.3 percent from rural and 97.8 percent from urban feel that it is beneficial to enroll in the voter list.

Table: 4.12
Respondent's opinion on the necessity of casting of vote

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	21	0	0	21	23	0	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	0	44	41	0	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	45	0	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	0	1	44	44	0	0	44
	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	21	1	0	22	77	2	0	79
	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%	97.5%	2.5%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	4	0	46	50	2	0	33	35
	8.0%	.0%	92.0%	100.0%	5.7%	.0%	94.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	55	3	8	66	45	2	10	57
	83.3%	4.5%	12.1%	100.0%	78.9%	3.5%	17.5%	100.0%
Jind	52	0	2	54	51	0	0	51
	96.3%	.0%	3.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	49	1	0	50	50	1	0	51
	98.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	44	2	6	52	83	1	3	87
	84.6%	3.8%	11.5%	100.0%	95.4%	1.1%	3.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	67	0	0	67	62	0	0	62
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	27	0	2	29	55	0	0	55
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	0	42	41	0	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	0	27	57	0	2	59
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	.0%	3.4%	100.0%
Rewari	30	0	2	32	21	0	0	21
	93.8%	.0%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	0	14	77	0	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	0	35	32	0	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	0	34	28	3	2	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	84.8%	9.1%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	0	47	64	4	2	70
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.4%	5.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Total	754	7	67	828	947	13	53	1013
	91.1%	0.8%	8.1%	100.0%	93.5%	1.3%	5.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Syrvay



According to Table: 4.12 out of total respondents from rural areas, 91.1 percent think that it is necessary to cast their vote, only 0.8 percent think it is not necessary and remaining 8.1 percent are uncertain. On the other hand in urban areas 93.3 percent think casting of vote is necessary while 5.2 percent are not sure and remaining 1.3 percent think it is not necessary to cast their vote.

Table: 4.13
Respondent's opinion on the benefit in casting the vote

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	15	6	21	14	9	23
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	60.9%	39.1%	100.0%
Ambala	36	8	44	26	15	41
	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	63.4%	36.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	25	19	44	34	10	44
	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%	77.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	33	11	44	36	9	45
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	32	12	44	31	13	44
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
Karnal	9	13	22	37	42	79
	40.9%	59.1%	100.0%	46.8%	53.2%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	50	35	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	56	10	66	44	13	57
	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	77.2%	22.8%	100.0%
Jind	50	4	54	49	2	51
	92.6%	7.4%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	48	2	50	49	2	51
	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%
Hisar	44	8	52	85	2	87
	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	64	3	67	59	3	62
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	28	1	29	54	1	55
	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	41	1	42	41	0	41
	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	27	58	1	59
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	32	20	1	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	10	4	14	67	10	77
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%
Mewat	30	5	35	24	9	33
	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	31	3	34	25	8	33
	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%	75.8%	24.2%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	47	59	11	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	84.3%	15.7%	100.0%
Total	718	110	828	852	161	1013
	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.13 shows that 86.7 percent and 84.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that casting of vote are beneficial.

Table: 4.14
Respondent's Opinion if casting of Vote is their Fundamental Right

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	20	0	1	21	23	0	0	23
	95.2%	.0%	4.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	43	1	0	44	38	3	0	41
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	92.7%	7.3%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	0	44	43	1	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	43	0	1	44	44	1	0	45
	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	97.8%	2.2%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	1	0	44	43	1	0	44
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	22	0	0	22	79	0	0	79
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	0	50	35	0	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	60	2	4	66	45	1	11	57
	90.9%	3.0%	6.1%	100.0%	78.9%	1.8%	19.3%	100.0%
Jind	52	1	1	54	49	2	0	51
	96.3%	1.9%	1.9%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	8	2	0	10	5	0	0	5
	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	48	1	1	50	46	5	0	51
	96.0%	2.0%	2.0%	100.0%	90.2%	9.8%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	41	7	4	52	63	18	6	87
	78.8%	13.5%	7.7%	100.0%	72.4%	20.7%	6.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	62	5	0	67	56	6	0	62
	92.5%	7.5%	.0%	100.0%	90.3%	9.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	53	1	1	55
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.4%	1.8%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	0	42	41	0	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	25	2	0	27	59	0	0	59
	92.6%	7.4%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	31	1	0	32	21	0	0	21
	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	0	14	76	1	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.7%	1.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	0	35	31	1	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	0	34	32	1	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	0	47	62	4	4	70
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	88.6%	5.7%	5.7%	100.0%
Total	793	23	12	828	944	46	23	1013
	95.8%	2.8%	1.4%	100.0%	93.2%	4.5%	2.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.14 shows that 95.8 percent in rural and 93.2 percent in urban areas feel that 'to cast their vote' in election is their fundamental right.

Table: 4.15
Training of Respondents for using EVMs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	21	0	21	0	23	0	23
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	3	41	0	44	0	40	1	41
	6.8%	93.2%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	42	0	44	0	43	1	44
	4.5%	95.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	0	44	2	42	1	45
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	4.4%	93.3%	2.2%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	43	0	44	0	44	0	44
	2.3%	97.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	0	22	0	79	0	79
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	47	3	50	0	29	6	35
	.0%	94.0%	6.0%	100.0%	.0%	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	65	0	66	1	56	0	57
	1.5%	98.5%	.0%	100.0%	1.8%	98.2%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	53	1	54	0	50	1	51
	.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%	.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	3	6	1	10	0	5	0	5
	30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	35	10	5	50	3	42	6	51
	70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	100.0%	5.9%	82.4%	11.8%	100.0%
Hisar	3	49	0	52	2	82	3	87
	5.8%	94.2%	.0%	100.0%	2.3%	94.3%	3.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	65	1	67	0	62	0	62
	1.5%	97.0%	1.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	27	2	29	0	50	5	55
	.0%	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%	.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	42	0	42	0	41	0	41
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	27	0	27	0	59	0	59
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	31	1	32	0	21	0	21
	.0%	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	13	1	14	0	77	0	77
	.0%	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	35	0	35	0	32	1	33
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	34	0	34	0	33	0	33
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	47	0	47	0	68	2	70
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Total	49	764	15	828	8	978	27	1013
	5.9%	92.3%	1.8%	100.0%	0.8%	96.5%	2.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.15 shows the percentage of respondents who have received training for using EVM before casting their votes. In rural areas only 5.9 percent of the respondents have gone through the training session before the election while 92.3 percent of the respondents never got any training and in urban areas 0.8 percent of the respondents got training and 96.5 percent have not received any training to use the EVM before the election. Where as 1.8 percent in the rural and the 2.7 percent in the urban areas are not aware about the use of EMV machines in election.

Table: 4.16
Awareness about the renewal of voter list

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	1	0	20	21	0	2	21	23
	4.8%	.0%	95.2%	100.0%	.0%	8.7%	91.3%	100.0%
Ambala	3	26	15	44	0	22	19	41
	6.8%	59.1%	34.1%	100.0%	.0%	53.7%	46.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	3	8	33	44	0	7	37	44
	6.8%	18.2%	75.0%	100.0%	.0%	15.9%	84.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	2	42	44	2	1	42	45
	.0%	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	4.4%	2.2%	93.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	1	42	44	2	1	41	44
	2.3%	2.3%	95.5%	100.0%	4.5%	2.3%	93.2%	100.0%
Karnal	0	5	17	22	3	19	57	79
	.0%	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%	3.8%	24.1%	72.2%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	49	50	0	0	35	35
	.0%	2.0%	98.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	7	59	66	0	4	53	57
	.0%	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	.0%	7.0%	93.0%	100.0%
Jind	4	7	43	54	5	7	39	51
	7.4%	13.0%	79.6%	100.0%	9.8%	13.7%	76.5%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	8	2	10	0	3	2	5
	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	27	23	50	0	10	41	51
	.0%	54.0%	46.0%	100.0%	.0%	19.6%	80.4%	100.0%
Hisar	0	38	14	52	1	57	29	87
	.0%	73.1%	26.9%	100.0%	1.1%	65.5%	33.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	37	29	67	0	41	21	62
	1.5%	55.2%	43.3%	100.0%	.0%	66.1%	33.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	1	28	29	0	1	54	55
	.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	1.8%	98.2%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	20	21	42	0	25	16	41
	2.4%	47.6%	50.0%	100.0%	.0%	61.0%	39.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	10	17	27	0	23	36	59
	.0%	37.0%	63.0%	100.0%	.0%	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
Rewari	1	3	28	32	0	2	19	21
	3.1%	9.4%	87.5%	100.0%	.0%	9.5%	90.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	8	6	14	0	56	21	77
	.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	.0%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Mewat	0	23	12	35	0	26	7	33
	.0%	65.7%	34.3%	100.0%	.0%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%
Palwal	0	23	11	34	0	16	17	33
	.0%	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%	.0%	48.5%	51.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	25	22	47	0	39	31	70
	.0%	53.2%	46.8%	100.0%	.0%	55.7%	44.3%	100.0%
Total	15	280	533	828	13	362	638	1013
	1.8%	33.8%	64.4%	100.0%	1.3%	35.7%	63.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.16 shows only 1.8 percent in rural and 1.3 percent in urban areas are aware about the renewal of voter's list where as 33.8 percent in rural and 35.7 percent in urban are not aware and 64.4 percent and the 63.0 percent rural and urban areas respectively can't say about renewal of voter's list.

Table: 4.17
Respondent's opinion about the Legislative Assembly Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Correct	Incorrect	Not seen		Correct	Incorrect	Not seen	
Panchkula	2	3	16	21	3	1	19	23
	9.5%	14.3%	76.2%	100.0%	13.0%	4.3%	82.6%	100.0%
Ambala	11	1	32	44	6	4	31	41
	25.0%	2.3%	72.7%	100.0%	14.6%	9.8%	75.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	7	8	29	44	14	8	22	44
	15.9%	18.2%	65.9%	100.0%	31.8%	18.2%	50.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	11	4	29	44	5	6	34	45
	25.0%	9.1%	65.9%	100.0%	11.1%	13.3%	75.6%	100.0%
Kaithal	10	3	31	44	7	2	35	44
	22.7%	6.8%	70.5%	100.0%	15.9%	4.5%	79.5%	100.0%
Karnal	14	3	5	22	58	6	15	79
	63.6%	13.6%	22.7%	100.0%	73.4%	7.6%	19.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	0	48	50	0	0	35	35
	4.0%	.0%	96.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	9	12	45	66	10	6	41	57
	13.6%	18.2%	68.2%	100.0%	17.5%	10.5%	71.9%	100.0%
Jind	34	0	20	54	38	2	11	51
	63.0%	.0%	37.0%	100.0%	74.5%	3.9%	21.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	10	10	0	0	5	5
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	0	48	50	1	0	50	51
	4.0%	.0%	96.0%	100.0%	2.0%	.0%	98.0%	100.0%
Hisar	9	2	41	52	18	3	66	87
	17.3%	3.8%	78.8%	100.0%	20.7%	3.4%	75.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	32	1	34	67	28	0	34	62
	47.8%	1.5%	50.7%	100.0%	45.2%	.0%	54.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	29	29	0	0	55	55
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	19	2	21	42	16	0	25	41
	45.2%	4.8%	50.0%	100.0%	39.0%	.0%	61.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	21	0	6	27	37	4	18	59
	77.8%	.0%	22.2%	100.0%	62.7%	6.8%	30.5%	100.0%
Rewari	27	1	4	32	20	0	1	21
	84.4%	3.1%	12.5%	100.0%	95.2%	.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	0	11	14	16	0	61	77
	21.4%	.0%	78.6%	100.0%	20.8%	.0%	79.2%	100.0%
Mewat	3	0	32	35	5	0	28	33
	8.6%	.0%	91.4%	100.0%	15.2%	.0%	84.8%	100.0%
Palwal	7	0	27	34	9	0	24	33
	20.6%	.0%	79.4%	100.0%	27.3%	.0%	72.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	27	2	18	47	26	2	42	70
	57.4%	4.3%	38.3%	100.0%	37.1%	2.9%	60.0%	100.0%
Total	250	42	536	828	317	44	652	1013
	30.2%	5.1%	64.7%	100.0%	31.3%	4.3%	64.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Tables 4.17 show that 30.2 percent in rural and 31.3 percent in urban areas feel that voter list is correct. 64.7 percent in rural and 64.4 percent in urban have not seen the voter list, and only 5.1 percent and 4.3 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that the voter list is incorrect.

Table: 4.18
Awareness of the respondents about EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	21	0	0	21	23	0	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	0	44	39	0	2	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.1%	.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	45	0	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	4	6	22	60	16	3	79
	54.5%	18.2%	27.3%	100.0%	75.9%	20.3%	3.8%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	0	50	35	0	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	56	3	7	66	53	1	3	57
	84.8%	4.5%	10.6%	100.0%	93.0%	1.8%	5.3%	100.0%
Jind	54	0	0	54	51	0	0	51
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	48	1	1	50	49	0	2	51
	96.0%	2.0%	2.0%	100.0%	96.1%	.0%	3.9%	100.0%
Hisar	42	4	6	52	78	9	0	87
	80.8%	7.7%	11.5%	100.0%	89.7%	10.3%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	65	2	0	67	60	2	0	62
	97.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.8%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	54	0	1	55
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.2%	.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	0	42	41	0	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	0	27	58	1	0	59
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	0	32	21	0	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	0	14	77	0	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	0	35	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	0	34	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	46	1	0	47	67	1	2	70
	97.9%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%	95.7%	1.4%	2.9%	100.0%
Total	793	15	20	828	970	30	13	1013
	95.8%	1.8%	2.4%	100.0%	95.8%	3.0%	1.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.18 shows that 95.8 percent each in rural and urban areas have knowledge about the EPICs and only 1.8 percent in rural and 3.0 percent in urban areas not aware about the EPICs.

Table: 4.19

Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	21	0	0	21	23	0	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	0	44	40	0	1	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.6%	.0%	2.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	0	44	45	0	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	0	44	44	0	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	9	1	22	51	26	2	79
	54.5%	40.9%	4.5%	100.0%	64.6%	32.9%	2.5%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	0	50	35	0	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	56	1	9	66	50	0	7	57
	84.8%	1.5%	13.6%	100.0%	87.7%	.0%	12.3%	100.0%
Jind	52	0	2	54	50	1	0	51
	96.3%	.0%	3.7%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	49	0	1	50	49	0	2	51
	98.0%	.0%	2.0%	100.0%	96.1%	.0%	3.9%	100.0%
Hisar	44	3	5	52	80	7	0	87
	84.6%	5.8%	9.6%	100.0%	92.0%	8.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	63	4	0	67	60	1	1	62
	94.0%	6.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.8%	1.6%	1.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	54	0	1	55
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.2%	.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	0	42	40	1	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.6%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	0	27	58	0	1	59
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	.0%	1.7%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	0	32	21	0	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	0	14	77	0	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	0	35	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	1	0	34	31	0	2	33
	97.1%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	.0%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	46	1	0	47	65	1	4	70
	97.9%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%	92.9%	1.4%	5.7%	100.0%
Total	791	19	18	828	955	37	21	1013
	95.5%	2.3%	2.2%	100.0%	94.3%	3.7%	2.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.19 shows that 95.5 percent in rural and 94.3 in urban areas feel that the EPICs is beneficial where as only 2.3 percent and 3.7 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively feel that EPICs is not beneficial.

Table: 4.20
Respondent's opinion on change in the election environment

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	21	0	0	21	21	1	1	23
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.3%	4.3%	4.3%	100.0%
Ambala	41	2	1	44	37	1	3	41
	93.2%	4.5%	2.3%	100.0%	90.2%	2.4%	7.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	40	3	1	44	42	0	2	44
	90.9%	6.8%	2.3%	100.0%	95.5%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	42	0	2	44	45	0	0	45
	95.5%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	43	0	1	44	44	0	0	44
	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	2	3	22	65	5	9	79
	77.3%	9.1%	13.6%	100.0%	82.3%	6.3%	11.4%	100.0%
Panipat	3	0	47	50	0	0	35	35
	6.0%	.0%	94.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	51	5	10	66	32	7	18	57
	77.3%	7.6%	15.2%	100.0%	56.1%	12.3%	31.6%	100.0%
Jind	38	2	14	54	43	5	3	51
	70.4%	3.7%	25.9%	100.0%	84.3%	9.8%	5.9%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	10	10	0	0	5	5
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	10	0	40	50	7	19	25	51
	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	100.0%	13.7%	37.3%	49.0%	100.0%
Hisar	2	8	42	52	37	5	45	87
	3.8%	15.4%	80.8%	100.0%	42.5%	5.7%	51.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	34	1	32	67	37	3	22	62
	50.7%	1.5%	47.8%	100.0%	59.7%	4.8%	35.5%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	0	28	29	2	0	53	55
	3.4%	.0%	96.6%	100.0%	3.6%	.0%	96.4%	100.0%
Jhajjar	29	0	13	42	25	2	14	41
	69.0%	.0%	31.0%	100.0%	61.0%	4.9%	34.1%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	21	1	5	27	40	4	15	59
	77.8%	3.7%	18.5%	100.0%	67.8%	6.8%	25.4%	100.0%
Rewari	30	0	2	32	21	0	0	21
	93.8%	.0%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	8	3	14	11	16	50	77
	21.4%	57.1%	21.4%	100.0%	14.3%	20.8%	64.9%	100.0%
Mewat	3	11	21	35	4	11	18	33
	8.6%	31.4%	60.0%	100.0%	12.1%	33.3%	54.5%	100.0%
Palwal	8	5	21	34	11	5	17	33
	23.5%	14.7%	61.8%	100.0%	33.3%	15.2%	51.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	16	10	21	47	11	25	34	70
	34.0%	21.3%	44.7%	100.0%	15.7%	35.7%	48.6%	100.0%
Total	453	58	317	828	535	109	369	1013
	54.7%	7.0%	38.3%	100.0%	52.8%	10.8%	36.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.20 shows that out of total respondents from rural areas 54.7 percent have observed the change in the election environment during last few years but 7.0 percent of the respondents did not see any change in the election environment and remaining 38.3 percent are uncertain about the change. On the other hand in urban areas 52.8 percent have seen changes and 10.8 percent saw no change and remaining 36.4 percent are uncertain about the change in the election environment during last few years.

Table: 4.21
Reason for not being registered in the voter's list in the rural areas

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	other
Panchkula	16	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	21
	76.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	4.8%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	24	0	1	3	13	3	0	0	0	44
	54.5%	.0%	2.3%	6.8%	29.5%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	25	0	0	5	9	3	2	0	0	44
	56.8%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	20.5%	6.8%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	2	44
	72.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%
Kaithal	34	0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	44
	77.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	2	0	0	0	3	17	0	0	0	22
	9.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.6%	77.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	39	0	0	0	5	4	0	1	1	50
	78.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	8.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	14	1	0	0	7	28	11	0	5	66
	21.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	42.4%	16.7%	.0%	7.6%	100.0%
Jind	10	0	1	2	3	4	3	0	31	54
	18.5%	.0%	1.9%	3.7%	5.6%	7.4%	5.6%	.0%	57.4%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	2	10
	10.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	42	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	50
	84.0%	2.0%	6.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Hisar	28	1	1	2	8	10	1	0	1	52
	53.8%	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	15.4%	19.2%	1.9%	.0%	1.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	22	0	0	2	16	13	3	0	11	67
	32.8%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	23.9%	19.4%	4.5%	.0%	16.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	9	0	0	2	2	7	7	0	2	29
	31.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	6.9%	24.1%	24.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	1	0	1	6	10	5	2	13	42
	9.5%	2.4%	.0%	2.4%	14.3%	23.8%	11.9%	4.8%	31.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	1	0	1	2	0	4	6	2	11	27
	3.7%	.0%	3.7%	7.4%	.0%	14.8%	22.2%	7.4%	40.7%	100.0%
Rewari	3	0	0	3	13	10	2	0	1	32
	9.4%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	40.6%	31.2%	6.2%	.0%	3.1%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	1	0	0	4	0	3	1	2	14

	21.4%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	.0%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	100.0%
Mewat	6	0	0	0	9	13	2	0	5	35
	17.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.7%	37.1%	5.7%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
Palwal	5	2	0	3	6	13	3	1	1	34
	14.7%	5.9%	.0%	8.8%	17.6%	38.2%	8.8%	2.9%	2.9%	100.0%
Faridabad	9	1	0	1	2	19	7	0	8	47
	19.1%	2.1%	.0%	2.1%	4.3%	40.4%	14.9%	.0%	17.0%	100.0%
Total	329	8	7	29	129	163	57	9	97	828
	39.7%	1.0%	.8%	3.5%	15.6%	19.7%	6.9%	1.1%	11.7%	100.0%

Source -CRRID, Field Survey

(1= Not eligible at the time of election. 2== According to officers, their names has been deleted from the voter list. 3= there was double entry in voter list. 4= photo not given to the concerned officials. 5= don't know how to enroll in the voter list. 6= demand age proof. 7= demand residence proof. 8= officers said that first delete the name from the earlier voter list, where the person was living earlier.9= other reasons.)

Table 4.21 shows the total percentage of the respondents not enrolled in the voter list and the reasons as well. Out of the total respondents from the rural areas, 39.7 percent were not eligible at the time of elections.

Table: 4.22
Reasons for being not registered in the voter's list from the urban areas

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	other
Panchkula	14	1	1	0	2	3	2	0	0	23
	60.9%	4.3%	4.3%	.0%	8.7%	13.0%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	20	0	2	0	12	6	0	1	0	41
	48.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	29.3%	14.6%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	21	0	1	1	15	3	0	0	3	44
	47.7%	.0%	2.3%	2.3%	34.1%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	28	0	0	1	15	0	0	0	1	45
	62.2%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	100.0%
Kaithal	31	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	44
	70.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	27.3%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	0	2	2	13	46	2	1	1	79
	15.2%	.0%	2.5%	2.5%	16.5%	58.2%	2.5%	1.3%	1.3%	100.0%
Panipat	30	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	35
	85.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	9	0	0	1	10	33	3	0	1	57
	15.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	17.5%	57.9%	5.3%	.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	1	1	1	1	6	8	7	1	25	51
	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	11.8%	15.7%	13.7%	2.0%	49.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	5
	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	8	5	7	5	7	5	5	5	4	51
	15.7%	9.8%	13.7%	9.8%	13.7%	9.8%	9.8%	9.8%	7.8%	100.0%
Hisar	32	4	3	2	9	21	8	3	5	87
	36.8%	4.6%	3.4%	2.3%	10.3%	24.1%	9.2%	3.4%	5.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	12	0	1	0	13	16	4	1	15	62
	19.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	21.0%	25.8%	6.5%	1.6%	24.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	0	0	0	15	27	4	1	7	55
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	27.3%	49.1%	7.3%	1.8%	12.7%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	1	0	4	2	11	7	2	8	41
	14.6%	2.4%	.0%	9.8%	4.9%	26.8%	17.1%	4.9%	19.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	0	0	2	1	20	4	0	30	59
	3.4%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	1.7%	33.9%	6.8%	.0%	50.8%	100.0%
Rewari	1	0	0	5	5	5	1	0	4	21
	4.8%	.0%	.0%	23.8%	23.8%	23.8%	4.8%	.0%	19.0%	100.0%

Gurgaon	14	2	0	7	15	8	17	3	11	77
	18.2%	2.6%	.0%	9.1%	19.5%	10.4%	22.1%	3.9%	14.3%	100.0%
Mewat	2	0	0	2	12	10	3	0	4	33
	6.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	36.4%	30.3%	9.1%	.0%	12.1%	100.0%
Palwal	2	2	0	2	7	13	4	1	2	33
	6.1%	6.1%	.0%	6.1%	21.2%	39.4%	12.1%	3.0%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	11	13	0	5	26	8	2	0	5	70
	15.7%	18.6%	.0%	7.1%	37.1%	11.4%	2.9%	.0%	7.1%	100.0%
Total	259	29	18	40	201	246	74	19	127	1013
	25.6%	2.9%	1.8%	3.9%	19.8%	24.3%	7.3%	1.9%	12.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

(1= Not eligible at the time of election. 2= According to officers, their names has been deleted from the voter list. 3= there was double entry in voter list. 4= photo not given to the concerned officials. 5= don't know how to enroll in the voter list. 6= demand age proof. 7= demand residence proof. 8= officers said that first delete the name from the earlier voter list, where the person was living earlier.9= other reasons.)

Table 4.22 shows the total percentage of the respondents not enrolled in the voter list and the reasons as well. Out of the total respondents from the rural areas 25.6 percents said that they were not eligible at the time of elections. Option 5 (don't know how to enroll) and option 6 (demanded age proof) were also highlighted by the respondents.

Table: 4.23
Respondent's awareness about the registration of the voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	21	0	21	22	1	23
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.7%	4.3%	100.0%
Ambala	33	11	44	30	11	41
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	73.2%	26.8%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	43	1	44	37	7	44
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	41	3	44	43	2	45
	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	41	3	44	43	1	44
	93.2%	6.8%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Karnal	2	20	22	27	52	79
	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%
Panipat	0	50	50	0	35	35
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	58	66	6	51	57
	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%
Jind	2	52	54	1	50	51
	3.7%	96.3%	100.0%	2.0%	98.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	49	50	2	49	51
	2.0%	98.0%	100.0%	3.9%	96.1%	100.0%
Hisar	1	51	52	6	81	87
	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%	6.9%	93.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	65	67	2	60	62
	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	3.2%	96.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	19	23	42	21	20	41
	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%	51.2%	48.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	1	26	27	7	52	59
	3.7%	96.3%	100.0%	11.9%	88.1%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	2	19	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.5%	90.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	6	8	14	54	23	77
	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%	70.1%	29.9%	100.0%
Mewat	23	12	35	20	13	33
	65.7%	34.3%	100.0%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Palwal	30	4	34	29	4	33
	88.2%	11.8%	100.0%	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	5	47	42	28	70
	89.4%	10.6%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Total	316	512	828	394	619	1013
	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%	38.9%	61.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.23 shows that only 38.2 percent in rural and 38.9 percent in urban areas are aware about registration in the voter list. 61.8 percent in rural and 61.1 percent in urban areas are not aware of the procedure.

Table: 4.24
Respondent's knowledge about the renewal of voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	21	21	1	22	23
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.3%	95.7%	100.0%
Ambala	3	41	44	3	38	41
	6.8%	93.2%	100.0%	7.3%	92.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	43	44	6	38	44
	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	0	45	45
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	22	1	78	79
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.3%	98.7%	100.0%
Panipat	0	50	50	0	35	35
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	7	59	66	5	52	57
	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	8.8%	91.2%	100.0%
Jind	12	42	54	16	35	51
	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%	31.4%	68.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	6	81	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	6.9%	93.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	7	60	67	3	59	62
	10.4%	89.6%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	17	25	42	13	28	41
	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	25	27	9	50	59
	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
Rewari	2	30	32	0	21	21
	6.2%	93.8%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	10	14	23	54	77
	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%	29.9%	70.1%	100.0%
Mewat	4	31	35	4	29	33
	11.4%	88.6%	100.0%	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
Palwal	10	24	34	16	17	33
	29.4%	70.6%	100.0%	48.5%	51.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	20	27	47	25	45	70
	42.6%	57.4%	100.0%	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%
Total	89	739	828	131	882	1013
	10.7%	89.3%	100.0%	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%

Source: CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.24 shows that out of total respondents in rural areas, 10.7 percent of the respondents have knowledge about renewal of voter list and 89.3 percent are not aware. On the other hand 12.9 percent of the respondents are having the knowledge about the renewal of voter list and remaining larger section i.e. 87.1 percent are not aware about the renewal of voter list in urban areas.

Table: 4.25
Respondent's awareness about the polling booth

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	20	1	21	23	0	23
	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	44	0	44	39	2	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.1%	4.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	43	1	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	43	1	44	45	0	45
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	44	43	1	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Karnal	22	0	22	79	0	79
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	50	35	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	64	2	66	56	1	57
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	51	3	54	46	5	51
	94.4%	5.6%	100.0%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	48	2	50	48	3	51
	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Hisar	50	2	52	85	2	87
	96.2%	3.8%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	60	7	67	54	8	62
	89.6%	10.4%	100.0%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	55	0	55
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	42	40	1	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	27	59	0	59
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	32	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	14	74	3	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%
Mewat	34	1	35	33	0	33
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	34	32	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	47	58	12	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%
Total	809	19	828	973	40	1013
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%

Source – CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.25 shows that out of the total respondents in rural areas 97.7 percent of the respondents interviewed are aware about their polling booths and only 2.3 percent respondents are not aware about their polling booths. While in urban areas, out of the total respondents the percentage of 96.1 have are aware about their polling booths and 3.9 percent respondents are not aware about their polling booths.

Table: 4.26
Respondent's awareness about B.L.O.

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	1	20	21	0	23	23
	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	16	28	44	11	30	41
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	26.8%	73.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	9	35	44	2	42	44
	20.5%	79.5%	100.0%	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	30	44	14	31	45
	31.8%	68.2%	100.0%	31.1%	68.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	11	33	44	8	36	44
	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	22	0	79	79
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	48	50	0	35	35
	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	58	66	7	50	57
	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	12.3%	87.7%	100.0%
Jind	37	17	54	30	21	51
	68.5%	31.5%	100.0%	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	2	85	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	11	56	67	4	58	62
	16.4%	83.6%	100.0%	6.5%	93.5%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	42	42	3	38	41
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7.3%	92.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	24	27	11	48	59
	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%	18.6%	81.4%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	2	19	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.5%	90.5%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	12	14	2	75	77
	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	2.6%	97.4%	100.0%
Mewat	0	35	35	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	33	34	0	33	33
	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	47	47	5	65	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%
Total	115	713	828	101	912	1013
	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.26 shows that the larger sections of the respondents are not aware or not sure about the B.L.O. In rural areas 86.1 percent are not about the B.L.O and in urban areas 90.0 percent are not aware about the B.L.O.in their constituencies. On the other hand 13.9 percent respondents are aware about B.L.O. in the rural area and 10.0 percent in the urban areas.

Table: 4.27
Respondent's awareness about B.L.A.

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	21	21	0	23	23
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	44	44	4	37	41
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.8%	90.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	44	44	3	41	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	6.8%	93.2%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	0	45	45
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	43	44	1	43	44
	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	22	0	79	79
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	48	50	0	35	35
	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	58	66	7	50	57
	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	12.3%	87.7%	100.0%
Jind	15	39	54	18	33	51
	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	0	87	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	66	67	5	57	62
	1.5%	98.5%	100.0%	8.1%	91.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	42	42	3	38	41
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7.3%	92.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	25	27	6	53	59
	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%	10.2%	89.8%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	13	14	0	77	77
	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	34	35	0	33	33
	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	34	34	4	29	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
Faridabad	5	42	47	4	66	70
	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	5.7%	94.3%	100.0%
Total	36	792	828	55	958	1013
	4.3%	95.7%	100.0%	5.4%	94.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.27 shows that only 4.3 percent in rural areas and 5.4 percent respondents in urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A. On the other hand 95.7 percent and 94.6 percent in rural and urban areas are not aware about the appointment of the B.L.A by different political parties.

Table: 4.28
Respondents' meeting with B.L.O.

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	21	21	1	22	23
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.3%	95.7%	100.0%
Ambala	0	44	44	1	40	41
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.4%	97.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	43	44	1	43	44
	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	44	44	0	45	45
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	44	44	0	44	44
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	22	0	79	79
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	50	50	0	35	35
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	7	59	66	6	51	57
	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%
Jind	3	51	54	7	44	51
	5.6%	94.4%	100.0%	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	0	87	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	67	67	1	61	62
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	42	42	0	41	41
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	27	27	1	58	59
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	14	14	0	77	77
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	35	35	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	34	34	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	47	47	1	69	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%
Total	11	817	828	19	994	1013
	1.3%	98.7%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.28 shows that out of the total only 1.3 percent from rural and 1.9 percent from urban areas have ever met B.L.O. 98.7 percent in rural areas and 98.1 percent in urban areas never met B.L.O.

Table: 4.29
Respondent's knowledge about the voter list for Vidha Shabha Election

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Don't know		Yes	No	Don't know	
Panchkula	21	0	0	21	21	0	2	23
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.3%	.0%	8.7%	100.0%
Ambala	32	11	1	44	32	4	5	41
	72.7%	25.0%	2.3%	100.0%	78.0%	9.8%	12.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	42	0	2	44	34	3	7	44
	95.5%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%	77.3%	6.8%	15.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	43	1	0	44	43	2	0	45
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	1	1	44	44	0	0	44
	95.5%	2.3%	2.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	22	0	0	22	56	19	4	79
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	70.9%	24.1%	5.1%	100.0%
Panipat	3	5	42	50	1	0	34	35
	6.0%	10.0%	84.0%	100.0%	2.9%	.0%	97.1%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	23	30	66	11	17	29	57
	19.7%	34.8%	45.5%	100.0%	19.3%	29.8%	50.9%	100.0%
Jind	21	17	16	54	14	29	8	51
	38.9%	31.5%	29.6%	100.0%	27.5%	56.9%	15.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	1	8	10	1	1	3	5
	10.0%	10.0%	80.0%	100.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	9	39	50	1	16	34	51
	4.0%	18.0%	78.0%	100.0%	2.0%	31.4%	66.7%	100.0%
Hisar	10	7	35	52	16	38	33	87
	19.2%	13.5%	67.3%	100.0%	18.4%	43.7%	37.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	8	34	25	67	3	36	23	62
	11.9%	50.7%	37.3%	100.0%	4.8%	58.1%	37.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	1	28	29	1	0	54	55
	.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	1.8%	.0%	98.2%	100.0%
Jhajjar	5	28	9	42	11	21	9	41
	11.9%	66.7%	21.4%	100.0%	26.8%	51.2%	22.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	5	22	0	27	3	56	0	59
	18.5%	81.5%	.0%	100.0%	5.1%	94.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	1	29	2	32	2	19	0	21
	3.1%	90.6%	6.2%	100.0%	9.5%	90.5%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	5	7	14	5	27	45	77
	14.3%	35.7%	50.0%	100.0%	6.5%	35.1%	58.4%	100.0%
Mewat	4	17	14	35	5	19	9	33
	11.4%	48.6%	40.0%	100.0%	15.2%	57.6%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	9	18	7	34	3	28	2	33
	26.5%	52.9%	20.6%	100.0%	9.1%	84.8%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	10	21	16	47	8	34	28	70
	21.3%	44.7%	34.0%	100.0%	11.4%	48.6%	40.0%	100.0%
Total	296	250	282	828	315	369	329	1013
	35.7%	30.2%	34.1%	100.0%	31.1%	36.4%	32.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.29 shows that out of total respondents, 35.7 percent and 31.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are aware about the preparation of the voter list for the Vidhan Sabha Election. Where as 30.2 percent and 34.1 percent from rural and urban areas respectively are not aware and remaining 34.1 percent from rural and 32.5 percent from urban are uncertain about the preparation of the voter list for the Vidhan Sabha Election.

Table: 4.30
Knowledge about the last Amendment in the Voter List

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	8	13	21	3	20	23
	38.1%	61.9%	100.0%	13.0%	87.0%	100.0%
Ambala	7	37	44	9	32	41
	15.9%	84.1%	100.0%	22.0%	78.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	28	44	17	27	44
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	38.6%	61.4%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	15	29	44	16	29	45
	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	9	35	44	8	36	44
	20.5%	79.5%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
Karnal	18	4	22	65	14	79
	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%
Panipat	0	50	50	0	35	35
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	63	66	4	53	57
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	7.0%	93.0%	100.0%
Jind	14	40	54	18	33	51
	25.9%	74.1%	100.0%	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	52	52	4	83	87
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.6%	95.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	12	55	67	3	59	62
	17.9%	82.1%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	40	42	0	41	41
	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	24	27	9	50	59
	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	0	21	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	12	14	0	77	77
	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	34	35	1	32	33
	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	33	34	0	33	33
	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	3	44	47	0	70	70
	6.4%	93.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	114	714	828	157	856	1013
	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%	15.5%	84.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 4.30 gives the picture of the awareness level of the respondents regarding the last amendment of the voter list. Out of total 13.8 percent respondents from the rural are aware about the amendment of the voter list where as larger section i.e. 86.2 percent are not aware about the ammendment. On the other hand out of the total respondents, 15.5 percent are aware about the ammedment while 84.5 percent are not aware about the amendment of the voter list last year in urban areas.

Table: 4.31
Awareness of the respondents on the voter list of Legislative Assembly is different from Panchayats/ Municipal Voter List

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	20	1	21	23	0	23
	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	39	6	45	37	4	41
	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	42	2	44	40	4	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	42	2	44	44	0	44
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	22	0	22	77	2	79
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Panipat	2	48	50	0	35	35
	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	30	36	66	28	29	57
	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
Jind	33	21	54	44	7	51
	61.1%	38.9%	100.0%	86.3%	13.7%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	9	41	50	7	44	51
	18.0%	82.0%	100.0%	13.7%	86.3%	100.0%
Hisar	3	48	51	31	56	87
	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	32	35	67	38	24	62
	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%	61.3%	38.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	28	29	0	55	55
	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	40	2	42	40	1	41
	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	24	3	27	43	16	59
	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	72.9%	27.1%	100.0%
Rewari	30	2	32	21	0	21
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	12	2	14	77	0	77
	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	32	3	35	32	1	33
	91.4%	8.6%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	34	31	2	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	46	1	47	62	8	70
	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%
Total	537	291	828	720	293	1013
	64.9%	35.1%	100.0%	71.1%	28.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.31 shows the awareness of the respondents on the differences in the voter lists of Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/ Municipalities. Out of total 64.9 percent in rural areas and the 71.1 percent in urban areas are aware about the differences in Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/Municipal Voter lists. And remaining 35.1 percent in rural and 28.9 percent in urban areas are not aware.

Table: 4.32
Respondent's opinion on the need to change for easy enrollment in the voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	13	8	21	10	13	23
	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%	43.5%	56.5%	100.0%
Ambala	28	17	45	27	14	41
	62.2%	37.8%	100.0%	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	27	17	44	29	15	44
	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	27	17	44	30	15	45
	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Kaithal	33	11	44	30	14	44
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%
Karnal	0	22	22	1	78	79
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.3%	98.7%	100.0%
Panipat	0	50	50	0	35	35
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	7	59	66	8	49	57
	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
Jind	3	51	54	2	49	51
	5.6%	94.4%	100.0%	3.9%	96.1%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	50	50	0	51	51
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	5	46	51	4	83	87
	9.8%	90.2%	100.0%	4.6%	95.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	65	67	3	59	62
	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	55	55
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	7	35	42	3	38	41
	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%	7.3%	92.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	25	27	2	57	59
	7.4%	92.6%	100.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%
Rewari	0	32	32	1	20	21
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Gurgaon	5	9	14	32	45	77
	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
Mewat	10	25	35	11	22	33
	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Palwal	28	6	34	23	10	33
	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%	69.7%	30.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	14	33	47	29	41	70
	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%
Total	211	617	828	245	768	1013
	25.5%	74.5%	100.0%	24.2%	75.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.32 shows that out of the total respondents interviewed from rural and urban areas 25.5 percent from rural and 24.2 percent respondents from urban areas feel the need to change the rules for easy enrollment in the Voter List but the larger section of the respondents i.e. 74.5 percent from rural and 75.8 percent from urban doesn't feel the need to change the rules.

Table: 4.33
Respondent's interest/willingness to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	21	0	21	23	0	23
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	45	0	45	41	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	44	0	44	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	44	0	44	44	0	44
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	22	0	22	68	11	79
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%
Panipat	50	0	50	35	0	35
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	65	1	66	56	1	57
	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Jind	54	0	54	51	0	51
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	49	1	50	51	0	51
	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	47	4	51	86	1	87
	92.2%	7.8%	100.0%	98.9%	1.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	62	5	67	61	1	62
	92.5%	7.5%	100.0%	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	55	0	55
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	42	0	42	41	0	41
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	27	0	27	57	2	59
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%
Rewari	32	0	32	21	0	21
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	0	14	77	0	77
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	35	0	35	31	2	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	34	0	34	33	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	47	0	47	66	4	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.3%	5.7%	100.0%
Total	817	11	828	991	22	1013
	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%	97.8%	2.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 4.33 shows interest of the respondents to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election. Out of the total respondents in rural areas 98.7 percent and in urban areas 97.6 percent have shown interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly election. On the other hand marginal percentages of 1.3 percent in rural and 2.2 percent in urban respondents have not shown any interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Chapter - V

Chapter V

Registered Youth Voter's Awareness and Participation

This chapter V is based on the respondents on the youth respondents in the age group of 18-29 years in the rural and urban areas. The chapter gives a picture of the youth respondents' participation level in the election, their awareness and perception.

The Socio-Economic profile of Registered Youth Respondents is as below: -

Table: 5.1
Gender Composition of Registered Voters

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Male	Female		Male	Female	
Panchkula	1	2	3	5	4	9
	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
Ambala	7	7	14	3	8	11
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	5	11	16	7	5	12
	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	7	7	14	6	11	17
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	35.3%	64.7%	100.0%
Kaithal	4	12	16	13	19	32
	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%
Karnal	10	10	20	3	2	5
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Panipat	9	6	15	4	4	8
	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	6	14	27	4	31
	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	87.1%	12.9%	100.0%
Jind	15	7	22	4	6	10
	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	2	4	0	3	3
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	5	10	15	4	3	7
	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Hisar	12	17	29	10	35	45
	41.4%	58.6%	100.0%	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	10	6	16	7	6	13
	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	7	3	10	9	3	12
	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	10	3	13	18	8	26
	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	7	10	17	10	1	11
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Rewari	4	4	8	7	10	17

	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	2	4	14	11	25
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	56.0%	44.0%	100.0%
Mewat	10	5	15	12	0	12
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	7	1	8	6	5	11
	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	6	13	24	1	25
	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	149	137	286	193	149	342
	52.1%	47.9%	100.0%	56.4%	43.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.1 shows that out of total youth respondents from the rural areas, 52.1 percent constitute male and 47.9 percent female. In the urban areas the male respondents constitute 56.4 percent and female 43.6 percent.

Table: 5.2
Educational Profile of Registered Voter's in Rural Areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	2	5	2	4	0	0	0	14
	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	14.3%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	3	5	1	4	1	0	0	16
	12.5%	18.8%	31.2%	6.2%	25.0%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	5	1	1	3	2	1	1	0	14
	35.7%	7.1%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	6	1	2	3	1	3	0	0	16
	37.5%	6.2%	12.5%	18.8%	6.2%	18.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	5	1	6	3	1	2	2	0	20
	25.0%	5.0%	30.0%	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	6	1	1	1	5	0	0	1	15
	40.0%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	1	1	4	2	2	1	0	14
	21.4%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	1	5	7	3	6	0	0	22
	.0%	4.5%	22.7%	31.8%	13.6%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	4
	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	1	6	4	2	1	0	0	15
	6.7%	6.7%	40.0%	26.7%	13.3%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	4	4	1	10	6	2	2	0	29
	13.8%	13.8%	3.4%	34.5%	20.7%	6.9%	6.9%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	0	3	5	2	5	0	0	16
	6.2%	.0%	18.8%	31.2%	12.5%	31.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	10
	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	0	1	6	3	2	0	0	13
	7.7%	.0%	7.7%	46.2%	23.1%	15.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	0	2	4	2	4	0	2	17
	17.6%	.0%	11.8%	23.5%	11.8%	23.5%	.0%	11.8%	100.0%
Rewari	1	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	8
	12.5%	.0%	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4
	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	7	0	5	0	1	2	0	0	15
	46.7%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	6.7%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	0	8
	.0%	.0%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	2	1	4	2	4	0	0	0	13
	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	30.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	50	22	54	63	52	35	7	3	286
	17.5%	7.7%	18.9%	22.0%	18.2%	12.2%	2.4%	1.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.2 shows out of the total registered respondents in rural areas, 17.5 percent are illiterate while 7.7 percent of the respondents are educated only up to primary and 18.9 percent middle level. On the other hand 22.0 percent of the respondents are educated up to high school and 18.2 percent higher secondary. The percentage of the youth respondents who had opted for higher education is low in the rural areas. Only 2.4 percent and 12.2 percent of the respondents are educated up to post graduation and graduation respectively while 1.0 percent is other like diploma holders.

Table: 5.3
Education Profile of the Registered Voters in the Urban Area

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	0	1	0	5	3	0	0	0	9
	.0%	11.1%	.0%	55.6%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	0	0	4	2	3	1	0	11
	9.1%	.0%	.0%	36.4%	18.2%	27.3%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	3	0	0	6	2	1	0	0	12
	25.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	16.7%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	4	1	1	6	4	0	1	0	17
	23.5%	5.9%	5.9%	35.3%	23.5%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	1	0	0	0	22	9	0	32
	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	68.8%	28.1%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	5
	40.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	8
	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	2	2	3	11	9	3	0	31
	3.2%	6.5%	6.5%	9.7%	35.5%	29.0%	9.7%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	2	1	0	2	3	2	0	10
	.0%	20.0%	10.0%	.0%	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	0	7
	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	3	5	7	8	6	5	1	10	45
	6.7%	11.1%	15.6%	17.8%	13.3%	11.1%	2.2%	22.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	0	1	2	3	5	1	0	13
	7.7%	.0%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	2	0	1	1	5	1	0	12
	16.7%	16.7%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	41.7%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	0	1	6	11	4	2	0	26
	7.7%	.0%	3.8%	23.1%	42.3%	15.4%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	0	2	2	7	0	0	11
	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	2	4	5	5	1	0	17
	.0%	.0%	11.8%	23.5%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	0	6	5	7	4	0	0	25
	12.0%	.0%	24.0%	20.0%	28.0%	16.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	0	4	5	1	1	0	0	12
	8.3%	.0%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	1	3	0	5	1	0	0	11
	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	.0%	45.5%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	2	3	3	11	4	1	0	25
	4.0%	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%	44.0%	16.0%	4.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	26	19	36	65	81	80	25	10	342
	7.6%	5.6%	10.5%	19.0%	23.7%	23.4%	7.3%	2.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.3 shows that out of total respondents from the urban areas, percentage of illiterate is lower as compared to the rural areas. Only 7.6 percent of the youth respondents are illiterate while 5.6 percent of the respondents are educated only up to primary and 10.5 percent up to the middle level. 19.0 percent of the respondents are educated up to high school and 23.7 percent up to higher secondary level. 23.4 percent and 7.3 percent of the respondents are educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively while 2.9 percent are others like diploma holders.

Table: 5.4
Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Self work	unemployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
	.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	66.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	0	0	4	3	5	0	1	1	0	14
	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	21.4%	35.7%	.0%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	0	1	0	1	10	1	1	1	0	16
	6.2%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	6.2%	62.5%	6.2%	6.2%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	1	0	1	3	2	6	0	1	0	0	14
	7.1%	.0%	7.1%	21.4%	14.3%	42.9%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	1	1	1	9	1	0	2	1	16
	.0%	.0%	6.2%	6.2%	6.2%	56.2%	6.2%	.0%	12.5%	6.2%	100.0%
Karnal	0	0	1	2	1	9	4	0	3	0	20
	.0%	.0%	5.0%	10.0%	5.0%	45.0%	20.0%	.0%	15.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	3	4	6	2	0	0	0	15
	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	26.7%	40.0%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	0	0	0	2	7	2	2	1	0	14
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	50.0%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	0	2	5	5	7	2	0	1	0	22
	.0%	.0%	9.1%	22.7%	22.7%	31.8%	9.1%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	4
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	1	1	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	15
	13.3%	6.7%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	5	0	2	1	1	12	7	0	1	0	29
	17.2%	.0%	6.9%	3.4%	3.4%	41.4%	24.1%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	4	0	2	1	1	5	2	0	1	0	16
	25.0%	.0%	12.5%	6.2%	6.2%	31.2%	12.5%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	1	0	0	10
	.0%	.0%	.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	0	6	1	0	3	2	0	1	0	13
	.0%	.0%	46.2%	7.7%	.0%	23.1%	15.4%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	0	0	1	3	10	0	0	1	0	17
	11.8%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	17.6%	58.8%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	0	2	8
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	4

	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	3	1	0	4	2	4	1	0	0	0	15
	20.0%	6.7%	.0%	26.7%	13.3%	26.7%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	8
	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	0	1	0	1	6	2	1	1	0	13
	7.7%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	7.7%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Total	20	3	19	30	34	122	30	9	15	4	286
	7.0%	1.0%	6.6%	10.5%	11.9%	42.7%	10.5%	3.1%	5.2%	1.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.4 shows that out of total respondents in the rural areas, larger section of the respondents are involve in domestic work i.e. 42.7 percent, 10.5 percent are non agricultural labor while 6.6 percent are agricultural labor and 7.0 percent are farmer. Student percentage among youth respondents interviewed in rural areas is 11.9 percent and only a marginal of youth respondents i.e. 1.0 percent are in the government service. 5.2 percent of the respondents are working in private organization. Where 3.1 percent are unemployed 1.4 percent of the youth are involved in other activities beside the stated above occupations.

Table: 5.5
Occupational profile of Respondents in urban Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Self work	unemployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	2	0	1	4	1	1	0	0	9
	.0%	.0%	22.2%	.0%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	11
	.0%	36.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	54.5%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	2	0	0	1	4	1	0	4	0	12
	.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	3	0	0	2	1	6	2	0	3	0	17
	17.6%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	11.8%	.0%	17.6%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	2	0	29	1	0	0	0	0	32
	.0%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	90.6%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	2	0	4	1	1	0	0	8
	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	4	0	0	16	5	3	0	3	0	31
	.0%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	51.6%	16.1%	9.7%	.0%	9.7%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	1	0	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	10
	10.0%	10.0%	.0%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	7
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	71.4%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
Hisar	6	0	1	0	12	18	6	0	2	0	45
	13.3%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	26.7%	40.0%	13.3%	.0%	4.4%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	3	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	1	0	13
	23.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	38.5%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	1	0	2	3	4	1	0	0	0	12
	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	0	1	4	6	5	6	1	2	0	26
	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	15.4%	23.1%	19.2%	23.1%	3.8%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	11
	27.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	63.6%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	2	2	10	2	0	0	1	17
	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	11.8%	58.8%	11.8%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	1	0	1	5	8	6	1	2	0	25

	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	4.0%	20.0%	32.0%	24.0%	4.0%	8.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	0	0	9	1	0	1	1	0	0	12
	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	8.3%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	1	0	1	1	5	1	1	1	0	11
	.0%	9.1%	.0%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	9.1%	9.1%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	3	0	0	3	7	0	10	1	1	0	25
	12.0%	.0%	.0%	12.0%	28.0%	.0%	40.0%	4.0%	4.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	23	14	6	29	100	91	49	8	20	2	342
	6.7%	4.1%	1.8%	8.5%	29.2%	26.6%	14.3%	2.3%	5.8%	0.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.5 shows that out of total youth respondents interviewed from the urban areas 6.7 percent are directly involved in farming and 1.8 percent is agricultural labor while 8.5 percent are non agricultural labor, 4.1 percent are in the government services. Larger section of the youth respondent i.e 29.2 percent and 26.6 percent are student and involve in domestic work respectively. On the other hand 5.8 percent are working in private organizations, 2.3 of the total are unemployed and remaining 0.6 percent are involved in other activities.

**Table: 5.6
Economic status of the Respondents**

District	Rural						Urban					
	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total
Panchkula	1	1	1	0	0	3	4	2	3	0	0	9
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	44.4%	22.2%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	10	3	1	0	0	14	1	4	5	1	0	11
	71.4%	21.4%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	11	1	2	1	1	16	5	2	4	1	0	12
	68.8%	6.2%	12.5%	6.2%	6.2%	100.0%	41.7%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kuruk shetra	12	1	0	1	0	14	11	5	1	0	0	17
	85.7%	7.1%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%	64.7%	29.4%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	10	4	1	1	0	16	0	11	17	4	0	32
	62.5%	25.0%	6.2%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	34.4%	53.1%	12.5%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	3	10	7	0	0	20	4	0	1	0	0	5
	15.0%	50.0%	35.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	9	3	0	3	0	15	3	1	1	3	0	8
	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	5	2	6	1	0	14	2	0	4	18	7	31
	35.7%	14.3%	42.9%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%	6.5%	.0%	12.9%	58.1%	22.6%	100.0%
Jind	3	6	8	3	2	22	0	4	2	2	2	10
	13.6%	27.3%	36.4%	13.6%	9.1%	100.0%	.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	3
	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	1	13	1	0	15	0	0	2	2	3	7
	.0%	6.7%	86.7%	6.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%
Hisar	0	4	16	9	0	29	0	13	20	12	0	45
	.0%	13.8%	55.2%	31.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	28.9%	44.4%	26.7%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	0	8	5	2	16	0	1	6	5	1	13
	6.2%	.0%	50.0%	31.2%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	0	0	10	7	1	1	1	2	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	4	3	3	2	13	3	8	12	1	2	26
	7.7%	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	15.4%	100.0%	11.5%	30.8%	46.2%	3.8%	7.7%	100.0%
Mahend ragarh	2	2	13	0	0	17	0	0	8	2	1	11
	11.8%	11.8%	76.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	7	0	1	8	0	1	6	5	5	17
	.0%	.0%	87.5%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%	29.4%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	1	2	1	4	1	3	12	8	1	25
	.0%	.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%	4.0%	12.0%	48.0%	32.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Mewat	2	5	6	2	0	15	3	4	5	0	0	12
	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%	13.3%	.0%	100.0%	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	2	4	1	0	8	2	2	4	1	2	11
	12.5%	25.0%	50.0%	12.5%	.0%	100.0%	18.2%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	1	8	3	1	13	0	6	14	3	2	25
	.0%	7.7%	61.5%	23.1%	7.7%	100.0%	.0%	24.0%	56.0%	12.0%	8.0%	100.0%
Total	81	51	108	36	10	286	46	68	131	69	28	342
	28.3%	17.8%	37.8%	12.6%	3.5%	100.0%	13.5%	19.9%	38.3%	20.2%	8.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.6 shows that the percentage of very poor in the rural areas is 28.3 percent as compare to the 13.5 percent in urban areas. 17.8 percent respondents in rural and 19.9 percent respondents in urban areas are poor. In rural areas 37.8 percent of the youth respondents belong to average category and 12.6 percent to middle and on the other hand 38.3 percent of the total belongs to average category and 20.2 percent to middle class in urban areas. The percentage of respondents from rich class in rural and urban areas is 3.5 percent and 8.2 percent respectively.

Table: 5.7
Social Composition of the Respondents

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	General	SCs	OBCs		General	SCs	OBCs	
Panchkula	2	1	0	3	7	1	1	9
	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	100.0%
Ambala	4	7	3	14	4	5	2	11
	28.6%	50.0%	21.4%	100.0%	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	6	6	4	16	6	1	5	12
	37.5%	37.5%	25.0%	100.0%	50.0%	8.3%	41.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	6	5	3	14	8	5	4	17
	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%	100.0%	47.1%	29.4%	23.5%	100.0%
Kaithal	7	7	2	16	18	4	10	32
	43.8%	43.8%	12.5%	100.0%	56.2%	12.5%	31.2%	100.0%
Karnal	3	14	3	20	2	3	0	5
	15.0%	70.0%	15.0%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	7	8	15	1	3	4	8
	.0%	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%	12.5%	37.5%	50.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	7	6	1	14	26	3	2	31
	50.0%	42.9%	7.1%	100.0%	83.9%	9.7%	6.5%	100.0%
Jind	11	4	7	22	8	2	0	10
	50.0%	18.2%	31.8%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	1	1	4	0	3	0	3
	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	3	10	15	7	0	0	7
	13.3%	20.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	7	11	11	29	6	27	12	45
	24.1%	37.9%	37.9%	100.0%	13.3%	60.0%	26.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	4	7	5	16	7	6	0	13
	25.0%	43.8%	31.2%	100.0%	53.8%	46.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	3	6	1	10	6	4	2	12
	30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Jhajjar	7	4	2	13	11	4	11	26
	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%	100.0%	42.3%	15.4%	42.3%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	10	3	4	17	9	2	0	11
	58.8%	17.6%	23.5%	100.0%	81.8%	18.2%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	6	1	1	8	9	3	5	17
	75.0%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%	52.9%	17.6%	29.4%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	4	4	3	7	15	25
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	12.0%	28.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	2	13	15	2	1	9	12
	.0%	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%	16.7%	8.3%	75.0%	100.0%
Palwal	6	0	2	8	3	1	7	11
	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%	27.3%	9.1%	63.6%	100.0%
Faridabad	8	1	4	13	15	1	9	25
	61.5%	7.7%	30.8%	100.0%	60.0%	4.0%	36.0%	100.0%
Total	101	96	89	286	158	86	98	342
	35.3%	33.6%	31.1%	100.0%	46.2%	25.1%	28.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Social composition




Table 5.7 shows that in the rural areas of Haryana out of total, 35.3 percent belong to general category and 33.6 percent belong to scheduled castes and remaining 31.1 percent to other backward classes. Where as in the urban areas larger number of respondents belongs to the general category i.e. 46.2 percent, 25.1 percent belong to scheduled castes and remaining 28.7 percent belong to other backward classes.

Table: 5.8

Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	3	0	3	9	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	14	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	16	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	16	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	13	7	20	5	0	5
	65.0%	35.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	11	14	0	31	31
	21.4%	78.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	13	9	22	9	1	10
	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	3	1	4	2	1	3
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Sirsa	12	3	15	7	0	7
	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	19	10	29	29	16	45
	65.5%	34.5%	100.0%	64.4%	35.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	9	7	16	9	4	13
	56.2%	43.8%	100.0%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	10	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	11	2	13	24	2	26
	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	8	9	17	11	0	11
	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	8	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	12	1	13	25	0	25
	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	201	85	286	267	75	342
	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.8 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election. Respondents in the urban areas are more aware than the respondents in rural areas. In rural areas 70.3 percent of the respondents are aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to 78.1 percent in the urban areas. On the other hand 29.7 percent of respondents in rural areas and 21.9 percent in urban areas are not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Table: 5.9

Opinion of the respondents about casting of the votes

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Necessary	Not necessary		Necessary	Not Necessary	
Panchkula	3	0	3	7	2	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	77.8%	22.2%	100.0%
Ambala	13	1	14	11	0	11
	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	16	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	16	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	20	0	20	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	15	8	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	1	14	29	2	31
	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%
Jind	21	1	22	10	0	10
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	0	15	7	0	7
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	29	0	29	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	2	16	13	0	13
	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	9	1	10	12	0	12
	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	12	1	13	26	0	26
	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	17	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	16	1	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	8	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	11	2	13	25	0	25
	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	277	9	286	337	5	342
	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.9 shows the opinion of the respondents regarding the polling of their votes. In both the sampled categories i.e. rural as well as urban, the respondents (96.9 percent in rural areas and 98.5 percent in urban areas) feel that casting of their vote is necessary. Whereas only a marginal number of respondents i.e. 3.1 percent in rural and 1.5 percent in the urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is not necessary.

Table: 5.10
Respondent's opinion about benefits of casting the vote

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	3	0	3	4	5	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
Ambala	10	4	14	11	0	11
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	13	3	16	11	1	12
	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%	91.7%	8.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	2	14	12	5	17
	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	16	30	2	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%
Karnal	14	6	20	4	1	5
	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	15	8	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	1	14	31	0	31
	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	21	1	22	10	0	10
	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	14	1	15	7	0	7
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	29	0	29	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	2	16	12	1	13
	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	10	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	13	22	4	26
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	17	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	16	1	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	2	4	17	8	25
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	10	2	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	8	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	13	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	264	22	286	312	30	342
	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Tables 5.10 show that 92.3 percent in rural and 91.2 percent in urban areas feel casting of vote is beneficial. 7.7 percent and 8.8 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively feel that casting of vote is not beneficial.

**Table: 5.11
Respondent's Opinion if casting of Vote is Fundamental Right**

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	3	0	0	3	9	0	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	0	14	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	0	16	11	1	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.7%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	0	14	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	0	16	32	0	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	20	0	0	20	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	0	15	8	0	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	11	0	3	14	30	0	1	31
	78.6%	.0%	21.4%	100.0%	96.8%	.0%	3.2%	100.0%
Jind	20	0	2	22	9	1	0	10
	90.9%	.0%	9.1%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	14	1	0	15	7	0	0	7
	93.3%	6.7%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	27	0	2	29	43	2	0	45
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	15	0	1	16	12	0	1	13
	93.8%	.0%	6.2%	100.0%	92.3%	.0%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	10	11	0	1	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.7%	.0%	8.3%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	0	13	21	1	4	26
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.8%	3.8%	15.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	0	17	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	1	0	4	25	0	0	25
	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	0	15	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	0	8	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	11	1	1	13	25	0	0	25
	84.6%	7.7%	7.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	274	3	9	286	330	5	7	342
	95.8%	1.04%	3.15%	100.0%	96.5%	1.5%	2.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.11 shows that 95.8 percent in rural and 96.5 percent in urban areas feel that casting the vote in election is their fundamental right. Only 1.04 percent and 1.5 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are not aware of the fundamental right to cast their vote.

**Table: 5.12
Training of Respondents for using EVMs**

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	2	1	0	3	9	0	0	9
	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	0	14	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	12	4	0	16	11	1	0	12
	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%	91.7%	8.3%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	2	0	14	13	4	0	17
	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%	76.5%	23.5%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	13	3	0	16	31	1	0	32
	81.2%	18.8%	.0%	100.0%	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	5	15	0	20	3	2	0	5
	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	0	15	8	0	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	3	3	14	30	1	0	31
	57.1%	21.4%	21.4%	100.0%	96.8%	3.2%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	18	3	1	22	6	4	0	10
	81.8%	13.6%	4.5%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	0	0	15	7	0	0	7
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	27	2	0	29	40	5	0	45
	93.1%	6.9%	.0%	100.0%	88.9%	11.1%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	16	0	0	16	12	1	0	13
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	92.3%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	10	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	5	8	0	13	4	20	2	26
	38.5%	61.5%	.0%	100.0%	15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	15	2	0	17	11	0	0	11
	88.2%	11.8%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	0	4	11	13	1	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	44.0%	52.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Mewat	11	4	0	15	8	3	1	12
	73.3%	26.7%	.0%	100.0%	66.7%	25.0%	8.3%	100.0%
Palwal	1	7	0	8	7	4	0	11
	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	6	0	13	21	4	0	25
	53.8%	46.2%	.0%	100.0%	84.0%	16.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	218	64	4	286	275	63	4	342
	76.2%	22.4%	1.4%	100.0%	80.4%	18.4%	1.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.12 shows the detail of respondents who have received training for using EVM before using the same to cast their votes. In rural areas 76.2 percent of the respondents have gone through the training session before the election while 22.4 percent of the respondents have never got any training and in urban areas 80.4 percent of the respondents got training and 18.4 percent have not received any training to use the EVM before the election. Where as 1.4 percent in the rural and the 1.2 percent in the urban areas are not aware about the use of EMV machines in election.

Table: 5.13
Opinion of the Respondents about the renewal of Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	2	1	0	3	9	0	0	9
	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	12	1	1	14	8	1	2	11
	85.7%	7.1%	7.1%	100.0%	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	15	1	0	16	10	1	1	12
	93.8%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%	83.3%	8.3%	8.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	0	14	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	15	1	0	16	32	0	0	32
	93.8%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	3	0	20	4	1	0	5
	85.0%	15.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	0	8	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	4	10	14	0	0	31	31
	.0%	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	18	1	3	22	9	0	1	10
	81.8%	4.5%	13.6%	100.0%	90.0%	.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	11	3	15	5	2	0	7
	6.7%	73.3%	20.0%	100.0%	71.4%	28.6%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	3	20	6	29	15	21	9	45
	10.3%	69.0%	20.7%	100.0%	33.3%	46.7%	20.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	11	5	0	16	9	4	0	13
	68.8%	31.2%	.0%	100.0%	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	1	9	10	0	0	12	12
	.0%	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	8	1	13	5	17	4	26
	30.8%	61.5%	7.7%	100.0%	19.2%	65.4%	15.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	13	1	3	17	11	0	0	11
	76.5%	5.9%	17.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	3	0	4	12	6	7	25
	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%	48.0%	24.0%	28.0%	100.0%
Mewat	11	3	1	15	8	2	2	12
	73.3%	20.0%	6.7%	100.0%	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Palwal	1	4	3	8	5	2	4	11
	12.5%	50.0%	37.5%	100.0%	45.5%	18.2%	36.4%	100.0%
Faridabad	6	7	0	13	21	4	0	25
	46.2%	53.8%	.0%	100.0%	84.0%	16.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	152	79	55	286	197	64	81	342
	53.1%	27.6%	19.2%	100.0%	57.6%	18.7%	23.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.13 shows 53.1 percent in rural and 57.6 percent in urban areas of the opinion that the renewal of voter's list is necessary where as 27.6 percent of the rural and the 18.7 percent of the urban areas respondents think that the renewal of the voter's list is not necessary.

Table: 5.14
Respondents' Opinion about Legislative Assembly Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Correct	Incorrect	Not seen		Correct	Incorrect	Not seen	
Panchkula	0	1	2	3	0	3	6	9
	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Ambala	7	0	7	14	3	0	8	11
	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	100.0%	27.3%	.0%	72.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	4	1	11	16	5	1	6	12
	25.0%	6.2%	68.8%	100.0%	41.7%	8.3%	50.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	7	0	7	14	5	3	9	17
	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	100.0%	29.4%	17.6%	52.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	2	0	14	16	9	2	21	32
	12.5%	.0%	87.5%	100.0%	28.1%	6.2%	65.6%	100.0%
Karnal	18	0	2	20	3	1	1	5
	90.0%	.0%	10.0%	100.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	0	8	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	4	0	10	14	0	12	19	31
	28.6%	.0%	71.4%	100.0%	.0%	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
Jind	21	0	1	22	9	1	0	10
	95.5%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	4	4	0	0	3	3
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	15	15	5	0	2	7
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	71.4%	.0%	28.6%	100.0%
Hisar	7	0	22	29	14	0	31	45
	24.1%	.0%	75.9%	100.0%	31.1%	.0%	68.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	11	2	3	16	9	1	3	13
	68.8%	12.5%	18.8%	100.0%	69.2%	7.7%	23.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	2	8	10	0	0	12	12
	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	5	0	8	13	11	0	15	26
	38.5%	.0%	61.5%	100.0%	42.3%	.0%	57.7%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	13	2	2	17	11	0	0	11
	76.5%	11.8%	11.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	4	4	7	0	18	25
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	28.0%	.0%	72.0%	100.0%
Mewat	7	0	8	15	1	1	10	12
	46.7%	.0%	53.3%	100.0%	8.3%	8.3%	83.3%	100.0%
Palwal	3	0	5	8	5	1	5	11
	37.5%	.0%	62.5%	100.0%	45.5%	9.1%	45.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	6	1	6	13	16	0	9	25
	46.2%	7.7%	46.2%	100.0%	64.0%	.0%	36.0%	100.0%
Total	123	9	154	286	130	26	186	342
	43.0%	3.1%	53.8%	100.0%	38.0%	7.6%	54.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.14 shows that 43.0 percent in rural and 38 percent in urban areas feel that voter list is correct, while a larger section of the respondents i.e. 53.8 percent in rural and 54.4 percent in urban areas have not seen the voter list.

**Table: 5.15
Knowledge about Polling Booth**

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	2	1	3	9	0	9
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	12	2	14	11	0	11
	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	16	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	16	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	20	0	20	4	1	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	15	8	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	14	0	14	31	0	31
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	22	0	22	10	0	10
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	0	15	7	0	7
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	29	0	29	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	15	1	16	12	1	13
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	10	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	13	26	0	26
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	17	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	7	1	8	11	0	11
	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	12	1	13	25	0	25
	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	280	6	286	340	2	342
	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%	99.4%	0.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.15 shows that out of the total respondents in rural areas 97.9 percent of the respondents interviewed have knowledge about their polling booths and only 2.1 percent respondents are not aware about their polling booths. While in urban areas, out of the total respondents the percentage of 99.4 has knowledge about their polling booth and only 0.6 percent respondents are not aware about their pooling booths.

Table: 5.16
Respondents' Awareness about Serial Number of their Names
in Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	3	0	3	0	9	0	9
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	13	0	14	0	11	0	11
	7.1%	92.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	16	0	16	0	12	0	12
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	14	0	14	0	17	0	17
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	16	0	16	1	31	0	32
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	3.1%	96.9%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	8	0	20	2	3	0	5
	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	0	8	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	1	13	14	0	0	31	31
	.0%	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	5	16	1	22	2	8	0	10
	22.7%	72.7%	4.5%	100.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	15	0	15	0	3	4	7
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Hisar	0	25	4	29	0	38	7	45
	.0%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%	.0%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	16	0	16	2	11	0	13
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	15.4%	84.6%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	10	10	0	0	12	12
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	7	6	0	13	11	15	0	26
	53.8%	46.2%	.0%	100.0%	42.3%	57.7%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	15	0	17	8	3	0	11
	11.8%	88.2%	.0%	100.0%	72.7%	27.3%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	0	8	2	15	0	17
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	11.8%	88.2%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	0	4	7	18	0	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	28.0%	72.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	4	11	0	15	3	9	0	12
	26.7%	73.3%	.0%	100.0%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	7	1	8	0	11	0	11
	.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	13	0	13	14	11	0	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	56.0%	44.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	31	211	44	286	52	228	62	342
	10.8%	73.8%	15.4%	100.0%	15.2%	66.7%	18.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.16 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the serial number of their name in the voter list. Only a marginal number constituting 10.8 percent and 15.2 percent of respondents in the rural and the urban areas respectively are aware about their serial number of their names in the voter list. On the other hand a large section of the respondents, i.e. 73.8 percent from rural and 66.7 percent from urban areas are not aware of the serial number of their names in the voting list and remaining 15.4 percent and 18.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively can't say about the serial number of their name in the voter list.

Table: 5.17**Whether the respondents received the EPICs**

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	3	0	3	9	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	14	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	16	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	16	30	2	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%
Karnal	20	0	20	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	15	8	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	14	0	14	31	0	31
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	22	0	22	9	1	10
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	0	15	7	0	7
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	20	9	29	33	12	45
	69.0%	31.0%	100.0%	73.3%	24.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	12	4	16	12	1	13
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	10	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	13	26	0	26
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	17	11	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	6	2	8	11	0	11
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	13	25	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	271	15	286	326	16	342
	94.8%	5.2%	100.0%	95.3%	4.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.17 shows that out of total 286 youth respondents 94.8 percent in rural and out of 342 respondents in urban areas 95.3 percent have received their EPICs while 5.2 percent and 4.7 percent in rural and urban areas respectively have not received their EPICs.

Table: 5.18
Problem face by the voters in making EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	1	2	0	3	6	3	0	9
	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	13	0	14	0	11	0	11
	7.1%	92.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	8	8	0	16	4	8	0	12
	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	3	11	0	14	5	12	0	17
	21.4%	78.6%	.0%	100.0%	29.4%	70.6%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	6	10	0	16	3	29	0	32
	37.5%	62.5%	.0%	100.0%	9.4%	90.6%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	9	11	0	20	5	0	0	5
	45.0%	55.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	0	8	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	13	1	14	1	30	0	31
	.0%	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	3.2%	96.8%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	22	0	22	1	9	0	10
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	10.0%	90.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	14	1	15	0	6	1	7
	.0%	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
Hisar	1	27	1	29	3	41	1	45
	3.4%	93.1%	3.4%	100.0%	6.7%	91.1%	2.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	15	0	16	1	12	0	13
	6.2%	93.8%	.0%	100.0%	7.7%	92.3%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	0	10	0	12	0	12
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	12	0	13	0	26	0	26
	7.7%	92.3%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	15	0	17	1	9	1	11
	11.8%	88.2%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	100.0%
Rewari	1	7	0	8	0	17	0	17
	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	0	4	0	25	0	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	14	0	15	2	10	0	12
	6.7%	93.3%	.0%	100.0%	16.7%	83.3%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	8	0	8	2	8	1	11
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	18.2%	72.7%	9.1%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	12	1	13	1	24	0	25
	.0%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	4.0%	96.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	35	232	19	286	35	295	12	342
	12.2%	81.1%	6.6%	100.0%	10.2%	86.3%	3.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.18 shows that in rural areas, 12.6 percent and 10.2 percent in urban areas faced problem in preparing their EPICs.

Table: 5.19

Respondents' opinion on the benefits of EPIs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Beneficial	Not beneficial	Can't say		Beneficial	Not beneficial	Can't say	
Panchkula	3	0	0	3	9	0	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	0	14	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	0	16	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	0	14	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	0	16	32	0	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	20	0	0	20	4	1	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	0	15	8	0	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	10	0	4	14	31	0	0	31
	71.4%	.0%	28.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	21	1	0	22	10	0	0	10
	95.5%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	0	0	15	4	0	3	7
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	57.1%	.0%	42.9%	100.0%
Hisar	27	0	2	29	42	2	1	45
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	93.3%	4.4%	2.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	2	0	16	13	0	0	13
	87.5%	12.5%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	10	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	11	1	1	13	22	4	0	26
	84.6%	7.7%	7.7%	100.0%	84.6%	15.4%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	16	1	0	17	10	1	0	11
	94.1%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	16	1	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	0	4	25	0	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	0	15	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	0	8	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	0	13	25	0	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	274	5	7	286	329	9	4	342
	94.7%	1.8%	3.5%	100.0%	96.2%	2.6%	1.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.19 shows the opinion of the respondents on the benefits of EPICs. Out of the total 94.7 percent from the rural and the 96.2 percent from the urban areas feel that it is beneficial to have a EPICs while 1.8 percent and 2.6 percent from the rural and urban respectively feel that EPICs is not beneficial and remaining 3.5 percent from the rural respondents and 1.2 percent respondents from the urban are not sure about the benefits of EPICs.

Table: 5.20
Respondents' knowledge about B.L.O.

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Aware	Not aware	Can't say		Aware	Not aware	Can't say	
Panchkula	3	0	0	3	9	0	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	6	7	1	14	7	4	0	11
	42.9%	50.0%	7.1%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	12	3	1	16	12	0	0	12
	75.0%	18.8%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	11	3	0	14	16	0	1	17
	78.6%	21.4%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	.0%	5.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	15	1	0	16	31	1	0	32
	93.8%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	16	3	1	20	0	5	0	5
	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	0	8	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	1	0	13	14	11	0	20	31
	7.1%	.0%	92.9%	100.0%	35.5%	.0%	64.5%	100.0%
Jind	18	3	1	22	8	2	0	10
	81.8%	13.6%	4.5%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	15	0	15	0	7	0	7
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	1	26	2	29	3	40	2	45
	3.4%	89.7%	6.9%	100.0%	6.7%	88.9%	4.4%	100.0%
Bhiwani	5	10	1	16	3	10	0	13
	31.2%	62.5%	6.2%	100.0%	23.1%	76.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	0	8	10	0	0	12	12
	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	6	1	13	13	10	3	26
	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%	100.0%	50.0%	38.5%	11.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	8	8	1	17	7	4	0	11
	47.1%	47.1%	5.9%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	0	8	2	14	1	17
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	11.8%	82.4%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	0	4	4	18	3	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	16.0%	72.0%	12.0%	100.0%
Mewat	4	11	0	15	2	8	2	12
	26.7%	73.3%	.0%	100.0%	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Palwal	1	7	0	8	6	5	0	11
	12.5%	87.5%	.0%	100.0%	54.5%	45.5%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	2	10	1	13	8	17	0	25
	15.4%	76.9%	7.7%	100.0%	32.0%	68.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	111	129	46	286	142	148	52	342
	38.8%	45.1%	16.1%	100.0%	41.5%	43.3%	15.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.20 shows that the larger section of the respondents is not aware or not sure about the B.L.O. In rural areas 38.8 percent are aware of the B.L.O and in urban areas 41.5 percent are aware about the B.L.O. On the other hand in rural areas 45.1 percent are not aware and 16.1 percent are not sure about the B.L.O. In urban areas 43.3 percent are not aware and 15.2 percent are not sure about the B.L.O in their constituencies.

Table: 5.21
Help received from B.L.O during the preparation of EPICs

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	3	3	0	9	9
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	7	7	14	3	8	11
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	14	16	0	12	12
	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	14	14	1	16	17
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	16	16	0	32	32
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	11	9	20	0	5	5
	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	14	14	13	18	31
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
Jind	20	2	22	7	3	10
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	4	0	3	3
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	14	15	0	7	7
	6.7%	93.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	29	29	10	35	45
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	8	8	16	6	7	13
	50.0%	43.8%	100.0%	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	8	10	0	12	12
	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	1	12	13	5	21	26
	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%	19.2%	80.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	14	3	17	7	4	11
	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	8	2	15	17
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	4	0	25	25
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	14	15	1	11	12
	6.7%	93.3%	100.0%	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
Palwal	2	6	8	2	9	11
	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
Faridabad	4	9	13	0	25	25
	30.8%	69.2%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	73	213	286	57	285	342
	25.5%	74.5%	100.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.21 shows that 25.5 percent in rural areas and 16.7 percent in the urban areas have received help from the B.L.O. at the time of preparation of voter identity cards where as 74.5 percent and 83.3 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively said that they haven't received any help from the B.L.O. while preparing their EPICs.

**Table: 5.22
Respondents' Knowledge about Appointment of B.L.A.**

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Aware	Not aware	Can't say		Aware	Not aware	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	3	0	3	0	9	0	9
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	13	0	14	1	10	0	11
	7.1%	92.9%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	90.9%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	4	12	0	16	1	8	3	12
	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	100.0%	8.3%	66.7%	25.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	10	4	14	1	16	0	17
	.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	8	7	16	1	17	14	32
	6.2%	50.0%	43.8%	100.0%	3.1%	53.1%	43.8%	100.0%
Karnal	1	19	0	20	0	5	0	5
	5.0%	95.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	1	7	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	2	12	14	13	2	16	31
	.0%	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	41.9%	6.5%	51.6%	100.0%
Jind	14	7	1	22	6	4	0	10
	63.6%	31.8%	4.5%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	3	1	4	0	3	0	3
	.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	15	0	15	0	7	0	7
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	29	0	29	1	43	1	45
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	2.2%	95.6%	2.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	5	10	1	16	3	10	0	13
	31.2%	62.5%	6.2%	100.0%	23.1%	76.9%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	2	0	8	10	0	0	12	12
	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	10	3	0	13	17	8	1	26
	76.9%	23.1%	.0%	100.0%	65.4%	30.8%	3.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	6	11	0	17	7	4	0	11
	35.3%	64.7%	.0%	100.0%	63.6%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	0	8	2	15	0	17
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	11.8%	88.2%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	0	4	2	23	0	25
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	8.0%	92.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	4	11	0	15	1	11	0	12
	26.7%	73.3%	.0%	100.0%	8.3%	91.7%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	2	4	2	8	4	7	0	11
	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%	36.4%	63.6%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	3	10	0	13	9	16	0	25
	23.1%	76.9%	.0%	100.0%	36.0%	64.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	53	182	51	286	69	219	54	342
	18.5%	63.6%	17.8%	100.0%	20.2%	64.0%	15.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.22 shows the respondents awareness about the appointment of B.L.A by different political parties. Only 18.5 percent in rural areas and 20.2 percent respondents in urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A.

Table: 5.23

Respondent's opinion about the change in election environment

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	3	0	3	1	8	0	9
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	11.1%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	2	12	0	14	1	9	1	11
	14.3%	85.7%	.0%	100.0%	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	7	9	0	16	4	5	3	12
	43.8%	56.2%	.0%	100.0%	33.3%	41.7%	25.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	10	4	14	1	16	0	17
	.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	8	8	16	0	17	15	32
	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	.0%	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
Karnal	18	2	0	20	4	1	0	5
	90.0%	10.0%	.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	15	15	0	1	7	8
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
Sonepat	10	0	4	14	31	0	0	31
	71.4%	.0%	28.6%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	19	2	1	22	7	2	1	10
	86.4%	9.1%	4.5%	100.0%	70.0%	20.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	4	4	0	2	1	3
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Sirsa	3	0	12	15	0	5	2	7
	20.0%	.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Hisar	6	16	7	29	20	22	3	45
	20.7%	55.2%	24.1%	100.0%	44.4%	48.9%	6.7%	100.0%
Bhiwani	8	6	2	16	8	4	1	13
	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%	100.0%	61.5%	30.8%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	10	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	12	0	1	13	19	2	5	26
	92.3%	.0%	7.7%	100.0%	73.1%	7.7%	19.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	14	1	2	17	11	0	0	11
	82.4%	5.9%	11.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	16	1	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	2	2	4	11	7	7	25
	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	44.0%	28.0%	28.0%	100.0%
Mewat	13	0	2	15	9	3	0	12
	86.7%	.0%	13.3%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	5	0	3	8	7	1	3	11
	62.5%	.0%	37.5%	100.0%	63.6%	9.1%	27.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	12	0	1	13	24	0	1	25
	92.3%	.0%	7.7%	100.0%	96.0%	.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	147	71	68	286	186	106	50	342
	51.4%	24.8%	23.8%	100.0%	54.4%	31.0%	14.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.23 shows the opinion of the respondents about change in the election environment during last few years. In the rural areas out of total 51.4 percent think that there is a change in the election environment during last few years while 24.8 percent feel that there is no such changes in the election environment and remaining 23.8 percent are not sure about the change in the election environment . On the other hand in urban areas 54.4 percent of the respondents feel that some change in the election environment while 31.0 percent feel that the election environment is same and no change is there in the election environment and 14.6 percent of the urban respondents are not sure about the change.

Table: 5.24
Mode used to cast their vote during last elections

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Ballet paper	EVM		Ballet paper	EVM	
Panchkula	0	3	3	0	9	9
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	13	14	0	11	11
	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	5	11	16	2	10	12
	31.2%	68.8%	100.0%	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	5	9	14	5	12	17
	35.7%	64.3%	100.0%	29.4%	70.6%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	15	16	3	29	32
	6.2%	93.8%	100.0%	9.4%	90.6%	100.0%
Karnal	10	10	20	5	0	5
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	6	8	14	5	26	31
	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%	16.1%	83.9%	100.0%
Jind	5	17	22	0	10	10
	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	2	4	3	0	3
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	12	3	15	3	4	7
	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Hisar	24	5	29	21	24	45
	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
Bhiwani	6	10	16	2	11	13
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	10	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	11	13	3	23	26
	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	15	17	2	9	11
	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%
Rewari	2	6	8	7	10	17
	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	2	4	7	18	25
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	28.0%	72.0%	100.0%
Mewat	2	13	15	4	8	12
	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Palwal	1	7	8	5	6	11
	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
Faridabad	5	8	13	7	18	25
	38.5%	61.5%	100.0%	28.0%	72.0%	100.0%
Total	93	193	286	84	258	342
	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%	24.6%	75.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.24 shows that the only 32.2 percent of the rural youth respondents and the 24.6 percent of the urban youth respondents used Ballet paper to cast their vote during last elections while larger section of the youth respondents i.e. 67.5 percent and 75.4 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively used EVM to cast their votes.

**Table: 5.25
Respondent's participation in last Lok Sabha Election**

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	3	0	3	9	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	10	4	14	6	5	11
	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	15	1	16	12	0	12
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	15	1	16	23	9	32
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%
Karnal	18	2	20	5	0	5
	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	15	8	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	11	3	14	31	0	31
	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	16	6	22	7	3	10
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	11	4	15	6	1	7
	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
Hisar	29	0	29	45	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	12	4	16	10	3	13
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	10	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	13	26	0	26
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	16	1	17	11	0	11
	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	16	1	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	24	1	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	9	3	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Palwal	4	4	8	11	0	11
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	13	24	1	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	256	30	286	315	27	342
	89.5%	10.5%	100.0%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.25 shows the participation of the respondents from rural and urban areas in the Lok Sabha Elections. Out of total while 89.5 percent in rural areas and 92.1 percent in urban areas participated in the last Lok Sabha Election only a marginal of youth respondents from the rural and urban areas i.e. 10.5 percent and 7.9 percent not participated in the Lok Sabha Election.

Table: 5.26
Respondent's participation in last Vidhan Sabha (legislative assembly) Election (2009)

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	3	0	3	9	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	13	1	14	10	1	11
	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	15	1	16	12	0	12
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	14	17	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	15	1	16	27	5	32
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%
Karnal	17	3	20	5	0	5
	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	14	15	0	8	8
	6.7%	93.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	1	14	31	0	31
	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	12	10	22	4	6	10
	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	4	3	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	12	3	15	7	0	7
	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	28	1	29	45	0	45
	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	13	3	16	10	3	13
	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	10	12	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	13	26	0	26
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	15	2	17	10	1	11
	88.2%	11.8%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	8	16	1	17
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	4	24	1	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	15	9	3	12
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Palwal	5	3	8	11	0	11
	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	13	24	1	25
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Total	243	43	286	312	30	342
	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.26 shows 85.0 percent from the rural area and 91.2 percent from urban area participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Election, 15.0 percent respondents from rural and 8.8 percent from urban areas have not participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Election.

Table: 5.27
Willingness of the voters to participate in next coming Election

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	3	0	0	3	9	0	0	9
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	0	14	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	0	0	16	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	14	0	0	14	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	16	0	0	16	31	1	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	19	1	0	20	5	0	0	5
	95.0%	5.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	15	0	0	15	8	0	0	8
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	14	0	0	14	31	0	0	31
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	22	0	0	22	10	0	0	10
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	3
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	14	0	1	15	6	1	0	7
	93.3%	.0%	6.7%	100.0%	85.7%	14.3%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	29	0	0	29	45	0	0	45
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	14	0	2	16	12	0	1	13
	87.5%	.0%	12.5%	100.0%	92.3%	.0%	7.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	10	0	0	10	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	13	0	0	13	26	0	0	26
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	0	0	17	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	8	0	0	8	17	0	0	17
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	0	0	4	25	0	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	15	0	0	15	12	0	0	12
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	8	0	0	8	11	0	0	11
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	13	0	0	13	25	0	0	25
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	282	1	3	286	339	2	1	342
	98.6%	.3%	1.0%	100.0%	99.1%	.6%	.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.27 shows in rural areas 98.2 percent have shown willingness to participate in the coming elections and in the urban areas the percentage is 99.1 percent. Only 0.3 percent and 0.6 percent respondents from rural and urban are not willing and remaining 1.0 percent in rural and .3 percent in urban areas are not sure to participate in the the next coming election.

**Table: 5.28
Election boycotted by the Respondents**

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	3	3	0	9	9
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	14	14	0	11	11
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	16	16	0	12	12
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	14	14	0	17	17
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	16	16	1	31	32
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.1%	96.9%	100.0%
Karnal	0	20	20	0	5	5
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	14	14	0	31	31
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	22	22	0	10	10
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	4	0	3	3
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	15	15	1	6	7
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Hisar	0	29	29	1	44	45
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	2.2%	97.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	16	16	0	13	13
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	10	0	12	12
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	13	13	0	26	26
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	17	17	0	11	11
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	8	0	17	17
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	4	0	25	25
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	15	15	1	11	12
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
Palwal	0	8	8	0	11	11
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	13	13	0	25	25
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	0	286	286	4	338	342
	0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.2%	98.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 5.28 shows the percentage of the respondents who had boycotted the election. In the rural areas no one had boycotted the election and in urban areas only 1.2 percent of the respondents boycotted the election.

Table: 5.29
Opinion of the respondents on the social boycott of election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	3	3	0	9	9
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	14	14	0	11	11
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	16	16	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	14	14	0	17	17
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	16	16	1	31	32
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.1%	96.9%	100.0%
Karnal	0	20	20	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	14	14	0	31	31
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	21	22	0	10	10
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	4	4	0	3	3
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	15	15	1	6	7
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Hisar	0	29	29	0	45	45
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	16	16	0	13	13
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	10	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	13	13	0	26	26
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	17	17	0	11	11
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	8	0	17	17
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	4	0	25	25
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	15	15	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	8	8	0	11	11
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	13	13	0	25	25
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	1	285	286	2	340	342
	0.3%	99.7%	100.0%	0.6%	99.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Only a marginal number of the respondents i.e. 0.3 percent from the rural areas and 0.6 percent from the urban areas believe that the election was socially boycotted by the voters while 99.9 percent from the rural and 99.8 percent from the urban areas did not believe the same.

Table: 5.30
Problem faced by the voters during casting their votes

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	2	1	3	6	3	9
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Ambala	7	7	14	4	7	11
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	6	10	16	0	12	12
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	2	12	14	5	12	17
	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%	29.4%	70.6%	100.0%
Kaithal	6	10	16	7	25	32
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	21.9%	78.1%	100.0%
Karnal	0	20	20	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	15	15	0	8	8
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	14	14	0	31	31
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	21	22	0	10	10
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	3	4	0	3	3
	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	3	12	15	0	7	7
	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	4	25	29	14	31	45
	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%	31.1%	68.9%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	14	16	2	11	13
	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	10	10	0	12	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	13	13	0	26	26
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	17	17	1	10	11
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%
Rewari	0	8	8	0	17	17
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	4	4	2	23	25
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8.0%	92.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	15	15	1	11	12
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%
Palwal	0	8	8	0	11	11
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	1	12	13	0	25	25
	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	35	251	286	42	300	342
	12.2%	87.8%	100.0%	12.3%	87.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 5.30 shows that out of the total 12.1 percent and 12.3 percent respondents in rural and in urban areas respectively faced problems, while on the other hand 87.8 percent in rural and 87.7 percent in urban areas never faced any problem while casting their vote.

Table: 5.31
Various problem faced by the rural respondents

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Panchkula	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	7
	14.3 %	.0%	.0%	14.3 %	.0%	14.3 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6 %	14.3 %	14.3 %	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	6
	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3 %	.0%	16.7 %	16.7 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7 %	16.7 %	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0 %	20.0 %	20.0 %	20.0 %	20.0 %	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Panipat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Sonepat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Jind	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0 %	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3 %	.0%	33.3 %	.0%	.0%	33.3 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
	.0%	25.0 %	25.0 %	25.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0 %	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	.0%	50.0 %	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Jhajjar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Mahendragarh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Rewari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Gurgaon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Mewat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%

Palwal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Faridabad	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	2	2	2	6	1	5	3	2	3	3	4	2	35
	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	17.1%	2.9%	14.3%	8.6%	5.7%	8.6%	8.6%	11.4%	5.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

(1= non cooperation of staff. 2= non working of EVM at the time of election. 3= lack of knowledge by using of EVM. 4= non cooperation of polling agents. 5= lack of facility of waiting place. 6= lengthy queues, 7= lack of facility of ramp. 8= lack of facility of drinking water. 9= wastage of time. 10= loss of wages. 11= fear of presence of security forces. 12 any other)

Table 5.31 shows the various problems being faced by the youth respondents in the rural areas. Where 5.7 percent faced non cooperation attitude of the staffs, 5.7 percent were not aware to use the EVM and equal percentage of 5.7 respondents faced the problem as EVM was not working. Larger section i.e. 17.1 faced the non cooperation attitude of the polling agents and 11.4 feared from the security personnel.

Table: 5.32
Various problem faced by the urban respondents

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Panchkula	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	16.7 %	33.3%	16.7 %	16.7 %	16.7 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	.0%	25.0%	25.0 %	.0%	25.0 %	25.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar													
Kurukshetra	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0 %	20.0 %	.0%	20.0 %	20.0 %	20.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	7
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3 %	14.3 %	28.6 %	.0%	14.3 %	14.3 %	14.3 %	100.0%
Karnal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Panipat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Sonepat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Jind													
Fatehabad													
Sirsa													
Hisar	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	14
	21.4 %	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	14.3 %	7.1%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Jhajjar	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Mahendragarh	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Gurgaon	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	100.0 %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%

Faridabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
													100%
Total	7	4	3	5	5	2	3	4	2	2	3	2	42
	16.7 %	9.5%	7.1%	11.9 %	11.9 %	4.8%	7.1%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	7.1%	4.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Surve

(1= non cooperation of staff. 2= non working of EVM at the time of election. 3= lack of knowledge by using of EVM. 4= non cooperation of polling agents. 5= lack of facility of waiting place. 6= lengthy queues, 7= lack of facility of ramp. 8= lack of facility of drinking water. 9= wastage of time. 10= loss of wages. 11= fear of presence of security forces. 12 any other)

Table 5.32 shows different problems being faced by the respondents in the rural and urban areas. Where 16.7 percent faced non cooperation attitude of the staffs, 7.1 percent were not aware to use the EVM and 9.5 percent respondents faced the problem as EVM was not working. Lager section i.e. 11.9 percent faced the non cooperation of the polling agents.

Chapter VI

Non Registered Youth Voter's Awareness and Participation

Chapter VI is based on the respondents of the Non Registered Youth Voters being sampled from different areas of rural and urban areas of Haryana State. Out of total respondents interviewed, 728 respondents were from rural areas and 882 were from urban areas via using stratified representative random sampling. Chapter is based on the voter's awareness and their general perception about the election.

Socio economic profiles of non registered youth are as below:

Table: 6.1
Gender compositions of youth

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Male	Female		Male	Female	
Panchkula	10	9	19	11	8	19
	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
Ambala	18	18	36	16	12	28
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	18	16	34	18	16	34
	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%	52.9%	47.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	13	19	32	12	20	32
	40.6%	59.4%	100.0%	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
Kaithal	18	12	30	10	27	37
	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%	27.0%	73.0%	100.0%
Karnal	7	10	17	38	32	70
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%
Panipat	28	16	44	13	15	28
	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
Sonepat	19	41	60	35	17	52
	31.7%	68.3%	100.0%	67.3%	32.7%	100.0%
Jind	23	29	52	30	20	50
	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	5	5	10	3	2	5
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	23	25	48	17	26	43
	47.9%	52.1%	100.0%	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
Hisar	25	20	45	58	22	80
	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	72.5%	27.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	23	42	65	27	31	58
	35.4%	64.6%	100.0%	46.6%	53.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	17	12	29	28	19	47
	58.6%	41.4%	100.0%	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%
Jhajjar	14	20	34	14	20	34
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	11	15	26	23	35	58

	42.3%	57.7%	100.0%	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
Rewari	8	19	27	6	12	18
	29.6%	70.4%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Gurgaon	8	3	11	40	23	63
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	63.5%	36.5%	100.0%
Mewat	23	10	33	24	9	33
	69.7%	30.3%	100.0%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	26	7	33	17	13	30
	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%	56.7%	43.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	28	15	43	31	32	63
	65.1%	34.9%	100.0%	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
Total	365	363	728	471	411	882
	50.1%	49.9%	100.0%	53.4%	46.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.1 shows that out of total non registered youth respondents in rural areas, 50.1 percent constitutes male and 49.9 percent female where as in urban areas out of total the male respondents are 53.4 percent and female respondents are 46.6 percent.

Table: 6.2
Educational profile of Respondents in Rural areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	2	0	3	6	4	4	0	0	19
	10.5%	.0%	15.8%	31.6%	21.1%	21.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	2	3	7	14	6	4	0	0	36
	5.6%	8.3%	19.4%	38.9%	16.7%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	4	9	6	10	1	3	0	34
	2.9%	11.8%	26.5%	17.6%	29.4%	2.9%	8.8%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	8	2	7	4	8	2	1	0	32
	25.0%	6.2%	21.9%	12.5%	25.0%	6.2%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	6	4	5	7	5	3	0	0	30
	20.0%	13.3%	16.7%	23.3%	16.7%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	2	0	3	3	6	2	1	0	17
	11.8%	.0%	17.6%	17.6%	35.3%	11.8%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	3	4	6	11	9	8	3	0	44
	6.8%	9.1%	13.6%	25.0%	20.5%	18.2%	6.8%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	2	3	7	18	17	9	3	1	60
	3.3%	5.0%	11.7%	30.0%	28.3%	15.0%	5.0%	1.7%	100.0%
Jind	3	6	8	11	17	6	1	0	52
	5.8%	11.5%	15.4%	21.2%	32.7%	11.5%	1.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	0	4	3	2	0	0	0	10
	10.0%	.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	5	3	10	13	10	6	1	0	48
	10.4%	6.2%	20.8%	27.1%	20.8%	12.5%	2.1%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	1	8	7	12	10	7	0	0	45
	2.2%	17.8%	15.6%	26.7%	22.2%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	6	4	4	10	34	7	0	0	65
	9.2%	6.2%	6.2%	15.4%	52.3%	10.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	7	7	12	2	1	0	29
	.0%	.0%	24.1%	24.1%	41.4%	6.9%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	4	2	7	10	9	0	0	34
	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%	20.6%	29.4%	26.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	1	2	13	10	0	0	26
	.0%	.0%	3.8%	7.7%	50.0%	38.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	2	0	0	4	9	12	0	0	27
	7.4%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	33.3%	44.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	1	0	4	3	3	0	0	11
	.0%	9.1%	.0%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	11	0	9	7	6	0	0	0	33
	33.3%	.0%	27.3%	21.2%	18.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	3	6	8	13	2	0	0	33
	3.0%	9.1%	18.2%	24.2%	39.4%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	5	2	12	8	12	3	0	1	43
	11.6%	4.7%	27.9%	18.6%	27.9%	7.0%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Total	63	51	117	165	216	100	14	2	728
	8.7%	7.0%	16.1%	22.7%	29.7%	13.7%	1.9%	0.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.2 shows the literacy rate in the rural areas. Out of total youth respondents in rural areas, 8.7 percent are illiterate and 7.0 percent of the respondents are educated only up to primary and 16.1 percent are middle level. 22.7 percent of the respondents are educated up to high school and 29.7 percent higher secondary constituting larger section of the youth respondents. The percentage of the youth respondents opted for higher education is low in rural areas. Only 1.9 percent and 13.7 percents of the respondents are educated up to post graduation and graduation respectively while 0.3 percent is other like diploma holders.

Table: 6.3
Educational profile of Respondents in Urban areas

District	Illiterate	Primary	Middle	High/ higher	higher secondary	Graduation	Post. Grad.	Other	Total
Panchkula	2	1	1	4	6	5	0	0	19
	10.5%	5.3%	5.3%	21.1%	31.6%	26.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	1	1	3	7	7	8	1	0	28
	3.6%	3.6%	10.7%	25.0%	25.0%	28.6%	3.6%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	2	4	6	12	7	2	0	34
	2.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	35.3%	20.6%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	5	1	5	8	6	5	2	0	32
	15.6%	3.1%	15.6%	25.0%	18.8%	15.6%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	1	0	2	5	29	0	0	37
	.0%	2.7%	.0%	5.4%	13.5%	78.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	8	3	2	18	27	9	3	0	70
	11.4%	4.3%	2.9%	25.7%	38.6%	12.9%	4.3%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	1	3	9	1	6	8	0	0	28
	3.6%	10.7%	32.1%	3.6%	21.4%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	4	5	6	8	10	16	3	0	52
	7.7%	9.6%	11.5%	15.4%	19.2%	30.8%	5.8%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	1	8	9	17	11	3	0	50
	2.0%	2.0%	16.0%	18.0%	34.0%	22.0%	6.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	0	2	8	12	10	1	8	43
	4.7%	.0%	4.7%	18.6%	27.9%	23.3%	2.3%	18.6%	100.0%
Hisar	2	6	6	19	18	11	1	17	80
	2.5%	7.5%	7.5%	23.8%	22.5%	13.8%	1.2%	21.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	3	4	5	10	23	12	1	0	58
	5.2%	6.9%	8.6%	17.2%	39.7%	20.7%	1.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	3	3	7	1	8	19	6	0	47
	6.4%	6.4%	14.9%	2.1%	17.0%	40.4%	12.8%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	2	5	12	6	5	0	0	34
	11.8%	5.9%	14.7%	35.3%	17.6%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	1	2	1	46	6	0	0	58
	3.4%	1.7%	3.4%	1.7%	79.3%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	2	0	0	2	5	6	3	0	18
	11.1%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	27.8%	33.3%	16.7%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	14	4	12	8	15	9	1	0	63
	22.2%	6.3%	19.0%	12.7%	23.8%	14.3%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	5	3	2	11	11	1	0	0	33
	15.2%	9.1%	6.1%	33.3%	33.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	2	0	4	9	15	0	0	30
	.0%	6.7%	.0%	13.3%	30.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	3	2	4	8	18	18	10	0	63
	4.8%	3.2%	6.3%	12.7%	28.6%	28.6%	15.9%	.0%	100.0%
Total	63	45	84	147	269	212	37	25	882
	7.1%	5.1%	9.5%	16.7%	30.5%	24.0%	4.2%	2.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.3 shows the literacy rate in the urban areas. Out of total youth respondents in urban areas, 7.1 percent of the youth respondents are illiterate while 5.1 percent of the respondents are educated only up to primary and 9.5 percent middle level. 16.5 percent of the respondents are educated up to high school and larger percentage i.e. 30.5 percent are educated up to higher secondary. Remaining 24.0 percent and only 4.2 percent of the respondents are educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively while 2.8 percent are others like diploma holders.

Table: 6.4
Occupational profile of Respondents in Rural Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Self work	unemployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	0	0	5	9	1	1	2	0	19
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.3%	47.4%	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	0	4	5	5	13	0	0	5	0	36
	.0%	.0%	11.1%	13.9%	13.9%	36.1%	.0%	.0%	13.9%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	1	0	3	7	19	0	0	4	0	34
	.0%	2.9%	.0%	8.8%	20.6%	55.9%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	1	1	1	1	6	19	0	0	0	3	32
	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	18.8%	59.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	0	1	6	7	11	0	0	0	4	30
	.0%	.0%	3.3%	20.0%	23.3%	36.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.3%	100.0%
Karnal	0	1	0	1	6	7	0	1	1	0	17
	.0%	5.9%	.0%	5.9%	35.3%	41.2%	.0%	5.9%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	4	16	15	0	1	7	1	44
	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	36.4%	34.1%	.0%	2.3%	15.9%	2.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	1	1	1	22	31	0	0	2	0	60
	.0%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	36.7%	51.7%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	1	2	0	3	20	23	0	1	1	0	52
	1.9%	3.8%	.0%	5.8%	38.5%	44.2%	.0%	1.9%	1.9%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	0	0	0	1	6	0	1	0	0	10
	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	60.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	0	2	1	17	18	0	8	0	0	48
	4.2%	.0%	4.2%	2.1%	35.4%	37.5%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	9	0	2	1	5	11	0	13	3	1	45
	20.0%	.0%	4.4%	2.2%	11.1%	24.4%	.0%	28.9%	6.7%	2.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	1	1	2	32	19	0	7	1	0	65
	3.1%	1.5%	1.5%	3.1%	49.2%	29.2%	.0%	10.8%	1.5%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	1	0	17	9	0	0	2	0	29
	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	58.6%	31.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	0	0	0	10	16	0	0	2	0	34
	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	29.4%	47.1%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	0	0	18	8	0	0	0	0	26
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	30.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	0	13	10	0	2	2	0	27
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	48.1%	37.0%	.0%	7.4%	7.4%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	0	0	2	4	1	0	2	1	0	11

	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	36.4%	9.1%	.0%	18.2%	9.1%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	0	0	6	9	10	0	1	1	0	33
	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	27.3%	30.3%	.0%	3.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	5	2	0	8	11	5	0	1	0	1	33
	15.2%	6.1%	.0%	24.2%	33.3%	15.2%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	2	1	1	4	10	14	0	2	6	0	43
	4.7%	2.3%	2.3%	9.3%	23.3%	32.6%	.0%	4.7%	14.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	26	10	14	48	241	274	1	41	40	10	728
	3.6%	1.4%	1.9%	6.6%	33.1%	37.6%	.1%	5.6%	5.5%	1.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.4 shows that out of total non registered respondents in rural areas, only 3.6 percent of the respondents are farmer and 1.9 percent are agricultural labor, 1.4 percent are in the government services and 5.5 percent are working in private organizations. Where 6.6 percent are non agriculture labor 37.6 percent are domestic worker and 0.1 percent are involved with their own business. 33.1 percent of the total youth respondents are students and remaining 5.6 percent are unemployed and 1.4 percent are involved in other occupational activities.

Table: 6.5
Occupational profile of Respondents in Urban Areas

District	Agri.	Service	Agri. Labour	Non agri. Labour	student	House work	Self work	unemployed	Pri. company	others	total
Panchkula	0	0	1	0	4	7	2	1	3	0	19
	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	21.1%	36.8%	10.5%	5.3%	15.8%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	1	1	0	7	11	0	3	5	0	28
	.0%	3.6%	3.6%	.0%	25.0%	39.3%	.0%	10.7%	17.9%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	3	1	2	10	12	1	2	0	2	34
	.0%	8.8%	2.9%	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%	2.9%	5.9%	.0%	5.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	1	0	1	9	16	0	1	1	3	32
	.0%	3.1%	.0%	3.1%	28.1%	50.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.1%	9.4%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	1	1	0	31	1	0	0	2	1	37
	.0%	2.7%	2.7%	.0%	83.8%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	2.7%	100.0%
Karnal	0	0	0	9	47	11	0	2	1	0	70
	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	67.1%	15.7%	.0%	2.9%	1.4%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	0	0	8	9	7	0	1	3	0	28
	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	32.1%	25.0%	.0%	3.6%	10.7%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	0	0	4	20	16	0	1	8	1	52
	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	.0%	1.9%	15.4%	1.9%	100.0%
Jind	1	2	0	2	25	14	0	1	3	0	50
	2.0%	4.0%	.0%	4.0%	50.0%	28.0%	.0%	2.0%	6.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	0	0	0	25	15	0	2	0	1	43
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	58.1%	34.9%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Hisar	9	1	2	1	38	16	0	11	2	0	80
	11.2%	1.2%	2.5%	1.2%	47.5%	20.0%	.0%	13.8%	2.5%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	3	0	3	0	31	10	0	8	0	0	58
	5.2%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	53.4%	17.2%	.0%	13.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	0	1	2	23	12	0	0	7	1	47
	2.1%	.0%	2.1%	4.3%	48.9%	25.5%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	2.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	0	0	1	7	17	0	5	2	0	34
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	20.6%	50.0%	.0%	14.7%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	0	0	1	51	6	0	0	0	0	58
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	87.9%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	0	0	0	10	6	0	0	1	1	18
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	55.6%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	5.6%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	1	0	11	15	15	0	5	4	0	63

	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	17.5%	23.8%	23.8%	.0%	7.9%	6.3%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	0	0	3	21	7	0	0	0	0	33
	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	63.6%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	1	0	0	22	3	0	0	1	1	30
	3.3%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	73.3%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	3.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	0	0	5	43	7	1	1	1	0	63
	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	68.3%	11.1%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Total	16	11	10	50	451	210	4	44	45	11	882
	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%	5.7%	51.1%	23.8%	.5%	5.0%	5.1%	1.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.5 shows that out of total youth respondents interviewed in urban areas only 1.8 percent is directly involved in farming and 1.1 percent is agricultural labor. While 5.7 are non agricultural labor only 1.2 percent is in the government services. Larger section of the youth respondent i.e. 51.1 percent and 23.8 percent are student and involve in domestic work respectively. On the other hand 5.1percent are working in private organizations 5.0 percent of the total non registered youth respondents are unemployed and remaining 1.2 percent are involved in other occupational activities.

**Table: 6.6
Economic Status of Respondents**

District	Rural						Urban					
	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total	Very poor	Poor	Average	Middle	Rich	Total
Panchkula	7	6	5	0	1	19	7	7	4	1	0	19
	36.8%	31.6%	26.3%	.0%	5.3%	100.0%	36.8%	36.8%	21.1%	5.3%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	18	7	9	0	2	36	7	9	10	2	0	28
	50.0%	19.4%	25.0%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%	25.0%	32.1%	35.7%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	16	8	5	2	3	34	10	5	14	5	0	34
	47.1%	23.5%	14.7%	5.9%	8.8%	100.0%	29.4%	14.7%	41.2%	14.7%	.0%	100.0%
Kuruk shetra	25	2	5	0	0	32	19	8	4	0	1	32
	78.1%	6.2%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	59.4%	25.0%	12.5%	.0%	3.1%	100.0%
Kaithal	20	4	4	0	2	30	4	16	15	2	0	37
	66.7%	13.3%	13.3%	.0%	6.7%	100.0%	10.8%	43.2%	40.5%	5.4%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	1	4	7	5	0	17	17	16	24	13	0	70
	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%	29.4%	.0%	100.0%	24.3%	22.9%	34.3%	18.6%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	20	7	6	8	3	44	23	1	2	2	0	28
	45.5%	15.9%	13.6%	18.2%	6.8%	100.0%	82.1%	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	26	9	15	8	2	60	19	5	8	20	0	52
	43.3%	15.0%	25.0%	13.3%	3.3%	100.0%	36.5%	9.6%	15.4%	38.5%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	10	11	25	4	2	52	2	6	25	13	4	50
	19.2%	21.2%	48.1%	7.7%	3.8%	100.0%	4.0%	12.0%	50.0%	26.0%	8.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	2	8	0	0	10	0	0	4	1	0	5
	.0%	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	11	29	5	3	48	1	1	15	25	1	43
	.0%	22.9%	60.4%	10.4%	6.2%	100.0%	2.3%	2.3%	34.9%	58.1%	2.3%	100.0%
Hisar	0	4	33	8	0	45	1	16	38	24	1	80
	.0%	8.9%	73.3%	17.8%	.0%	100.0%	1.2%	20.0%	47.5%	30.0%	1.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	4	8	45	7	1	65	4	6	29	16	3	58
	6.2%	12.3%	69.2%	10.8%	1.5%	100.0%	6.9%	10.3%	50.0%	27.6%	5.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	14	2	7	4	2	29	12	5	12	15	3	47
	48.3%	6.9%	24.1%	13.8%	6.9%	100.0%	25.5%	10.6%	25.5%	31.9%	6.4%	100.0%
Jhajjar	6	7	15	2	4	34	6	7	15	5	1	34
	17.6%	20.6%	44.1%	5.9%	11.8%	100.0%	17.6%	20.6%	44.1%	14.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Mahend ragarh	2	6	12	4	2	26	5	5	42	3	3	58
	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%	15.4%	7.7%	100.0%	8.6%	8.6%	72.4%	5.2%	5.2%	100.0%
Rewari	2	0	13	2	10	27	1	1	8	3	5	18
	7.4%	.0%	48.1%	7.4%	37.0%	100.0%	5.6%	5.6%	44.4%	16.7%	27.8%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	4	1	2	1	11	13	23	15	8	4	63
	27.3%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	100.0%	20.6%	36.5%	23.8%	12.7%	6.3%	100.0%
Mewat	8	13	6	5	1	33	7	12	7	5	2	33
	24.2%	39.4%	18.2%	15.2%	3.0%	100.0%	21.2%	36.4%	21.2%	15.2%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	0	10	14	8	1	33	5	2	8	12	3	30
	.0%	30.3%	42.4%	24.2%	3.0%	100.0%	16.7%	6.7%	26.7%	40.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	14	10	11	1	43	2	9	11	25	16	63
	16.3%	32.6%	23.3%	25.6%	2.3%	100.0%	3.2%	14.3%	17.5%	39.7%	25.4%	100.0%
Total	189	139	274	85	41	728	165	160	310	200	47	882
	26.0%	19.1%	37.6%	11.7%	5.6%	100.0%	18.7%	18.1%	35.1%	22.7%	5.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.6 shows economic status of the youth respondents in rural and urban areas. The percentage of very poor is higher in the rural areas i.e. 26.0 percent as compared to the 18.7 percent in urban areas. 19.1 percent in rural and 18.1 percent respondents in urban areas are poor respectively. In rural areas, 37.6 percent of the respondents belong to average category and 11.7 percent belong to middle and on the other hand 35.1 percent of the total belongs to average category and 22.7 percent from middle in urban areas. The percentages of respondents belong to rich class in rural and urban area is 5.6 percent and 5.3 percent respectively.

Table: 6.7
Social Composition of Respondents

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	General	SCs	OBCs		General	SCs	OBCs	
Panchkula	10	5	4	19	12	0	7	19
	52.6%	26.3%	21.1%	100.0%	63.2%	.0%	36.8%	100.0%
Ambala	17	11	8	36	17	5	6	28
	47.2%	30.6%	22.2%	100.0%	60.7%	17.9%	21.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	23	4	7	34	22	9	3	34
	67.6%	11.8%	20.6%	100.0%	64.7%	26.5%	8.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	16	4	32	19	3	10	32
	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%	100.0%	59.4%	9.4%	31.2%	100.0%
Kaithal	14	8	8	30	24	5	8	37
	46.7%	26.7%	26.7%	100.0%	64.9%	13.5%	21.6%	100.0%
Karnal	11	2	4	17	47	9	14	70
	64.7%	11.8%	23.5%	100.0%	67.1%	12.9%	20.0%	100.0%
Panipat	32	4	8	44	25	2	1	28
	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	100.0%	89.3%	7.1%	3.6%	100.0%
Sonepat	39	15	6	60	40	4	8	52
	65.0%	25.0%	10.0%	100.0%	76.9%	7.7%	15.4%	100.0%
Jind	29	12	11	52	34	8	8	50
	55.8%	23.1%	21.2%	100.0%	68.0%	16.0%	16.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	4	4	2	10	3	1	1	5
	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	100.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	15	14	19	48	24	6	13	43
	31.2%	29.2%	39.6%	100.0%	55.8%	14.0%	30.2%	100.0%
Hisar	20	11	14	45	45	17	18	80
	44.4%	24.4%	31.1%	100.0%	56.2%	21.2%	22.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	30	18	17	65	28	9	21	58
	46.2%	27.7%	26.2%	100.0%	48.3%	15.5%	36.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	27	0	2	29	45	2	0	47
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	95.7%	4.3%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	20	9	5	34	15	6	13	34
	58.8%	26.5%	14.7%	100.0%	44.1%	17.6%	38.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	12	5	9	26	24	21	13	58
	46.2%	19.2%	34.6%	100.0%	41.4%	36.2%	22.4%	100.0%
Rewari	21	6	0	27	8	4	6	18
	77.8%	22.2%	.0%	100.0%	44.4%	22.2%	33.3%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	2	5	11	14	28	21	63
	36.4%	18.2%	45.5%	100.0%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	100.0%
Mewat	1	1	31	33	4	3	26	33
	3.0%	3.0%	93.9%	100.0%	12.1%	9.1%	78.8%	100.0%
Palwal	19	3	11	33	19	4	7	30
	57.6%	9.1%	33.3%	100.0%	63.3%	13.3%	23.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	15	10	18	43	41	4	18	63
	34.9%	23.3%	41.9%	100.0%	65.1%	6.3%	28.6%	100.0%
Total	375	160	193	728	510	150	222	882
	51.5%	22.0%	26.5%	100.0%	57.8%	17.0%	25.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.7 shows the social composition of respondents in rural and urban areas. In the rural areas of Haryana, out 51.5 percent belong to general category and respondents i.e. 22.0 percent belong to scheduled castes and remaining 26.5 percent to other backward classes. Where as in the urban areas larger numbers of respondents belong to the general category i.e. 57.8 percent, 17.0 percent are from scheduled castes and remaining 25.2 percent are other backward classes.

Table: 6.8
Enrollment of Respondents in voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Earlier	No		Earlier	No	
Panchkula	19	0	19	15	4	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
Ambala	19	17	36	23	5	28
	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	32	2	34	27	7	34
	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%	79.4%	20.6%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	30	2	32	30	2	32
	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	4	30	34	3	37
	86.7%	13.3%	100.0%	91.9%	8.1%	100.0%
Karnal	2	15	17	1	69	70
	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%
Panipat	2	42	44	2	26	28
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	57	60	1	51	52
	5.0%	95.0%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%
Jind	5	47	52	0	50	50
	9.6%	90.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	1	79	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.2%	98.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	64	65	1	57	58
	1.5%	98.5%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	32	34	3	31	34
	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%	8.8%	91.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	26	26	1	57	58
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	2	16	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	11	3	60	63
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4.8%	95.2%	100.0%
Mewat	3	30	33	2	31	33
	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	33	3	27	30
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	7	36	43	13	50	63
	16.3%	83.7%	100.0%	20.6%	79.4%	100.0%
Total	151	577	728	162	720	882
	20.7%	79.3%	100.0%	18.4%	81.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.8 shows the percentage of the respondents was enrolled in the voter list. Out of total respondents in rural areas only 20.7 percent were enrolled in the voter list earlier where as the percentage of the same was quite low in urban area i.e. 18.4 percent. On the other hand 79.3 percent and 81.6 percent in rural and urban areas respectively never enrolled in the voter list.

Table: 6.9
Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	19	0	19	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	35	1	36	25	3	28
	97.2%	2.8%	100.0%	89.3%	10.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	33	1	34	31	3	34
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	91.2%	8.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	32	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	28	2	30	37	0	37
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	13	4	17	52	18	70
	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	74.3%	25.7%	100.0%
Panipat	2	42	44	0	28	28
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	52	60	6	46	52
	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
Jind	26	26	52	34	16	50
	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	9	1	10	4	1	5
	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	36	12	48	39	4	43
	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	90.7%	9.3%	100.0%
Hisar	22	23	45	40	40	80
	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	35	30	65	37	21	58
	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%	63.8%	36.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	28	29	0	47	47
	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	24	10	34	24	10	34
	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	17	9	26	34	24	58
	65.4%	34.6%	100.0%	58.6%	41.4%	100.0%
Rewari	25	2	27	18	0	18
	92.6%	7.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	8	3	11	63	0	63
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	33	30	3	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Palwal	32	1	33	30	0	30
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	57	6	63
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	90.5%	9.5%	100.0%
Total	480	248	728	612	270	882
	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.9 shows the awareness level of the respondents about the next Legislative Assembly Election. The number of respondents in the urban areas were little aware than the respondents in rural areas. In rural areas only 65.9 percent of the respondents were aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to the 69.4 percent in the urban areas. On the other hand 34.1 percent of the respondents in the rural areas and 30.6 percent in the urban areas were not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Table: 6.10
Opinion on the benefit to enroll in the voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	19	0	19	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	36	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	32	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	30	0	30	37	0	37
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	10	7	17	64	6	70
	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%	91.4%	8.6%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	44	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	58	2	60	51	1	52
	96.7%	3.3%	100.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Jind	51	1	52	49	1	50
	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	47	1	48	43	0	43
	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	42	3	45	76	4	80
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	95.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	64	1	65	57	1	58
	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	47	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	25	1	26	58	0	58
	96.2%	3.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	27	18	0	18
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	63	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	33	32	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	32	1	33	24	6	30
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	62	1	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Total	711	17	728	861	21	882
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	97.6%	2.4%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.10 gives the perception of respondents on the benefit to enroll in the voter list. Only a marginal of 2.3 percent and 2.4 percent from the rural and urban areas feels that it is not beneficial to enroll in the voter list. On the other hand larger section of the respondents from both the areas i.e. 97.7 percent from rural and 97.6 percent from urban feel it is beneficial to enroll in the voter list.

Table: 6.11
Respondents' opinion on the necessary of casting of vote

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	19	0	0	19	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	0	36	28	0	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	0	32	32	0	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	29	0	1	30	37	0	0	37
	96.7%	.0%	3.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	16	1	0	17	68	2	0	70
	94.1%	5.9%	.0%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	4	0	40	44	2	0	26	28
	9.1%	.0%	90.9%	100.0%	7.1%	.0%	92.9%	100.0%
Sonepat	50	3	7	60	40	2	10	52
	83.3%	5.0%	11.7%	100.0%	76.9%	3.8%	19.2%	100.0%
Jind	50	0	2	52	50	0	0	50
	96.2%	.0%	3.8%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	47	0	1	48	43	0	0	43
	97.9%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	40	1	4	45	76	1	3	80
	88.9%	2.2%	8.9%	100.0%	95.0%	1.2%	3.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	65	0	0	65	58	0	0	58
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	27	0	2	29	47	0	0	47
	93.1%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	0	26	56	0	2	58
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	.0%	3.4%	100.0%

Rewari	25	0	2	27	18	0	0	18
	92.6%	.0%	7.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	63	0	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	0	33	32	0	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.0%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	0	33	25	3	2	30
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	83.3%	10.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	0	43	57	4	2	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	90.5%	6.3%	3.2%	100.0%
Total	664	5	59	728	824	12	46	882
	91.2%	0.7%	8.1%	100.0%	93.4%	1.4%	5.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.11 shows that out of total respondents from rural areas, 91.2 percents think that it is necessary to cast their vote, only 0.7 percent think it is not necessary and remaining 8.1 percent are uncertain. On the other hand out of 882 respondents from the urban areas 93.4 percent think casting of vote is necessary while 5.2 percent are not sure and remaining 1.4 percent think it is not necessary to cast their vote.

Table: 6.12
Respondents' opinion on the benefit in casting the vote

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	14	5	19	12	7	19
	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%	63.2%	36.8%	100.0%
Ambala	30	6	36	18	10	28
	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	20	14	34	27	7	34
	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%	79.4%	20.6%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	26	6	32	26	6	32
	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%	81.2%	18.8%	100.0%
Kaithal	24	6	30	26	11	37
	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Karnal	7	10	17	32	38	70
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	44	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	51	9	60	39	13	52
	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Jind	49	3	52	48	2	50
	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	46	2	48	42	1	43
	95.8%	4.2%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
Hisar	40	5	45	78	2	80
	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	62	3	65	55	3	58
	95.4%	4.6%	100.0%	94.8%	5.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	28	1	29	46	1	47
	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	26	57	1	58
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	27	17	1	18
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.4%	5.6%	100.0%
Gurgaon	8	3	11	56	7	63
	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
Mewat	28	5	33	24	9	33
	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	30	3	33	22	8	30
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	53	10	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	84.1%	15.9%	100.0%
Total	647	81	728	745	137	882
	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%	84.5%	15.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.12 shows the opinion of the respondents regarding the benefit of casting their votes. In both the sampled categories i.e. rural as well as urban, the respondents feel that the casting of their vote is beneficial constituting 88.9 percent and 84.5 percent in rural and urban areas respectively. Where as 11.1 percent in rural and 15.5 percent in urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is not beneficial

Table: 6.13
Respondent's Opinion if casting of Vote is Fundamental Right

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	18	0	1	19	19	0	0	19
	94.7%	.0%	5.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	35	1	0	36	26	2	0	28
	97.2%	2.8%	.0%	100.0%	92.9%	7.1%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	0	34	33	1	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	0	32	31	1	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	29	1	0	30	36	1	0	37
	96.7%	3.3%	.0%	100.0%	97.3%	2.7%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	0	0	17	70	0	0	70
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	0	44	28	0	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	55	2	3	60	40	1	11	52
	91.7%	3.3%	5.0%	100.0%	76.9%	1.9%	21.2%	100.0%
Jind	50	1	1	52	48	2	0	50
	96.2%	1.9%	1.9%	100.0%	96.0%	4.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	8	2	0	10	5	0	0	5
	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	46	1	1	48	40	3	0	43
	95.8%	2.1%	2.1%	100.0%	93.0%	7.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	36	5	4	45	59	15	6	80
	80.0%	11.1%	8.9%	100.0%	73.8%	18.8%	7.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	61	4	0	65	53	5	0	58
	93.8%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%	91.4%	8.6%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	45	1	1	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	95.7%	2.1%	2.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	24	2	0	26	58	0	0	58
	92.3%	7.7%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	26	1	0	27	18	0	0	18
	96.3%	3.7%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	62	1	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.4%	1.6%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	0	33	31	1	1	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	3.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	0	33	29	1	0	30
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.7%	3.3%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	0	43	55	4	4	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	87.3%	6.3%	6.3%	100.0%
Total	698	20	10	728	820	39	23	882
	95.9%	2.7%	1.4%	100.0%	93.0%	4.4%	2.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.13 shows that in out of the total respondents from rural areas, 95.9 percent respondents feel that to 'cast their vote' in election is their fundamental right. On the other hand, in the urban areas 93.0 percent respondents feel that to 'cast their vote' in election is their fundamental right. Only a marginal of 1.4 percent and 2.6 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively are not sure if it is fundamental right.

Table: 6.14
Training of Respondents for using EVMs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	0	19	0	19	0	19	0	19
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	3	33	0	36	0	28	0	28
	8.3%	91.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	2	32	0	34	0	33	1	34
	5.9%	94.1%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	32	0	32	0	31	1	32
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	29	0	30	0	37	0	37
	3.3%	96.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	0	17	0	70	0	70
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	42	2	44	0	22	6	28
	.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	.0%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	60	0	60	1	51	0	52
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	1.9%	98.1%	.0%	100.0%
Jind	0	51	1	52	0	49	1	50
	.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%	.0%	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	3	6	1	10	0	5	0	5
	30.0%	60.0%	10.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	33	10	5	48	3	38	2	43
	68.8%	20.8%	10.4%	100.0%	7.0%	88.4%	4.7%	100.0%
Hisar	2	43	0	45	2	75	3	80
	4.4%	95.6%	.0%	100.0%	2.5%	93.8%	3.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	63	1	65	0	58	0	58
	1.5%	96.9%	1.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	27	2	29	0	42	5	47
	.0%	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%	.0%	89.4%	10.6%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	34	0	34	0	34	0	34
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	26	0	26	0	58	0	58
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	26	1	27	0	18	0	18
	.0%	96.3%	3.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	10	1	11	0	63	0	63
	.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	33	0	33	0	32	1	33
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	0	33	0	30	0	30
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	43	0	43	0	61	2	63
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	96.8%	3.2%	100.0%
Total	45	669	14	728	6	854	22	882
	6.2%	91.9%	1.9%	100.0%	0.7%	96.8%	2.5%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.14 shows what percentages of respondents have received training for using EVM before casting their votes. In rural areas only 6.2 percent of the respondents have gone through the training session before the election while 91.9 percent of the respondents never received training and in urban areas 0.7 percent of the respondents got training and 96.8 percent have not received any training to use the EVM before the election. Where as 1.9 percent in the rural and the 2.5 percent in the urban areas are not aware about the use of EMV machines in election.

Table: 6.15
Awareness about the renewal of voter list

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	1	0	18	19	0	2	17	19
	5.3%	.0%	94.7%	100.0%	.0%	10.5%	89.5%	100.0%
Ambala	3	21	12	36	0	13	15	28
	8.3%	58.3%	33.3%	100.0%	.0%	46.4%	53.6%	100.0%
Yamuna	3	6	25	34	0	7	27	34
Nagar	8.8%	17.6%	73.5%	100.0%	.0%	20.6%	79.4%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	2	30	32	0	1	31	32
	.0%	6.2%	93.8%	100.0%	.0%	3.1%	96.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	1	28	30	1	1	35	37
	3.3%	3.3%	93.3%	100.0%	2.7%	2.7%	94.6%	100.0%
Karnal	0	4	13	17	2	18	50	70
	.0%	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%	2.9%	25.7%	71.4%	100.0%
Panipat	0	1	43	44	0	0	28	28
	.0%	2.3%	97.7%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	0	7	53	60	0	4	48	52
	.0%	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%	.0%	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
Jind	4	7	41	52	5	7	38	50
	7.7%	13.5%	78.8%	100.0%	10.0%	14.0%	76.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	8	2	10	0	3	2	5
	.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	26	22	48	0	8	35	43
	.0%	54.2%	45.8%	100.0%	.0%	18.6%	81.4%	100.0%
Hisar	0	33	12	45	0	51	29	80
	.0%	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	.0%	63.8%	36.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	35	29	65	0	39	19	58
	1.5%	53.8%	44.6%	100.0%	.0%	67.2%	32.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	1	28	29	0	1	46	47
	.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	16	18	34	0	20	14	34
	.0%	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%	.0%	58.8%	41.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	9	17	26	0	23	35	58
	.0%	34.6%	65.4%	100.0%	.0%	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
Rewari	1	3	23	27	0	2	16	18
	3.7%	11.1%	85.2%	100.0%	.0%	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	6	5	11	0	45	18	63

	.0%	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%	.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Mewat	0	22	11	33	0	26	7	33
	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	.0%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%
Palwal	0	22	11	33	0	14	16	30
	.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	.0%	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	21	22	43	0	36	27	63
	.0%	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%	.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Total	14	251	463	728	8	321	553	882
	1.9%	34.5%	63.6%	100.0%	.9%	36.4%	62.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.15 shows the awareness of the respondents about the renewal of the voter's list. Out of the total respondents in rural and urban areas, only 1.9 percent and .9 percent respectively are aware about the renewal of voter's list. Where as 34.5 percent of the rural respondents and the 36.4 percent of the urban respondents are not aware of the renewal of the voter's list and remaining 63.6 percent and the 62.7 percent from in rural and urban areas respectively can't say anything about the renewal of voter's list.

Table 6.16
Respondent's opinion about the Legislative Assembly Voter List

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Correct	Incorrect	Not seen		Correct	Incorrect	Not seen	
Panchkula	2	3	14	19	3	1	15	19
	10.5%	15.8%	73.7%	100.0%	15.8%	5.3%	78.9%	100.0%
Ambala	7	1	28	36	2	4	22	28
	19.4%	2.8%	77.8%	100.0%	7.1%	14.3%	78.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	6	5	23	34	11	6	17	34
	17.6%	14.7%	67.6%	100.0%	32.4%	17.6%	50.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	9	2	21	32	4	5	23	32
	28.1%	6.2%	65.6%	100.0%	12.5%	15.6%	71.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	4	3	23	30	5	2	30	37
	13.3%	10.0%	76.7%	100.0%	13.5%	5.4%	81.1%	100.0%
Karnal	11	1	5	17	53	6	11	70
	64.7%	5.9%	29.4%	100.0%	75.7%	8.6%	15.7%	100.0%
Panipat	2	0	42	44	0	0	28	28
	4.5%	.0%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	10	42	60	10	5	37	52
	13.3%	16.7%	70.0%	100.0%	19.2%	9.6%	71.2%	100.0%
Jind	33	0	19	52	37	2	11	50
	63.5%	.0%	36.5%	100.0%	74.0%	4.0%	22.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	10	10	0	0	5	5
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	0	46	48	1	0	42	43
	4.2%	.0%	95.8%	100.0%	2.3%	.0%	97.7%	100.0%
Hisar	8	2	35	45	18	2	60	80
	17.8%	4.4%	77.8%	100.0%	22.5%	2.5%	75.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	31	1	33	65	27	0	31	58
	47.7%	1.5%	50.8%	100.0%	46.6%	.0%	53.4%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	0	29	29	0	0	47	47
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	16	0	18	34	15	0	19	34
	47.1%	.0%	52.9%	100.0%	44.1%	.0%	55.9%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	20	0	6	26	37	3	18	58
	76.9%	.0%	23.1%	100.0%	63.8%	5.2%	31.0%	100.0%
Rewari	22	1	4	27	17	0	1	18
	81.5%	3.7%	14.8%	100.0%	94.4%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	0	9	11	14	0	49	63
	18.2%	.0%	81.8%	100.0%	22.2%	.0%	77.8%	100.0%
Mewat	2	0	31	33	5	0	28	33
	6.1%	.0%	93.9%	100.0%	15.2%	.0%	84.8%	100.0%
Palwal	7	0	26	33	9	0	21	30
	21.2%	.0%	78.8%	100.0%	30.0%	.0%	70.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	25	2	16	43	22	2	39	63
	58.1%	4.7%	37.2%	100.0%	34.9%	3.2%	61.9%	100.0%
Total	217	31	480	728	290	38	554	882
	29.8%	4.3%	65.9%	100.0%	32.9%	4.3%	62.8%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.16 shows that out of the total respondents in rural areas, 29.8 percent respondents feel that voter list is correct; while a larger section of the respondents i.e. 65.9 percent have not seen the voter list, and only 4.3 percent respondents feel that the voter list is incorrect. On the other hand out of the total in urban areas, 32.9 percent respondents feel that the voter list is correct, 62.8 percent respondents have not seen the voter list and 4.3 percent respondents feel that the voter list is incorrect.

Table: 6.17
Awareness of the respondents about EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	19	0	0	19	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	0	36	27	0	1	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.4%	.0%	3.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	0	32	32	0	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	30	0	0	30	37	0	0	37
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	11	3	3	17	52	15	3	70
	64.7%	17.6%	17.6%	100.0%	74.3%	21.4%	4.3%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	0	44	28	0	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	51	2	7	60	48	1	3	52
	85.0%	3.3%	11.7%	100.0%	92.3%	1.9%	5.8%	100.0%
Jind	52	0	0	52	50	0	0	50
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	46	1	1	48	42	0	1	43
	95.8%	2.1%	2.1%	100.0%	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Hisar	38	3	4	45	71	9	0	80
	84.4%	6.7%	8.9%	100.0%	88.8%	11.2%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	64	1	0	65	56	2	0	58
	98.5%	1.5%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	3.4%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	46	0	1	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.9%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	0	26	57	1	0	58
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	1.7%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	0	27	18	0	0	18
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	63	0	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	0	33	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	0	33	30	0	0	30
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	0	43	60	1	2	63
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	95.2%	1.6%	3.2%	100.0%
Total	702	11	15	728	842	29	11	882
	96.4%	1.5%	2.1%	100.0%	95.5%	3.3%	1.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.17 shows that 96.4 percent in rural and 95.5 percent in urban areas have knowledge about the EPICs and only 1.5 percent respondents in rural and 1.2 percent in urban areas are not aware about the EPICs.

Table: 6.18
Respondent's opinion on the benefits of EPICs

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	19	0	0	19	19	0	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	0	36	27	0	1	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.4%	.0%	3.6%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	0	34	34	0	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	0	32	32	0	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	30	0	0	30	37	0	0	37
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	9	8	0	17	43	25	2	70
	52.9%	47.1%	.0%	100.0%	61.4%	35.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	0	44	28	0	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	51	1	8	60	45	0	7	52
	85.0%	1.7%	13.3%	100.0%	86.5%	.0%	13.5%	100.0%
Jind	50	0	2	52	49	1	0	50
	96.2%	.0%	3.8%	100.0%	98.0%	2.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	0	10	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	47	0	1	48	42	0	1	43
	97.9%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%	97.7%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Hisar	40	2	3	45	74	6	0	80
	88.9%	4.4%	6.7%	100.0%	92.5%	7.5%	.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	62	3	0	65	56	1	1	58
	95.4%	4.6%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	1.7%	1.7%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	0	29	46	0	1	47
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.9%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	0	34	33	1	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	0	26	57	0	1	58
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.3%	.0%	1.7%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	0	27	18	0	0	18
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	0	11	63	0	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	0	33	33	0	0	33
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	32	1	0	33	28	0	2	30
	97.0%	3.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.3%	.0%	6.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	0	43	58	1	4	63
	97.7%	2.3%	.0%	100.0%	92.1%	1.6%	6.3%	100.0%
Total	698	16	14	728	827	35	20	882
	95.9%	2.2%	1.9%	100.0%	93.8%	4.0%	2.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.18 shows that 95.9 percent in rural and 93.8 percent in urban areas feel that the EPICs is beneficial where as only 2.2 percent and 4.0 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that EPICs are not beneficial.

Table: 6.19
Respondent's opinion on change in the election environment

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Can't say		Yes	No	Can't say	
Panchkula	19	0	0	19	17	1	1	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	89.5%	5.3%	5.3%	100.0%
Ambala	33	2	1	36	24	1	3	28
	91.7%	5.6%	2.8%	100.0%	85.7%	3.6%	10.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	30	3	1	34	33	0	1	34
	88.2%	8.8%	2.9%	100.0%	97.1%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	31	0	1	32	32	0	0	32
	96.9%	.0%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	29	0	1	30	37	0	0	37
	96.7%	.0%	3.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	12	2	3	17	58	4	8	70
	70.6%	11.8%	17.6%	100.0%	82.9%	5.7%	11.4%	100.0%
Panipat	3	0	41	44	0	0	28	28
	6.8%	.0%	93.2%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	46	5	9	60	28	7	17	52
	76.7%	8.3%	15.0%	100.0%	53.8%	13.5%	32.7%	100.0%
Jind	36	2	14	52	42	5	3	50
	69.2%	3.8%	26.9%	100.0%	84.0%	10.0%	6.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	0	10	10	0	0	5	5
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	10	0	38	48	6	16	21	43
	20.8%	.0%	79.2%	100.0%	14.0%	37.2%	48.8%	100.0%
Hisar	2	5	38	45	35	5	40	80
	4.4%	11.1%	84.4%	100.0%	43.8%	6.2%	50.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	34	1	30	65	34	3	21	58
	52.3%	1.5%	46.2%	100.0%	58.6%	5.2%	36.2%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	0	28	29	2	0	45	47
	3.4%	.0%	96.6%	100.0%	4.3%	.0%	95.7%	100.0%
Jhajjar	22	0	12	34	19	2	13	34
	64.7%	.0%	35.3%	100.0%	55.9%	5.9%	38.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	20	1	5	26	39	4	15	58
	76.9%	3.8%	19.2%	100.0%	67.2%	6.9%	25.9%	100.0%
Rewari	25	0	2	27	18	0	0	18
	92.6%	.0%	7.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	6	3	11	9	12	42	63
	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	100.0%	14.3%	19.0%	66.7%	100.0%
Mewat	2	11	20	33	4	11	18	33
	6.1%	33.3%	60.6%	100.0%	12.1%	33.3%	54.5%	100.0%
Palwal	7	5	21	33	11	4	15	30
	21.2%	15.2%	63.6%	100.0%	36.7%	13.3%	50.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	15	9	19	43	10	23	30	63
	34.9%	20.9%	44.2%	100.0%	15.9%	36.5%	47.6%	100.0%
Total	379	52	297	728	458	98	326	882
	52.1%	7.1%	40.8%	100.0%	51.9%	11.1%	37.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.19 shows that out of total respondents from rural areas 52.1 percent have observed the change in the election environment during last few years but 7.1 percent of the respondents did not see any changes in the election environment and remaining 40.8 percent are uncertain about the change. On the other hand out of total 882 respondents from urban areas 51.9 percent have seen change and according to 11.1 percent saw no change and remaining 37.0 percent are uncertain about the change in the election environment during last few years.

Table: 6.20
Reason for not being registered in the voter's list in the rural areas

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	other
Panchkula	15	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	19
	78.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	17	0	0	2	14	3	0	0	0	36
	47.2%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	38.9%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	18	0	0	3	9	3	1	0	0	34
	52.9%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	26.5%	8.8%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	25	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	32
	78.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	3.1%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	30
	86.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	3	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	17
	17.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	70.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	34	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	44
	77.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	100.0%
Sonepat	13	1	0	0	7	26	8	0	5	60
	21.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	43.3%	13.3%	.0%	8.3%	100.0%
Jind	10	1	1	1	2	4	2	0	31	52
	19.2%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	.0%	59.6%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	2	10
	20.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	40	1	1	1	3	1	0	0	1	48
	83.3%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	6.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Hisar	21	1	1	2	8	11	0	0	1	45
	46.7%	2.2%	2.2%	4.4%	17.8%	24.4%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	20	0	0	2	16	13	3	0	11	65
	30.8%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	24.6%	20.0%	4.6%	.0%	16.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	9	0	0	2	2	8	6	0	2	29
	31.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	6.9%	27.6%	20.7%	.0%	6.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	2	0	0	1	6	9	3	2	11	34
	5.9%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	17.6%	26.5%	8.8%	5.9%	32.4%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	1	0	1	2	0	4	5	2	11	26
	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	7.7%	.0%	15.4%	19.2%	7.7%	42.3%	100.0%
Rewari	1	0	0	3	11	9	2	0	1	27
	3.7%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	40.7%	33.3%	7.4%	.0%	3.7%	100.0%

Gurgaon	3	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	11
	27.3%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	36.4%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	18.2%	100.0%
Mewat	5	2	0	0	10	10	1	0	5	33
	15.2%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	30.3%	30.3%	3.0%	.0%	15.2%	100.0%
Palwal	5	2	0	3	6	14	2	0	1	33
	15.2%	6.1%	.0%	9.1%	18.2%	42.4%	6.1%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	10	0	0	1	2	15	7	0	8	43
	23.3%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	4.7%	34.9%	16.3%	.0%	18.6%	100.0%
Total	280	9	4	23	120	151	43	4	94	728
	38.5%	1.2%	.5%	3.2%	16.5%	20.7%	5.9%	.5%	12.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

(1= not eligible at the time of elections. 2=According to officers, their names has been deleted from the voter list. 3= there was double entry in voter list. 4= photo not given to the concerned officials. 5= don't know how to enroll in the voter list. 6= demand age proof. 7= demand residence proof. 8= officers said that first delete the name from the earlier voter list, where the person was living earlier.9= other reasons.)

Table 6.20 shows the total percentage of the respondents not enrolled in the voter list and the reasons as well. Out of the total respondents from the rural areas, 38.5 percents were not eligible at the time of elections and 20.7 percent were not registered as the officials have demanded age proof. Others reasons like demand of residence proof etc were also reported by the respondents.

Table: 6.21
Reason for not being registered in the voter's list in the urban areas

District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
Panchkula	11	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	0	19
	57.9%	5.3%	5.3%	.0%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	14	0	2	0	7	5	0	0	0	28
	50.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	25.0%	17.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	14	0	1	1	12	3	0	0	3	34
	41.2%	.0%	2.9%	2.9%	35.3%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	20	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	32
	62.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	34.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	26	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	37
	70.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	29.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	9	0	2	1	11	43	2	1	1	70
	12.9%	.0%	2.9%	1.4%	15.7%	61.4%	2.9%	1.4%	1.4%	100.0%
Panipat	24	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	28
	85.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	100.0%
Sonepat	8	0	0	0	9	33	1	0	1	52
	15.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.3%	63.5%	1.9%	.0%	1.9%	100.0%
Jind	2	1	1	1	6	8	6	0	25	50
	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	12.0%	16.0%	12.0%	.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	5
	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	7	3	4	4	3	4	5	7	6	43
	16.3%	7.0%	9.3%	9.3%	7.0%	9.3%	11.6%	16.3%	14.0%	100.0%
Hisar	28	4	3	2	6	22	7	4	4	80
	35.0%	5.0%	3.8%	2.5%	7.5%	27.5%	8.8%	5.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	11	0	1	0	12	16	2	1	15	58
	19.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	20.7%	27.6%	3.4%	1.7%	25.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	0	0	0	9	26	4	1	6	47
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.1%	55.3%	8.5%	2.1%	12.8%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	1	0	4	2	11	4	1	7	34
	11.8%	2.9%	.0%	11.8%	5.9%	32.4%	11.8%	2.9%	20.6%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	0	0	2	0	18	4	0	32	58
	3.4%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	31.0%	6.9%	.0%	55.2%	100.0%
Rewari	1	0	0	4	4	4	1	0	4	18
	5.6%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	5.6%	.0%	22.2%	100.0%

Gurgaon	10	0	0	5	15	9	12	3	9	63
	15.9%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	23.8%	14.3%	19.0%	4.8%	14.3%	100.0%
Mewat	1	0	0	2	12	10	4	0	4	33
	3.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	36.4%	30.3%	12.1%	.0%	12.1%	100.0%
Palwal	1	2	0	2	5	13	4	1	2	30
	3.3%	6.7%	.0%	6.7%	16.7%	43.3%	13.3%	3.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	8	13	0	3	22	9	3	0	5	63
	12.7%	20.6%	.0%	4.8%	34.9%	14.3%	4.8%	.0%	7.9%	100.0%
Total	204	25	15	32	162	239	61	19	125	882
	23.1%	2.8%	1.7%	3.6%	18.4%	27.1%	6.9%	2.2%	14.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

(1= not eligible at the time of election, 2=According to officers, their names has been deleted from the voter list. 3= there was double entry in voter list. 4= photo not given to the concerned officials. 5= don't know how to enroll in the voter list. 6= demand age proof. 7= demand residence proof. 8= officers said that first delete the name from the earlier voter list, where the person was living earlier.9= other reasons.)

Table 6.21 shows the total percentage of the respondents not enrolled in the voter list and the reasons as well. Out of the total respondents in urban areas 23.1 said that they were not eligible at the time of elections, 27.1 percent said that the officials have demanded age proof.

Table: 6.22
Respondents' awareness about the registration in voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	19	0	19	18	1	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%
Ambala	25	11	36	19	9	28
	69.4%	30.6%	100.0%	67.9%	32.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	33	1	34	29	5	34
	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	29	3	32	30	2	32
	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%	93.8%	6.2%	100.0%
Kaithal	28	2	30	36	1	37
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%
Karnal	2	15	17	24	46	70
	11.8%	88.2%	100.0%	34.3%	65.7%	100.0%
Panipat	0	44	44	0	28	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	7	53	60	6	46	52
	11.7%	88.3%	100.0%	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
Jind	1	51	52	1	49	50
	1.9%	98.1%	100.0%	2.0%	98.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	1	47	48	2	41	43
	2.1%	97.9%	100.0%	4.7%	95.3%	100.0%
Hisar	1	44	45	6	74	80
	2.2%	97.8%	100.0%	7.5%	92.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	63	65	2	56	58
	3.1%	96.9%	100.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	15	19	34	15	19	34
	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%	44.1%	55.9%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	1	25	26	6	52	58
	3.8%	96.2%	100.0%	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	2	16	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	3	8	11	42	21	63
	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Mewat	22	11	33	20	13	33
	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Palwal	29	4	33	27	3	30
	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	38	5	43	36	27	63
	88.4%	11.6%	100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
Total	256	472	728	321	561	882
	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.22 shows that only 35.2 percent of the rural respondents and the 36.4 percent of the urban respondents are aware about the registration in the voter list where as a larger section of the respondents i.e. 64.8 percent from rural and 63.6 percent are not aware of the procedure.

Table: 6.23
Respondents' Knowledge about the renewal of voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	19	19	1	18	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.3%	94.7%	100.0%
Ambala	1	35	36	2	26	28
	2.8%	97.2%	100.0%	7.1%	92.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	34	34	5	29	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	14.7%	85.3%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	32	32	0	32	32
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	30	30	0	37	37
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	17	1	69	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%
Panipat	0	44	44	0	28	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	6	54	60	4	48	52
	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
Jind	12	40	52	16	34	50
	23.1%	76.9%	100.0%	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	6	74	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7.5%	92.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	7	58	65	3	55	58
	10.8%	89.2%	100.0%	5.2%	94.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	14	20	34	9	25	34
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	26.5%	73.5%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	24	26	9	49	58
	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%	15.5%	84.5%	100.0%
Rewari	1	26	27	0	18	18
	3.7%	96.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	9	11	17	46	63
	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	27.0%	73.0%	100.0%
Mewat	4	29	33	4	29	33
	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%	12.1%	87.9%	100.0%
Palwal	9	24	33	15	15	30
	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	19	24	43	22	41	63
	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%	34.9%	65.1%	100.0%
Total	77	651	728	114	768	882
	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.23 shows that in rural area 10.6 percent of the respondents have knowledge about renewal of voter list and 89.4 percent are not aware. On the other hand from urban only 12.9 percent of the respondents are aware about the renewal of voter list.

Table: 6.24
Respondents' awareness about the polling booth

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	18	1	19	19	0	19
	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	36	26	2	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	34	33	1	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	31	1	32	32	0	32
	96.9%	3.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	30	0	30	36	1	37
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%
Karnal	17	0	17	70	0	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	44	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	59	1	60	51	1	52
	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Jind	49	3	52	45	5	50
	94.2%	5.8%	100.0%	90.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	46	2	48	41	2	43
	95.8%	4.2%	100.0%	95.3%	4.7%	100.0%
Hisar	43	2	45	79	1	80
	95.6%	4.4%	100.0%	98.8%	1.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	58	7	65	51	7	58
	89.2%	10.8%	100.0%	87.9%	12.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	47	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	26	58	0	58
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	27	18	0	18
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	62	1	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.4%	1.6%	100.0%
Mewat	32	1	33	33	0	33
	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	29	1	30
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.7%	3.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	51	12	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%
Total	710	18	728	848	34	882
	97.5%	2.5%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.24 shows that 97.5 percent in rural and 96.1 percent in urban are aware about their polling booths and only 2.5 percent in rural and 3.9 in urban areas are not aware about their polling booths.

Table: 6.25
Respondents' awareness about B.L.O.

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	1	18	19	0	19	19
	5.3%	94.7%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	13	23	36	3	25	28
	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%	10.7%	89.3%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	8	26	34	2	32	34
	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	12	20	32	9	23	32
	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%
Kaithal	5	25	30	6	31	37
	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	17	0	70	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	42	44	0	28	28
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	6	54	60	5	47	52
	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%	9.6%	90.4%	100.0%
Jind	35	17	52	30	20	50
	67.3%	32.7%	100.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	1	79	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.2%	98.8%	100.0%
Bhiwani	11	54	65	3	55	58
	16.9%	83.1%	100.0%	5.2%	94.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	34	34	3	31	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8.8%	91.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	23	26	11	47	58
	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	2	16	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	9	11	2	61	63
	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	3.2%	96.8%	100.0%
Mewat	0	33	33	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	32	33	0	30	30
	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	43	43	5	58	63
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7.9%	92.1%	100.0%
Total	99	629	728	82	800	882
	13.6%	86.4%	100.0%	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.25 shows that the larger sections of the respondents are not aware or not sure about the B.L.O. In rural areas 86.4 percent are not aware about the B.L.O and in urban areas 90.7 percent are not aware about the B.L.O. in their constituencies. On the other hand 13.6 percent are aware about the B.L.O. in rural areas and 9.3 percent in the urban areas.

Table: 6.26
Respondents' awareness about B.L.A.

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	19	19	0	19	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ambala	0	36	36	1	27	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.6%	96.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	0	34	34	2	32	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	32	32	0	32	32
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	1	29	30	1	36	37
	3.3%	96.7%	100.0%	2.7%	97.3%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	17	0	70	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	2	42	44	0	28	28
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	6	54	60	5	47	52
	10.0%	90.0%	100.0%	9.6%	90.4%	100.0%
Jind	14	38	52	18	32	50
	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	0	80	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	1	64	65	4	54	58
	1.5%	98.5%	100.0%	6.9%	93.1%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	34	34	3	31	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8.8%	91.2%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	24	26	6	52	58
	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%	10.3%	89.7%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	0	18	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	1	10	11	0	63	63
	9.1%	90.9%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Mewat	0	33	33	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	33	4	26	30
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	13.3%	86.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	4	39	43	4	59	63
	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%	6.3%	93.7%	100.0%
Total	31	697	728	48	834	882
	4.3%	95.7%	100.0%	5.4%	94.6%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.26 shows the responder's awareness about the appointment of the B.L.A. by different political parties. Only a marginal section of responders constituting 4.3 percent in rural areas and 5.4 percent responders from urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A. On the other hand 95.7 percent and 94.6 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively are not aware about the appointment of the B.L.A by different political parties.

Table: 6.27
Respondents meeting with B.L.O. in connection with EPICS

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	0	19	19	1	18	19
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.3%	94.7%	100.0%
Ambala	0	36	36	1	27	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3.6%	96.4%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	1	33	34	1	33	34
	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%	2.9%	97.1%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	0	32	32	0	32	32
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	0	30	30	0	37	37
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	17	0	70	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	44	44	0	28	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	5	55	60	4	48	52
	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
Jind	2	50	52	7	43	50
	3.8%	96.2%	100.0%	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	0	80	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	0	65	65	0	58	58
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	34	34	0	34	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	0	26	26	1	57	58
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	0	18	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	0	11	11	0	63	63
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	0	33	33	0	33	33
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Palwal	0	33	33	0	30	30
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	0	43	43	1	62	63
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%
Total	8	720	728	16	866	882
	1.1%	98.9%	100.0%	1.8%	98.2%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.27 shows that out of the total only 1.1 percent from rural and 1.8 percent from urban areas have seen ever met B.L.O.

Table: 6.28
Respondent's knowledge about the voter list for Vidhan Sabha Election

District	Rural			Total	Urban			Total
	Yes	No	Don't know		Yes	No	Don't know	
Panchkula	19	0	0	19	17	0	2	19
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	89.5%	.0%	10.5%	100.0%
Ambala	24	11	1	36	20	3	5	28
	66.7%	30.6%	2.8%	100.0%	71.4%	10.7%	17.9%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	32	0	2	34	27	3	4	34
	94.1%	.0%	5.9%	100.0%	79.4%	8.8%	11.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	31	1	0	32	30	2	0	32
	96.9%	3.1%	.0%	100.0%	93.8%	6.2%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	28	1	1	30	37	0	0	37
	93.3%	3.3%	3.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	0	0	17	50	18	2	70
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	71.4%	25.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Panipat	3	4	37	44	1	0	27	28
	6.8%	9.1%	84.1%	100.0%	3.6%	.0%	96.4%	100.0%
Sonepat	12	20	28	60	10	15	27	52
	20.0%	33.3%	46.7%	100.0%	19.2%	28.8%	51.9%	100.0%
Jind	19	17	16	52	13	29	8	50
	36.5%	32.7%	30.8%	100.0%	26.0%	58.0%	16.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	1	1	8	10	1	1	3	5
	10.0%	10.0%	80.0%	100.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	2	10	36	48	1	13	29	43
	4.2%	20.8%	75.0%	100.0%	2.3%	30.2%	67.4%	100.0%
Hisar	8	7	30	45	14	36	30	80
	17.8%	15.6%	66.7%	100.0%	17.5%	45.0%	37.5%	100.0%
Bhiwani	8	34	23	65	3	33	22	58
	12.3%	52.3%	35.4%	100.0%	5.2%	56.9%	37.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	1	28	29	1	0	46	47
	.0%	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	2.1%	.0%	97.9%	100.0%
Jhajjar	4	23	7	34	10	17	7	34
	11.8%	67.6%	20.6%	100.0%	29.4%	50.0%	20.6%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	5	21	0	26	2	56	0	58
	19.2%	80.8%	.0%	100.0%	3.4%	96.6%	.0%	100.0%
Rewari	1	24	2	27	2	16	0	18
	3.7%	88.9%	7.4%	100.0%	11.1%	88.9%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	3	6	11	5	23	35	63
	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%	100.0%	7.9%	36.5%	55.6%	100.0%
Mewat	4	16	13	33	5	19	9	33
	12.1%	48.5%	39.4%	100.0%	15.2%	57.6%	27.3%	100.0%
Palwal	9	18	6	33	3	25	2	30
	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	100.0%	10.0%	83.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	9	19	15	43	8	31	24	63
	20.9%	44.2%	34.9%	100.0%	12.7%	49.2%	38.1%	100.0%
Total	238	231	259	728	260	340	282	882
	32.7%	31.7%	35.6%	100.0%	29.5%	38.5%	32.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.28 shows that in rural areas 32.7 percent and 29.5 percent in urban areas have knowledge about the preparation of their voter list for the Vidhan Sabha Election. Where as 31.7 percent and 38.5 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are not aware.

Table: 6.29
Knowledge about the last Amendment in the Voter List

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	6	13	19	3	16	19
	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	15.8%	84.2%	100.0%
Ambala	5	31	36	4	24	28
	13.9%	86.1%	100.0%	14.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	14	20	34	13	21	34
	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%	38.2%	61.8%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	9	23	32	11	21	32
	28.1%	71.9%	100.0%	34.4%	65.6%	100.0%
Kaithal	5	25	30	6	31	37
	16.7%	83.3%	100.0%	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
Karnal	13	4	17	57	13	70
	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%	81.4%	18.6%	100.0%
Panipat	0	44	44	0	28	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	3	57	60	4	48	52
	5.0%	95.0%	100.0%	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%
Jind	14	38	52	18	32	50
	26.9%	73.1%	100.0%	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	0	45	45	4	76	80
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.0%	95.0%	100.0%
Bhiwani	12	53	65	3	55	58
	18.5%	81.5%	100.0%	5.2%	94.8%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	0	34	34	0	34	34
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	3	23	26	8	50	58
	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%	13.8%	86.2%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	0	18	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	2	9	11	0	63	63
	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mewat	1	32	33	1	32	33
	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%
Palwal	1	32	33	0	30	30
	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	2	41	43	0	63	63
	4.7%	95.3%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	90	638	728	132	750	882
	12.4%	87.6%	100.0%	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.29 gives the picture of the awareness level of the respondents regarding the last amendment of the voter list. Out of total 12.4 percent respondents from the rural are aware about the amendment of the voter list where as larger section i.e. 87.6 percent are not aware about the amendment. On the other hand 15.0 percent are aware about the amendment while 85.0 percent are not aware about the amendment of the voter list last year in urban areas.

Table 6.30
Awareness of the respondents on the voter list of Legislative
Assembly is different from Panchayats/ Municipal Voter List

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	18	1	19	19	0	19
	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	31	5	36	24	4	28
	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
Yamuna	32	2	34	33	1	34
Nagar	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	32	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	28	2	30	37	0	37
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	0	17	69	1	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%
Panipat	2	42	44	0	28	28
	4.5%	95.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	26	34	60	25	27	52
	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
Jind	31	21	52	43	7	50
	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	9	39	48	6	37	43
	18.8%	81.2%	100.0%	14.0%	86.0%	100.0%
Hisar	3	42	45	31	49	80
	6.7%	93.3%	100.0%	38.8%	61.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	32	33	65	36	22	58
	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%	62.1%	37.9%	100.0%
Rohtak	1	28	29	0	47	47
	3.4%	96.6%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	32	2	34	33	1	34
	94.1%	5.9%	100.0%	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	23	3	26	42	16	58
	88.5%	11.5%	100.0%	72.4%	27.6%	100.0%
Rewari	25	2	27	18	0	18
	92.6%	7.4%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	9	2	11	63	0	63

	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	30	3	33	32	1	33
	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	28	2	30
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%
Faridabad	42	1	43	55	8	63
	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%	87.3%	12.7%	100.0%
Total	456	272	728	626	256	882
	62.6%	37.4%	100.0%	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey

Table 6.5.3 shows the awareness of the respondents on the differences in the voter list of Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/ Municipalities Voter List. Out of total 62.6 percent from the rural areas and the 71.0 percent from urban areas are aware about the difference in the Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/ Municipalities Voter list and remaining 37.4 percent in rural and 29.0 percent in urban areas are not aware.

Table: 6.31
Respondent's opinion on the need to change for easy
enrollment in the voter list

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	11	8	19	9	10	19
	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
Ambala	23	13	36	18	10	28
	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	21	13	34	21	13	34
	61.8%	38.2%	100.0%	61.8%	38.2%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	21	11	32	20	12	32
	65.6%	34.4%	100.0%	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%
Kaithal	22	8	30	26	11	37
	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Karnal	0	17	17	0	70	70
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Panipat	0	44	44	0	28	28
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	5	55	60	6	46	52
	8.3%	91.7%	100.0%	11.5%	88.5%	100.0%
Jind	2	50	52	2	48	50
	3.8%	96.2%	100.0%	4.0%	96.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	0	10	10	0	5	5
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	0	48	48	0	43	43
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Hisar	5	40	45	3	77	80
	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%	3.8%	96.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	2	63	65	1	57	58
	3.1%	96.9%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rohtak	0	29	29	0	47	47
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	5	29	34	2	32	34
	14.7%	85.3%	100.0%	5.9%	94.1%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	2	24	26	1	57	58
	7.7%	92.3%	100.0%	1.7%	98.3%	100.0%
Rewari	0	27	27	1	17	18
	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5.6%	94.4%	100.0%
Gurgaon	4	7	11	27	36	63
	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
Mewat	10	23	33	11	22	33
	30.3%	69.7%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
Palwal	28	5	33	20	10	30
	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Faridabad	12	31	43	26	37	63
	27.9%	72.1%	100.0%	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%
Total	173	555	728	194	688	882
	23.8%	76.2%	100.0%	22.0%	78.0%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table 6.31 shows that out of the total respondents interviewed from rural and urban areas 23.8 percent from rural and 22.0 percents respondents from urban areas feel the need to change the rules for easy enrollment in the Voter List but the larger section of the respondents i.e. 76.2 percent from rural and 78.0 percent from urban doesn't feel the need to change the rules.

Table: 6.32
Respondent's interest/willingness to participate in the next
Legislative Assembly Election

District	Rural		Total	Urban		Total
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Panchkula	19	0	19	19	0	19
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ambala	36	0	36	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Yamuna Nagar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kurukshetra	32	0	32	32	0	32
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Kaithal	30	0	30	37	0	37
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Karnal	17	0	17	60	10	70
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
Panipat	44	0	44	28	0	28
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sonepat	59	1	60	51	1	52
	98.3%	1.7%	100.0%	98.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Jind	52	0	52	50	0	50
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Fatehabad	10	0	10	5	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Sirsa	47	1	48	43	0	43
	97.9%	2.1%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hisar	42	3	45	79	1	80
	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%	98.8%	1.2%	100.0%
Bhiwani	60	5	65	58	0	58
	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Rohtak	29	0	29	47	0	47
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Jhajjar	34	0	34	34	0	34
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mahendragarh	26	0	26	56	2	58
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	96.6%	3.4%	100.0%
Rewari	27	0	27	18	0	18
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gurgaon	11	0	11	63	0	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mewat	33	0	33	31	2	33
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.9%	6.1%	100.0%
Palwal	33	0	33	30	0	30
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
Faridabad	43	0	43	59	4	63
	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	93.7%	6.3%	100.0%
Total	718	10	728	862	20	882
	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%

Source- CRRID, Field Survey




Table: 6.32 shows the interest of the respondents to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election. Out of the total respondents from the rural areas 98.6 percent and from urban areas 97.7 percent have shown interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly election. On the other hand marginal percentage of 1.4 percent from rural and 2.3 percent from urban respondents has not shown any interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Chapter VII

Summary of Findings

Registered Voters (961 respondents in rural and 998 in urban areas respondents were interviewed)

Age profile of Respondents: 61.7 percent respondents are below 44 years and 38.3 percent are above 44 years in rural areas, 63 percent respondents are below 44 years and remaining 37 percent are above 44 years in urban areas. The percentage of the registered voters in the age group below 44 years in both the sampled areas is high.

Gender profile: 55.4 percent of the total respondents are male voters and remaining 44.6 percent of the respondents are female voters in the rural areas and 57.1 percent are male 42.9 percent are female in urban areas.

Educational profile: In rural areas, 33.6 percent are illiterate, 9.7 percent are educated up to primary level and 16.6 percent are educated up to middle class, 20.1 percent are educated up to high school and 10.8 percent are higher secondary, 6.9 percent and 1.6 percent respondents are graduates and post graduates respectively and only 0.7 percent is others Diploma holders.

In urban areas 21.9 percent are illiterate, 7.7 percent are educated up to primary level and 11.5 are educated up to middle class, 23.1 percent and 14.9 percent are educated up to high and higher secondary respectively. 14.6 percent and 4.8 percents educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively and a marginal of 1.3 percent of the respondents had opted for other source of education.

Occupational profile: In rural areas 12.7 percent are involved in farming, 9.3 percent are agricultural labors, 9.4 percent are non agricultural labors, 4.3 percent of the respondents are students, 39.0 percent are involved in domestic works.

In urban areas 8.2 percent respondents are agriculture/farmers, 7.1 percent respondents are in government services, 32.9 percent respondents are involved in the domestic works, and 17.3 percent respondents are doing their own business.

Income profile: In rural areas 34.2 percent of the total respondents belong to average category followed by very poor and poor which is 27.6 percent and 19.3 percent respectively. In urban areas, 16.2 percent and 18.0 percent of the respondents are from the economic category of very poor and poor respectively. In urban areas, 7.7 percent are rich and 18.8 percent belong to the middle income groups and a larger section of the respondents i.e. 39.2 percent belong to the average category.

Social composition: 37.1 percent of respondents in rural and 45.9 percent in urban areas belong to general category, 31.4 percent in rural area and 21.8 percent respondents in urban areas are SCs. Remaining 31.4 percent each in rural and 32.3 percent in urban are OBCs.

Awareness about next Assembly Elections: In rural areas 67.4 percent of the respondents are aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to 74.8 percent in the urban areas. On the other hand 32.6 percent of the respondents in rural areas and 25.2 percent in urban areas are not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Awareness if casting of vote necessary: 95.4 percent and 98.2 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that the casting of their vote is necessary. Only 4.6 percent in rural areas and 1.8 percent in urban areas feel that casting of vote is not necessary.

Awareness if casting of vote is beneficial: 90.7 percent in rural and 92.4 percent in urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is beneficial. 9.3 Percent in rural and the 7.6 percent in urban areas feel that casting of their votes is not beneficial.

Awareness if casting the vote is fundamental right: Only 1.4 percent and 1.2 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively are not aware of their fundamental right to cast the vote. 95.7 percent in rural areas and 93.5 percent in urban areas are aware of their fundamental right to cast the vote.

Training of EVM: 77.3 percent of the respondents in rural and 81.2 percent respondents in urban areas have gone through the training session before the election.

Awareness about Voter list: From the rural and the urban areas 54.1 percent and 54.6 percent respectively are of the opinion that the renewal of voter's list is necessary.

Opinion if voter list is correct: 40.9 percent respondents in rural areas and 37.6 percent in urban areas feel that voter list is correct. 47.0 percent in rural areas and 50.2 percent in urban areas have not seen the voter list.

Awareness about polling booths: In rural areas 98.1 percent and in urban areas 99.0 percent of the respondents have knowledge about their polling booths. 1.9 percent respondents in rural areas and 0.6 percent in urban areas is not aware about the polling booths.

Awareness about serial number of their names: 74.6 percent from rural and 68.9 percent from urban areas are not aware of the serial number of their names in the voting list. 9.2 percent and 12.0 percent of respondents in the rural and the urban areas respectively are aware of the serial number of their names in the voter list.

If EPICs received: 93.3 percent in the rural areas and 94.3 percent in the urban areas have received their EPICs. 6.1 percent and 5.7 percent from rural and urban areas respectively haven't got their EPICs.

Problems faced in making EPICs: 13.6 percent in rural and 10.8 percent in urban areas said that they faced problems in making

EPICs While 81.3 percent in rural areas and 83.6 percent in urban areas haven't faced any kind of problems in preparing their EPICs.

Awareness if EPICs is beneficial: 94.7 percent from the rural and the 95.5 percent from the urban areas feel that it is beneficial to have EPICs while 1.8 percent and 2.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that EPICs is not beneficial and 3.5 percent in rural and 2.4 percent respondents in urban areas are not sure about it.

Awareness about B.L.O.: In rural areas only 38.6 percent and in urban areas 35.1 percent are aware about the B.L.O.

Help received from BLOs in preparing EPICs: 73.5 percent and 83.2 percent from the rural and urban respondents said that they haven't received any help from the B.L.O. while preparing their EPICs.

Awareness about appointment of B.L.A.: Only 19.9 percent in rural areas and 19.4 percent respondents from urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A. 63.1 percent and 62.7 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are not aware.

Change in Election environment: 19.4 percent respondents in the rural and 53.2 percent of the respondents in the urban area think that there is a change in the election environment during last few years.

Mode used to cast the vote for the first time: 67.2 percent of the rural respondents and the 56.8 percent of the urban respondents have used Ballot Paper first time to cast their vote.

Participation in last Lok Sabha Elections: 93.9 percent in rural and 93.5 percent in urban areas participated in the last Lok Sabha Election. 6.1 percent respondents in rural and 6.5 percent in urban areas have not participated.

Participation in last Vidhan Sabha Elections: 89.6 percent in rural and 90.0 percent in urban areas participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Elections. 10.4 percent respondents in rural and 10.0 percent in urban areas have not participated.

Willingness to participate in the forthcoming elections: 98.8 percent have shown willingness to participate in the coming elections and in the urban areas the percentage is 98.1 percent. Only a .6 percent and 1.0 percent respondents from rural and urban are not willing to cast their vote in the coming elections.

Boycott of elections: Only 0.3 percent and in urban areas only 0.4 percent of the respondents had boycotted the election. 99.7 percent in rural areas and 99.6 percent respondents in urban areas have not boycotted.

Awareness about social boycott of elections: 0.1 percent from the rural areas and 0.2 percent of the urban respondents believe that the election was socially boycotted.

Problem faced by the respondents at the time of voting: 15.1 percent and 14.6 percent respondents from the rural and the urban areas respectively have responded that they have faced problems such as lengthy queues, lack of drinking water, not working of EVM etc.

Non registered but eligible voters (828 respondents in rural and 1013 in urban areas were interviewed)

Age profile of Respondents: 94.3 percent of the total respondents are below 44 years and 18.7 percent are above 44 years of age in rural areas and in urban areas 96 percent are below 44 years and only 4.1 percent are above 44 years of age.

In the rural areas 51.3 percent respondents are male, 48.7 percent of the respondents are female. In urban areas male respondents are 53.6 percent and the female respondents are 46.4 percent.

Educational profile: In rural areas 16.3 percent are educated up to middle class, 22.7 percent are educated up to high school and 27.3 percent are higher secondary, 11.0 percent are illiterate and remaining has higher education.

In urban areas 16.9 percent and 27.4 percent are educated up to high school and higher secondary respectively, 9.4 percent are illiterate and remaining has higher education.

Occupational profile: 29.2 percent of the respondents constitute students, 37.6 percent are involved with the domestic works, 2.9 percent are involved in farming, 2.7 percent are engaged in agricultural labor and remaining are involved with other occupations in rural areas.

45.0 percent are students, while 25.3 percent respondents are involved in their domestic works, 1.7 percent respondents are farmers and remaining is involved in other occupations.

Income profile: In urban areas, 38.4 percent belong to the average category 27.2 percent are very poor and 19.9 percent belong to poor category. In urban areas 33.6 percent belong to average 20.6 percent belong to very poor and 18.1 percent are poor.

Social Composition: 50.8 percent respondents in rural areas and 57.2 percent in urban areas belong to general category. 22.0 percent in rural and 17.1 percent in urban areas belong to SCs and remaining 27.2 percent in rural areas and 25.8 percent in urban areas belong to other backward classes.

Respondents enrolled in voter list earlier: 24.5 percent in rural areas have enrolled in the voter list earlier where as the percentage of the same is quite low in urban areas i.e. 21.5 percent. 75.5 percent 78.5 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively never enrolled their names in the voter list.

Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election: In rural areas 67.1 percent of the respondents were aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election as compared to the 69.7 percent in the urban areas. 32.9 percent of the respondents in the rural areas and 30.3 percent in the urban areas were not aware.

Awareness if enrolling in voter list is beneficial: 97.3 percent in rural and 97.8 percent in urban areas feel that it is beneficial to enroll in the voter list. 2.7 percent and 2.2 percent in rural and urban areas feel that it is not beneficial to enroll in the voter list.

Awareness if casting of vote necessary: 91.1 percent in rural areas and 93.3 percent in urban areas think that it is necessary to cast their vote. 0.8 percent in rural and 1.3 percent in urban areas think it is not necessary to cast their votes and remaining 8.1 percent in rural and 5.2 percent in urban areas were not sure.

Awareness if casting of vote is beneficial: 86.7 percent and 84.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively feel that the casting of their vote is beneficial. 13.3 percent in rural and 15.9 percent in urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is not beneficial.

Awareness if casting of vote is beneficial: 95.8 percent respondents in rural areas and 93.2 percent in urban areas feel that 'to cast their vote' in election is their fundamental right.

Training of EVM: In rural areas only 5.9 percent of the respondents and 0.8 percent in urban areas have gone through the training session before the election.

Awareness about voter list: In rural and urban areas only 1.8 percent and 1.3 percent respectively are aware about the renewal of voter's list.

Opinion if voter list is correct: 30.2 percent respondents in rural areas and 31.3 percent respondents in urban areas feel that voter list is correct. 64.7 percent in rural areas, 64.4 percent in urban areas

have not seen the voter list and remaining 5.1 percent in rural and 4.5 percent in urban areas feel that voter list is not correct.

Awareness of EPICs: 95.8 percent of the respondents each in rural and urban areas have knowledge about the EPICs. Only 1.8 percent in rural areas and 1.3 percent in urban areas are not aware about the EPICs.

Awareness if EPICs is beneficial: 95.5 percent from the rural and 94.3 percent of the respondents from the urban areas feel that the EPICs are beneficial. 2.3 percent and 3.7 percent from the rural and urban areas respectively feel that EPICs are not beneficial. Remaining respondents i.e. 2.2 percent from rural and 2.1 percent from urban areas are not sure.

Change in election environment: 54.7 percent in rural and 52.8 percent in urban areas have observed the change in the election environment.

Reason for not registered in voter list: In rural areas, 39.7 percent respondents were not eligible at the time of elections. In urban areas this percentage was 25.6 percent.

Awareness about procedure to register in voter list: 38.2 percent rural respondents and the 38.9 percent urban respondents are aware about the procedure to register in the voter list. 61.8 percent from rural and 61.1 percent are not aware of the procedure.

Awareness about renewal of voter list: 89.3 percent in the rural and 87.1 percent in urban areas haven't any knowledge about the renewal of voter list. Only 10.7 percent in rural areas and 12.9 percent in urban areas are having knowledge about the renewal of voter list.

Awareness about polling booths: 97.7 percent of the respondents in rural areas and 96.1 percent in urban areas are aware about their polling booths. Only 2.3 percent in rural and 3.9 percent in urban areas were unaware about the polling booths.

Awareness about B.L.O.: In rural areas 86.1 percent and in urban areas 90.0 percent are not aware about the B.L.O. in their constituencies. 13.9 percent respondents in the rural area and 10.0 percent in the urban areas are aware about B.L.O.

Awareness about B.L.A.: Only 4.3 percent in rural areas and 5.4 percent respondents in urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A., 95.7 percent and 94.6 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are not aware.

Meeting with B.L.O.: Only 1.3 percent from rural and 1.9 percent from urban areas have ever met B.L.O.

Awareness about preparation of voter list: 35.7 percent and 31.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are aware about the preparation of the voter list for the Vidhan Sabha Election.

Awareness about amendment of voter list: Only 13.8 percent respondents in rural and 15.5 percent respondents in urban areas are aware about the amendment of the voter list. 86.2 percent in rural areas and 84.5 percent in urban areas are not aware about the amendment of the voter list last year.

Awareness about differences in Legislative Assembly and Municipal voter list: 64.9 percent in rural areas and the 71.1 percent in urban areas are aware about the differences in Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/Municipal Voter lists. And remaining 35.1 percent in rural and 28.9 percent in urban areas are not aware.

Opinion on need to change rules: 25.5 percent respondents in rural and 24.2 percent respondents in urban areas feel the need to change the rules for easy enrollment in the Voter List.

Willingness to participate in next election: In rural areas 98.7 percent respondents and in urban areas 97.6 percent have shown interest, 1.3 percent in rural and 2.2 percent in urban respondents have not shown any interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly election.

Registered Youth Voters (286 respondents in rural and 342 in urban areas respondents were interviewed)

Gender profile of youths: In rural areas, 52.1 percent are male and 47.9 percent female. In urban areas, 56.4 percent are male and 43.6 percent are female.

Educational profile: In rural areas, 17.5 percent are illiterate, 18.9 percent are educated up to middle level, 40.2 percent respondents educated up to high/higher secondary. Only 2.4 percent and 12.2 percent of the respondents are educated up to post graduation and graduation respectively.

In urban areas, 7.6 percent of the youth respondents are illiterate, 10.5 percent up to the middle level, 23.7 percent up to higher secondary level and 30.3 percent are educated up to graduation or above.

Occupational profile: In rural areas, 47.2 percent are domestic worker, 11.9 percent are students, 7.0 are farmers, 10.5 percent are non- agricultural labor and remaining are involved in other occupational activities.

In urban areas, 29.2 percent and 26.6 percent are student and involved in domestic work respectively, 6.7 are farmers, 1.8 are agricultural labor and remaining are involved in other occupations.

Income profile: In rural areas, 28.3 percent as compared to the percentage of 13.5 in urban areas are very poor, 3.5 percent in rural and 8.2 percent in urban areas belong to economically high income groups.

Social composition: 35.3 percent in rural areas and in urban areas 46.2 percent belong to general category. 33.6 percent (SCs), 31.1 percent (OBCs) in rural areas and 25.1 (SCs) and 28.7 percent (OBCs) in urban areas.

Awareness about next Legislative Assembly Election: In rural areas, 70.3 percent and in urban areas 78.1 percent are aware, 29.7

percent of respondents in rural areas and 21.9 percent in urban areas are not aware of the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Awareness if casting of vote necessary: 96.9 percent in rural areas and 98.5 percent in urban areas feel that casting of their vote is necessary. 3.1 percent in rural and 1.5 percent in the urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is not necessary.

Awareness if casting of vote is beneficial: 92.3 percent in rural and 91.2 percent in urban areas feel that the casting of their vote is beneficial. 7.7 percent and 8.8 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively feel that casting of their votes is not beneficial.

Awareness if casting of vote is fundamental right: 95.8 percent respondents in rural areas and 96.5 percent in urban areas feel that to cast their vote in election is their fundamental right.

Training of EVMs: In rural areas 76.2 percent and in urban areas 80.4 percent respondents have gone through the training before the election for using EVMs.

Awareness about voter list: 53.1 percent in rural and 57.6 percent in urban areas are of the opinion that the renewal of voter's list is necessary. 27.6 percent in rural and the 18.7 percent in urban think that the renewal of the voter's list is not necessary and remaining 19.2 percent and the 23.7 percent in rural and urban areas respectively can't say about the renewal of voter's list.

Opinion if voter list is correct: In rural areas, 43.0 percent respondents and in urban areas 38.0 percent feel that voter list is correct, 53.8 percent in rural areas and 54.5 percent in urban areas have not seen the voter list.

Awareness about polling booths: 97.9 percent in rural areas and 99.4 percent in urban areas have knowledge about their polling booth. 2.1 percent in rural areas and 0.6 percent in urban areas are not aware about their polling booths.

Awareness about serial number of their names: Only 10.8 percent and 15.2 percent of respondents in the rural and the urban areas respectively are aware about serial number of their names in the voter list. 73.8 percent from rural and 66.7 percent from urban areas are not aware and remaining 15.4 percent and 18.1 percent in rural and urban areas respectively can't say about the serial number of their name in the voter list.

If EPICs received: 94.8 percent in the rural areas and 95.3 percent in urban areas have received their EPICs. 5.2 percent and 4.7 percent from rural and urban areas respectively have not received their EPICs.

Problem faced in making EPICs: 12.6 percent in rural and 10.2 percent in urban areas faced problem in preparing their EPICs. 81.1 percent in rural and 86.3 percent in urban haven't faced any problems and remaining 6.6 percent and 3.5 percent in rural and urban areas were not sure.

Awareness if EPICs is beneficial: 94.7 percent from the rural and the 96.2 percent from the urban areas feel that it is beneficial to have EPICs. 1.8 percent and 2.6 percent from the rural and urban respectively feel that EPICs is not beneficial and remaining 3.5 percent in rural and 1.2 percent in urban areas are not sure about the benefit of EPICs.

Awareness about B.L.O.: In rural areas 38.8 percent and in urban areas 41.5 percent are aware about the B.L.O. 45.1 percent in rural and 43.3 percent in urban are not aware and remaining 16.1 percent in rural and 15.2 percent in urban are not sure about the B.L.O in their constituencies.

Help received from B.L.Os in preparing EPICs: 25.5 percent of the respondent in rural area and 16.7 percent in urban areas said that they have received help from the B.L.O. 74.5 percent and 83.3 percent from the rural and urban respectively said that they haven't received any help from the B.L.O. while preparing their EPICs.

Awareness about appointment of B.L.A.: 18.5 percent respondents in rural areas and 20.2 percent respondents in urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A. on the other hand 63.6 percent and 64.0 percent in rural and urban areas respectively are not aware.

Change in election environment: 51.4 percent in rural areas and 54.4 percent in urban areas think that there is a change in the election environment and 14.6 percent in urban and 23.8 in rural areas are not sure about the change.

Mode used to cast the vote for the first time: 32.2 percent of the rural youth respondents and the 24.6 percent of the urban youth respondents have used Ballet paper sometime to cast their vote. 67.5 percent and 75.4 percent in the rural and urban areas respectively used EVM to cast their votes

Participation in last Lok Sabha Elections: 89.5 percent in rural areas and 92.1 percent in urban areas participated in the last Lok Sabha Election.

Participation in last Vidhan Sabha Elections: 85.0 percent from the rural area and 91.2 percent from urban area participated in the last Vidhan Sabha Election.

Boycott of elections: In the rural areas no one had boycotted the election and in urban areas only 1.2 percent of the respondents boycotted the election.

Awareness about Social boycott of elections: 0.3 percent from the rural areas and 0.6 percent from the urban areas believe that the election was socially boycotted by the voters.

Problems faced by the respondents at the time of voting: 12.2 percent and 12.3 percent respondents from the rural and the urban areas respectively faced problems.

Out of 12.2 percent respondents, in rural areas 5.7 percent faced non cooperative attitude of the staff, 17.1 faced the non cooperative

attitude of the polling agents, 11.4 feared from the security personnel and remaining faced other problems.

Out of 12.3 percent respondents, in urban areas 16.7 percent faced non cooperative attitude of the staff, 11.9 percent faced the non cooperative of the polling agents and remaining faced other problems like lack of drinking water.

Willingness to participate in coming elections: 98.2 percent in rural and 99.1 percent in urban areas have shown willingness to participate in the coming elections.

Non Registered but eligible Youth Voters (728 respondents in rural and 882 in urban areas were interviewed)

Gender profile of respondents: In rural areas, 50.1 percent are male and 49.9 percent female respondents and in urban areas 53.4 percent are male and 46.6 percent are female respondents.

Educational profile: In rural areas, 8.7 percent are illiterate, 7.0 percent are educated only up to primary level, 16.1 percent are up to middle level, 22.7 percent are educated up to high school and 29.7 percent are educated up to higher secondary constituting larger section of the youth respondents.

In urban areas, 7.1 percent of the youth respondents are illiterate, 5.1 percent are educated only up to primary level, 9.5 percent middle level, 16.5 percent are educated up to high school and larger percentage i.e. 30.5 percent are educated up to higher secondary level. Remaining 24.0 percent and only 4.2 percent of the respondents are educated up to graduation and post graduation respectively.

Occupational profile: 3.6 percent of the respondents are farmers, 1.9 percent agricultural labor, 1.4 percent are in the government services and 5.5 percent are working in private organizations in rural areas. 6.6 percent are non agriculture labor, 37.6 percent are domestic workers, 0.1 percent are involved with their own business,

33.1 percent are students, 5.6 percent are unemployed and 1.4 percent are involved in other occupational activities.

1.8 percent respondents are directly involved in farming, 1.1 percent are agricultural labor, 5.7% are non agricultural labor, 1.2 percent in the government services, 51.1 percent student and 23.8 percent are domestic workers, 5.1percent are in private organizations, 5.0 percent are unemployed and 1.2 percent are have other occupations.

Income profile: 26.0 percent in rural areas and 18.7 percent in urban areas are very poor, 19.1 percent in rural and 18.1 percent respondents in urban areas are poor, 37.6 percent have average income and 11.7 percent belong to middle income category in rural areas. 35.1 percent have average income and 22.7 percent are from middle income groups in urban areas. 5.6 percent and 5.3 percent respondents in rural and urban areas respectively belong to higher income groups.

Social composition: 51.5 percent belong to general category, 22.0 percent to scheduled castes and remaining 26.5 percent to other backward classes in rural areas. In urban areas 57.8 percent to general category, 17.0 percent to scheduled castes and remaining 25.2 percent belong to other backward classes.

Respondent enrolled in voter list earlier: In rural areas 20.7 percent were enrolled in the voter list earlier where as the percentage of the same was low in urban area i.e. 18.4 percent. On the other hand 79.3 percent and 81.6 percent in rural and urban areas respectively never enrolled in the voter list.

Awareness about next Legislative Assembly: 34.1 percent of the respondents in the rural areas and 30.6 percent in the urban areas were not aware about the next Legislative Assembly Election.

Awareness if enrolling in voter list is beneficial: 97.7 percent from rural and 97.6 percent from urban feel that it is beneficial to enroll in the voter list.

Awareness if casting of vote necessary: 91.2 percent think that it is necessary to cast their vote, only 0.7 percent thinks that it is not

necessary and remaining 8.1 percent are uncertain (rural areas). Similarly in urban areas 93.4 percent think casting of vote is necessary while 5.2 percent are not sure and remaining 1.4 percent think it is not necessary to cast their vote.

Awareness if casting of vote is beneficial: Casting of their vote is beneficial for 88.9 percent and 84.5 percent respondents in rural and urban areas respectively.

Awareness if casting of vote is fundamental right: 95.9 percent respondents in rural areas and 93.0 percent respondents in urban areas feel that casting of their vote in election is their fundamental right.

Training of EVMs: In rural areas only 6.2 percent of the respondents have gone through the training for using EVM before the election while 91.9 percent of the respondents never received training and in urban areas 0.7 percent of the respondents got training and 96.8 percent have not received any training to use the EVM before the election.

Awareness about renewal of voter list: 34.5 percent of the rural respondents and the 36.4 percent of the urban respondents are not aware of the renewal of the voter's list. 63.6 percent from rural and 62.7 percent from urban areas respectively can't say anything about the renewal of voter's list.

Opinion if voter list is correct: 29.8 percent respondents feel that voter list is correct; 65.9 percent have not seen the voter list, and only 4.3 percent respondents feel that the voter list is incorrect (rural). 32.9 percent respondents feel that the voter list is correct, 62.8 percent respondents have not seen the voter list and 4.3 percent respondents feel that the voter list is incorrect (urban).

Awareness about EPICs: 96.4 percent of the respondents interviewed have knowledge about the EPICs and only 1.5 percent respondents are not aware about the EPICs (rural). 95.5 percent of the total respondents have knowledge about the EPICs and only 1.3 percent respondents are not aware about the EPICs (urban).

Awareness if EPIC is beneficial: 95.9 percent from the rural and 93.8 percent of the respondents from the urban areas feel that the EPICs are beneficial.

Change in election environment: 52.1 percent respondents have observed the change in the election environment during last few years but 7.1 percent of the respondents did not see any changes in the election environment and remaining 40.8 percent are uncertain about the change (rural). 51.9 percent feel change and 11.1 percent see no change and remaining 37.0 percent are uncertain about the change in the election environment (urban).

Reasons for not registered in the voter list: 38.5 percent were not eligible at the time of elections, 16.5 percent did not know how to enroll in the voter list and 20.7 could not register due to demand of age proof (rural). On the other hand 23.1 percent were not eligible at the time of elections, 18.4 percent did not know how to enroll in the voter list and 27.1% could not register due to demand of age proof.

Awareness about registration in voter list: 35.2 percent of the rural respondents and the 36.4 percent of the urban respondents are aware about the registration in the voter list where as a larger section of the respondents i.e. 64.8 percent from rural and 63.6 percent are not aware of the procedure.

Awareness about renewal of voter list: 89.4 percent in rural and 87.1 % in urban areas have no knowledge about renewal of the voter lists.

Awareness about polling booths: 97.5 percent of the respondents in rural areas and 96.1 respondents in urban areas are aware about their polling booths.

Awareness about B.L.O.: 86.4 percent respondents in rural areas and 90.7 percent in urban areas are not aware about the B.L.O. in

their constituencies. Only 1.1 percent from rural and 1.8 percent from urban areas had ever met B.L.O.

Awareness about B.L.A.: Only a marginal section of respondents constituting 4.3 percent in rural areas and 5.4 percent in urban areas are aware about the appointment of the B.L.A.

Meeting with B.L.O.: Only 1.1 percent from rural and 1.8 percent from urban areas have seen the B.L.O. to know the process of enrolment in the voter list.

Awareness about preparation of voter list for Vidhan Sabha Election: In rural areas 32.7 percent and 29.5 percent in urban areas are aware about the preparation of the voter list for the Vidhan Sabha Election.

Awareness about amendment of voter list last year: Only 12.4 percent respondents from the rural and 15.0 in urban areas are aware about the amendment of the voter list last year.

Awareness about differences in Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/Municipal voter list: Out of total 62.6 percent from the rural areas and the 71.0 percent from urban areas are aware about the difference in the voter list of Legislative Assembly and Panchayats/Municipal Voter list.

Opinion on need to change the rule: 23.8 percent from rural and 22.0 percents feel the need to change the rules for easy enrollment in the Voter List.

Willingness to participate in next election: From the rural areas 98.6 percent and from urban areas 97.7 percent have shown interest to participate in the next Legislative Assembly election.

Chapter VIII

Suggestions

- ☞ *Greater Awareness about the electoral process:* Awareness is the most potent tool to increase the participation level of the voters in making of EPICs and casting of the votes. More camps and campaigns may be organized in both rural and urban areas to sensitize the potential electorates and also the people having EPICs encouraging them to participate in the elections.
- ☞ *Simplified Material for Illiterates:* The illiterates may be provided simplified IEC material for ensuring their participation in the democratic process such as making of EPICs, casting of the vote.
- ☞ *Enhance reachability to the farming communities, agricultural labors, domestic workers and low income groups:* The electoral machineries' reach to these categories of people may be enhanced and target specific approaches may be adopted to bring them in the process of EPICs preparation, casting of the vote at the time of elections etc.
- ☞ *Special attention to the youth voters.* Since majority of the non registered but eligible voters are youths in the age group of 18 to 29 years, efforts may be made to sensitize them to prepare EPICs and also exercise their voting rights in the elections.
- ☞ *Simplification of the process of preparation of Electors Photo Identity Cards:* The process of preparation of Electors Photo Identity Cards may be simplified, well defined and transparent.

- ☞ *Earmarking of a fixed place for making Electors Photo Identity Cards in each District:* Electors Photo Identity Cards be made by the state/district authorities, at one place, either at colleges or schools run by the state government and inform about the same to the people well in advance.
- ☞ *Training to the field staff:* The officials in the field department at the district level may be given training. They may be encouraged to cooperate and support the people in necessary electoral processes.
- ☞ *Proper scrutiny of the supporting documents/ proofs:* A methodical system of checking of documents / proofs to verify age and address/ supporting papers of each person before accepting and preparing the Electors Photo Identity Cards is necessary to reduce delays.
- ☞ *Least errors in preparing Electors Photo Identity Cards:* It is expected from the department to make least errors while preparing Electors Photo Identity Cards as error in the names, age, addresses, and photos in the Electors Photo Identity Cards can create hurdles after issuance of the same.
- ☞ *Publication of updated lists in newspapers and on websites:* provision should be made to update the list of such persons, who figures in Voter List but no Voter Identity Card have been issued to them, on the website of state election department. A general notice has to be given in the local newspapers also. Besides circulars /amendments relating to EPICs, be published specially in local newspapers so that people in the state, remain aware about latest developments.

- ☞ *Training of electors for using EVMs:* Proper training of electors for using EVMs (Electronic Voting Machines) is highly desirable before holding any elections. The persons who are illiterate or newly registered voters need even intensive training.
- ☞ *Provision of drinking water and toilet facilities:* The state government does not provide adequate facilities of drinking water and toilets, the important basic needs at the time of voting. Proper arrangement of drinking water facilities and toilets at each booth especially during polling time is necessary for the convenience of the voters.
- ☞ *Better arrangements/ facilities for underprivileged:* In order to overcome the problems faced by the underprivileged due to long queues of voters, during polling time, better arrangements and facilities for women, old persons & handicapped may be provided by the department.
- ☞ In those cases where any person changes his / her residence to another place within district or outside the district, proper amendments/alteration, from all districts, within Haryana, is sought in the existing EPIC of that person. This would, in the long run, would benefit to all persons of the state.
- ☞ *Special Incentives/relaxations to the daily wage earners/ agricultural labors and poor:* There should be some incentives for daily wage earners, BPL/ yellow card holders from the state government for coming to cast their votes. Since the daily livelihood of wage earners and labors are lost on the day of elections day, the compensation may be given to them for the

same. Better polling from the voters of these classes can be expected if any incentive is given to them.

- ☞ In such situation where any person has to vacate his / her house or native place to any other house / native place within Haryana, due to any reason, the election department should not resort to old practice of canceling his / her existing voter card. Proper intimation, through computerized record, in the district, where the person has to migrate/ temporarily settle, from the present district, should be sent in time.
- ☞ The officials and the persons who have been assigned duties during election time, outside the parent district, in Haryana, be permitted to poll their votes either through post, or in the polling station, where they have been assigned duties.
- ☞ Any amendment or changes in name /address of female voter card holders, after marriage, should be updated in the computerized record and should be sought from the election department.
- ☞ *Special Awareness camps and campaign for the youths:* Special Awareness camps and campaign for the youths for the registration in the voter list may be organized and also to encourage them to participate in the elections, especially to the young women from the rural areas and urban areas where a general perception to register only after marriage prevails.

- ☞ Youth studying or working outside their parent district should be permitted to poll their votes in the areas where they are studying or working during electioneering period.

- ☞ Provision may be made to update the list of such youths, who figures in Voter List but no EPIC has been issued to them, on the website of state election department.

Annexure-I (List of surveyed villages/towns)

List of sample village

Sr no.	District	No. of constituency	Surveyed village
1.	Panchkula	Panchkula	Barwala, Naya Gaon
2.			Battor
3.		Kalka	Haripur , Raipur Rani
4.			Sarkpur
5.	Ambala	Naraingarh	Pooja Vihar, Rollon
6.			Munerheri
7.		Ambala cantt	Nagal Kardhan
8.			Khokipur
9.		Ambala city	Nadi mohalla
10.			
11.		Mulana	Jawargarh
12.			Mithapur
13.			Tepla
14.			Kharu Khera
15.	Yamuna nagar	Sadhaura	Pandayon , Kani Pala
16.			Rajpur , Bhogpur
17.		Yamuna Nagar	Prem Nagar
18.			Kanspur
19.		Jagadhari	Teli Majra
20.			
21.		Radhaur	Sasouli , Booter Vihar
22.			Khari Rangdan
23.	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Ray Sikh, Mangoli
24.			Dhanani
25.		Shahbad	Sharif Garh, Rattan Magar, Satya Nagar
26.		Thanesar	Dayal Pur
27.			Mirza pur, Karan Colony
28.		Pehowa	Jhansa, Jatt Mohalla
29.			Rohti
30.	Kaithal	Guhla	Polar
31.			Siwan
32.			SD College
33.		Kalayath	Kailrana , Dumada
34.			Batta , Bralmani Wala
35.		Kaithal	R.K.S.D College
36.			
37.		Pundri	B.P.R College
38.			Sallu – Majra
39.			Teek
40.	Karnal	Nilokheri	Govt. Poletechnic
41.			
42.		Karnal	Prem Nagar Ward no-14
43.			Saidpur Ward no-11
44.		Indri	Govt. SS School, Indri
45.			Jalmana
46.		Gharaundha	Mandi Mani Ram Ward-7,9, Balmiki
47.			Kaimla , Ghari Multan
48.		Assandh	Jalmana, BC Mohalla Ward no-2
49.			SC Mohalla ward no-2
50.	Panipat	Panipat rural	Binghal Mor, Binzal,Ram Nagar
51.			Ward no-8

52.			Kuski colony
53.			Maharma
54.			
55.		Panipat city	Ram Nagar Ward-6
56.		Israna	Dhar, Kushi Ram Colony
57.			
58.		Samalkha	Rajasthan Colony, Naraina, Monana ward-13

59.		Sonipat	Barona , Bihalgarh,Saraswati Vihar, Nangal Khurd
60.	Sonipat	Ganaur	
61.			
62.		Rai	Rai
63.			
64.		Kharakhoda	Rai , Kharkhoda ward-14
65.			
66.		Gohana	Bidhan , Nagar, Bilbillan, Vishnu Nagar
67.			Tihar Malik, Ganour, Umulgarh
68.		Baroda	Amwali
69.			Bhainswal Kalan
70.			
71.			
72.	Jind	Julana	Ward no-12,13,9,10, Gatouli
73.			Jai Jai Wani, Ward no-3
74.		Safidon	Bhudda Kheri, Khera Kala
75.			Shilla Kheri, Ward-10,15,2,1
76.		Jind	Ward no-3
77.			Hibatpur , Dalamwala
78.		Uchana kalan	Khatkar , Ward-12,1,2,6
79.			Baroda
80.		Narwana	Sacha Khera
81.			Badowal , Narwana ward no-8,1,21,5,11
82.	Fatehabad	Tohana	
83.			
84.		Fatehabad	Ward no-7,9,10
85.			Bodiwali , Sarwanpur
86.		Ratia	
87.			
88.	Sirsa	Kalanwali	Kalanwali, Nejadela Kalon
89.			Bhawdin
90.		Dabwali	Moujgarh , Frnds Colony
91.			Masita, Rajiv Colony
92.		Rania	Ottu , Kheri wala
93.			Chek Sahiba, Sacha Sodha
94.		Sirsa	Shidanwali, Grewal colony
95.			Patel Basti, Ram Nagria
96.		Ellenabad	Pohrakan , Satia Bhatta
97.			Mirzapur
98.	Hisar	Adampur	Jeeswal
99.			Adampur
100.			Khermpur
101.		Uklana	Uklana , Dollat Pura
102.			Barian Akbar pur
103.			
104.		Hansi	Ramayana , Bata colony ward no-18
105.			Mirkan, Amar colony ward-10

106.		Narnaul	Narnaund, Rajpura, Dharm Kheri
107.		Barwala	Ward -18, Sarsode
108.			Mayar
109.		Hissar	Hissar
110.		Nalwa	Dhani Meherda, Deven
111.			Nalwa , Mulkan
112.	Bhiwani	Loharu	Singhani , Gignow Ward-3,7
113.			Khati Loharu, Purana Shar ward-4
114.		Badra	Charkhi Dadri, Kakroli Hukam, Gopi ward-1,5,8,2
115.			Bhardra , Ward-19,13,11,15,10
116.		Dabri	Charkhi
117.			Pentwas Kala
118.		Bhiwani	Kauni , Krishan Colony
119.			Puranpura , Ward-30,2,6
120.		Toshan	Sagwan , Jaat Dharamsala Ward-26
121.			Biron , Main Chowk ward-28
122.		Bhwani khera	Milkpur, Sekandar pur, Bhiwani Khurd
123.			Ward -9,7,
124.	Rohtak	Meham	Kharkai , Meham
125.			Palace vihar, Saectoe-1
126.		Garhi sampla	Khani, Rithal, Morkerri
127.			Sec-3
128.		Kalanaur	Kalanour, Lahli
129.			
130.	Jhajjar	Bahadurgarh	Ward -27,25, Nuna Majra
131.			Dabodha Khurd
132.		Badli	Dawala, Banipur, Jtwara Bawal, Khajpur
133.			Bhagal pur, Kahgrurala, Khatiuwas
134.		Jhajjar	Kablana, ward-17, 16, Chanvi Mohalla
135.			Bhati gate, Kablana, Neerpur
136.		Beri	Beri
137.			
138.	Mahendragarh	Ateli	Neerpur, Ateli
139.			Ateli mandi, Bachoda
140.		Mahendragarh	Nangal Chaudhary, Tihar Malik
141.			
142.		Narnaul	Narnaul, Hunida
143.			Rampura
144.		Nangal chaudhry	Nangal Chaudhary
145.			
146.	Rewari	Bawal	Banipur , Ward no-3,
147.			Khatiwas , Jatwara
148.		Kosli	Kosli
149.			
150.		Rewari	Bakli , Kamalpur
151.			Danoli , Bithwana
152.	Gurgaon	Pataudi	Ward -1,4
153.			Bakipur , Jund Sorai
154.			
155.		Badshapur	Ward no-25,1
156.			
157.		Gurgaon	Ward no-19,21
158.			
159.		Sohna	Nagar
160.			Ward no-6,3

161.	Mewat	Nuh	Ward no-3, Muradpur, Shamala
162.			Untka , Ward no-1
163.		Ferozepur jhirka	Mohmad Bas, Khorisha Shdara
164.			Ward no-4
165.		Punhana	Pinangwan , Ward no-3
166.			Khorisha Shderi
167.	Palwal	Hathin	Ward no-7,5
168.			Bhanguri , Morakheda
169.		Hodal	Banchori , Sondh Mohmad, Ward no-2, Bhopgarh, Darkora
170.		Palwal	Raipur
171.			Allapur , Ladiyaka
172.	Faridabad	Prithla	Chhapkrala
173.			Prithla
174.			
175.		Faridabad	Ward no-16,19 (DAV Collge)
176.			New Shanti Nagar, Dayal pur
177.		Ballabgarh	Tirkha Colony , Ward no-31,32
178.		Faridabad	Ward no-4,11,17,16
179.			
180.			
181.			
182.		Tigaon	Tigaon
183.			Parser Basti
184.		Badhkal	Ward no-17
185.			

Abbreviations

CEO	Chief Electoral Officer
Addl.CEO	Additional Chief Electoral Officer
DCEO	Deputy Chief Electoral Officer
ACEO	Assistant Chief Electoral Officer
DEO	District Election Officer
Dy.DEO	Deputy District Election Officer
E.R.O.	Electoral Registration Officer
R.O.	Returning Office
ET	Election Tehsildar
ENT	Election Naib Tehsildar
B.L.O.	Booth Level Officer
B.L.A.	Booth Level Agent
CRRID	Centre for Research in Rural and Industrial Development
EPIC	Elector Photo Identity Card
EVM	Electronic Voting Machine
SCs	Scheduled Castes
OBCs	Other Backward Classes

